

CHAPTER 1. SMOOTH MANIFOLDS

Theorem 1. [Exercise 1.18] *Let M be a topological manifold. Then any two smooth atlases for M determine the same smooth structure if and only if their union is a smooth atlas.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two smooth atlases for M that determine the same smooth structure \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, so $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ must be a smooth atlas since every chart in \mathcal{A}_1 is compatible with every chart in \mathcal{A}_2 . Conversely, if $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is a smooth atlas then the smooth structures determined by \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 both contain $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$. But there is exactly one smooth structure containing $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$, so \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 determine the same smooth structure. \square

Theorem 2. [Exercise 1.44] *Let M be a smooth n -manifold with boundary and let U be an open subset of M .*

- (1) *U is a topological n -manifold with boundary, and the atlas consisting of all smooth charts (V, φ) for M such that $V \subseteq U$ defines a smooth structure on U . With this topology and smooth structure, U is called an **open submanifold with boundary**.*
- (2) *If $U \subseteq \text{Int } M$, then U is actually a smooth manifold (without boundary); in this case we call it an **open submanifold of M** .*
- (3) *$\text{Int } M$ is an open submanifold of M (without boundary).*

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are obvious. Part (3) follows from (2) and the fact that $\text{Int } M$ is an open subset of M . \square

Theorem 3. [Problem 1-6] *Let M be a nonempty topological manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$. If M has a smooth structure, then it has uncountably many distinct ones.*

Proof. We use the fact that for any $s > 0$, $F_s(x) = |x|^{s-1}x$ defines a homeomorphism from \mathbb{B}^n to itself, which is a diffeomorphism if and only if $s = 1$. Let \mathcal{A} be a smooth structure for M and choose some coordinate map $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ centered at some $p \in U$. Let \mathcal{A}' be the smooth atlas obtained by replacing every coordinate map $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in \mathcal{A} with $\psi' : V \cap (M \setminus \{p\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, except when $\psi = \varphi$. For any $s > 0$, let \mathcal{A}_s be the smooth atlas obtained from \mathcal{A}' by replacing φ with $F_s \circ \varphi$. Since F_s is a diffeomorphism if and only if $s = 1$, the smooth structures determined by \mathcal{A}_s and \mathcal{A}_t are the same if and only if $s = t$. This shows that there are uncountably many distinct smooth structures on M . \square

Theorem 4. [Problem 1-8] *An angle function on a subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{S}^1$ is a continuous function $\theta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{i\theta(z)} = z$ for all $z \in U$. There exists an angle function θ on an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{S}^1$ if and only if $U \neq \mathbb{S}^1$. For any such angle function, (U, θ) is a smooth coordinate chart for \mathbb{S}^1 with its standard smooth structure.*

Proof. The first part follows from the lifting criterion (Proposition A.78). By rotating \mathbb{R}^2 appropriately, we may assume that $N = (0, 1) \notin U$. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the stereographic projection given by $\sigma(x^1, x^2) = x^1/(1 - x^2)$. We can compute

$$(\sigma \circ \theta^{-1})(\alpha) = \frac{\cos \alpha}{1 - \sin \alpha},$$

which is a diffeomorphism on $\theta(U)$. \square

Theorem 5. [Problem 1-10] Let k and n be integers satisfying $0 < k < n$, and let $P, Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the linear subspaces spanned by (e_1, \dots, e_k) and (e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n) , respectively, where e_i is the i th standard basis vector for \mathbb{R}^n . For any k -dimensional subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ that has trivial intersection with Q , the coordinate representation $\varphi(S)$ constructed in Example 1.36 is the unique $(n - k) \times k$ matrix B such that S is spanned by the columns of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I_k \\ B \end{pmatrix}$, where I_k denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. The matrix of $\varphi(S)$ represents the linear map $(\pi_Q|_S) \circ (\pi_P|_S)^{-1}$. Since $\pi_P|_S$ is an isomorphism, the vectors $(\pi_P|_S)^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ form a basis for S . But then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}|_S \circ (\pi_P|_S)^{-1})(\mathcal{B}) &= ((\pi_P|_S + \pi_Q|_S) \circ (\pi_P|_S)^{-1})(\mathcal{B}) \\ &= (\text{Id}_P + \pi_Q|_S \circ (\pi_P|_S)^{-1})(\mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}$$

is a basis for S . This is precisely the result desired. \square

Theorem 6. [Problem 1-12] Suppose M_1, \dots, M_k are smooth manifolds and N is a smooth manifold with boundary. Then $M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \times N$ is a smooth manifold with boundary, and $\partial(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \times N) = M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \times \partial N$.

Proof. Denote the dimensions of M_1, \dots, M_k, N by n_1, \dots, n_k, d . Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k, q) \in M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \times N$, let $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ be coordinate maps around p_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and let $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^d$ be a coordinate map around q . Then $\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_k \times \psi : M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n_1 + \dots + n_k + d}$ is a coordinate map, and $(\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_k \times \psi)(p_1, \dots, p_k, q) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{n_1 + \dots + n_k + d}$ if and only if $\psi(q) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^d$, which is true if and only if $q \in \partial N$. \square

CHAPTER 2. SMOOTH MAPS

Theorem 7. [Exercise 2.1] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Then pointwise multiplication turns $C^\infty(M)$ into a commutative ring and a commutative and associative algebra over \mathbb{R} .

Proof. The product of two smooth functions is also smooth. \square

Theorem 8. [Exercise 2.2] Let U be an open submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n with its standard smooth manifold structure. Then a function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is smooth in the sense of smooth manifolds if and only if it is smooth in the sense of ordinary calculus.

Proof. Obvious since the single chart $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ covers \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Theorem 9. [Exercise 2.3] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and suppose $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a smooth function. Then $f \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is smooth for every smooth chart (U, φ) for M .

Proof. If $p \in U$ then there is a smooth chart (V, ψ) for M such that $f \circ \psi^{-1}$ is smooth and $p \in V$. But on $\varphi(U \cap V)$ we have

$$f \circ \varphi^{-1} = f \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1},$$

which is smooth since $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth. This shows that $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth in a neighborhood of every point in $\varphi(U)$, so $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth. \square

Theorem 10. [Exercise 2.7(1)] Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a map. Then F is smooth if and only if either of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) For every $p \in M$, there exist smooth charts (U, φ) containing p and (V, ψ) containing $F(p)$ such that $U \cap F^{-1}(V)$ is open in M and the composite map $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth from $\varphi(U \cap F^{-1}(V))$ to $\psi(V)$.
- (2) F is continuous and there exist smooth atlases $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ and $\{(V_\beta, \psi_\beta)\}$ for M and N , respectively, such that for each α and β , $\psi_\beta \circ F \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ is a smooth map from $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap F^{-1}(V_\beta))$ to $\psi_\beta(V_\beta)$.

Proof. (1) is obvious, as is (2) \Rightarrow (1). If (1) holds then F is continuous by Proposition 2.4, and we can choose the smooth atlases to be the smooth structures for M and N . For every α and β the map $\psi_\beta \circ F \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ is smooth since for all $p \in M$ we can find smooth coordinate maps φ containing p and ψ containing $F(p)$ such that

$$\psi_\beta \circ F \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} = \psi_\beta \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$$

on a small neighborhood around $\varphi_\alpha(p)$; this map is smooth since the above charts are all smoothly compatible. \square

Theorem 11. [Exercise 2.7(2)] Let M and N be smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and let $F : M \rightarrow N$ be a map.

- (1) If every point $p \in M$ has a neighborhood U such that the restriction $F|_U$ is smooth, then F is smooth.
- (2) Conversely, if F is smooth, then its restriction to every open subset is smooth.

Proof. (1) is obvious. For (2), let E be an open subset of M . Let $p \in E$ and choose smooth charts (U, φ) containing p and (V, ψ) containing $F(p)$ such that $F(U) \subseteq V$ and $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth. By restricting φ to $U \cap E$, we find that $F|_E$ is also smooth. \square

Theorem 12. [Exercise 2.9] *Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map between smooth manifolds with or without boundary. Then the coordinate representation of F with respect to every pair of smooth charts for M and N is smooth.*

Proof. Almost identical to Theorem 9. \square

Theorem 13. [Exercise 2.11] *Let M, N and P be smooth manifolds with or without boundary.*

- (1) *Every constant map $c : M \rightarrow N$ is smooth.*
- (2) *The identity map of M is smooth.*
- (3) *If $U \subseteq M$ is an open submanifold with or without boundary, then the inclusion map $U \hookrightarrow M$ is smooth.*

Proof. If $M \neq \emptyset$ then let y be the constant value that c assumes and let (V, ψ) be a smooth chart containing y . If $x \in M$ and (U, φ) is any smooth chart containing x then $\psi \circ c \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is constant and therefore smooth. This proves (1). Part (2) follows from the fact that any two coordinate maps for M are smoothly compatible. For (3), if $p \in U$ and (E, φ) is any smooth chart in U containing p then $\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} = \text{Id}_{\varphi(E)}$ is smooth. \square

Theorem 14. [Exercise 2.16]

- (1) *Every composition of diffeomorphisms is a diffeomorphism.*
- (2) *Every finite product of diffeomorphisms between smooth manifolds is a diffeomorphism.*
- (3) *Every diffeomorphism is a homeomorphism and an open map.*
- (4) *The restriction of a diffeomorphism to an open submanifold with or without boundary is a diffeomorphism onto its image.*
- (5) *“Diffeomorphic” is an equivalence relation on the class of all smooth manifolds with or without boundary.*

Proof. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $g : N \rightarrow P$ are diffeomorphisms then $f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ is a smooth inverse to $g \circ f$, which proves (1). If $f_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i$ are diffeomorphisms for $i = 1, \dots, n$ then $f_1^{-1} \times \dots \times f_n^{-1}$ is a smooth inverse to $f_1 \times \dots \times f_n$, which proves (2). Part (3) follows from the fact that smooth maps are continuous, and part (4) follows immediately from (3) and Theorem 11. Part (5) follows from (1). \square

Theorem 15. [Exercise 2.19] *Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds with boundary and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism. Then $F(\partial M) = \partial N$, and F restricts to a diffeomorphism from $\text{Int } M$ to $\text{Int } N$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $F(\partial M) \subseteq \partial N$, for then $F(\text{Int } M) = \text{Int } N$ by Theorem 1.46 and $F|_{\text{Int } M}$ is a diffeomorphism by Theorem 14. Let $x \in \partial M$ and choose smooth charts (U, φ) containing x and (V, ψ) containing $F(x)$ such that $\varphi(x) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^n$, $F(U) = V$ and $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a diffeomorphism. Then $\varphi \circ F^{-1}$ is a smooth coordinate map sending $F(x)$ to $\varphi(x) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^n$, so $F(x) \in \partial N$ by definition. \square

Example 16. [Exercise 2.27] Give a counterexample to show that the conclusion of the extension lemma can be false if A is not closed.

Take $f(x) = 1/x$ defined on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Example 17. [Problem 2-1] Define $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Show that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there are smooth coordinate charts (U, φ) containing x and (V, ψ) containing $f(x)$ such that $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth as a map from $\varphi(U \cap f^{-1}(V))$ to $\psi(V)$, but f is not smooth as defined in this chapter.

f is clearly smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. If $x = 0$ then $\varphi = \text{Id}_{(-1,1)}$ is a coordinate map containing x and $\psi = \text{Id}_{(1/2,3/2)}$ is a coordinate map containing $f(x) = 1$ such that $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth on $\varphi((-1,1) \cap f^{-1}((1/2,3/2))) = \varphi([0,1]) = [0,1]$. However, f is clearly not smooth since it is not continuous at 0.

Theorem 18. Let M_1, \dots, M_{k-1} be smooth manifolds without boundary and let M_k be a smooth manifold with boundary. Then each projection map $\pi_i : M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \rightarrow M_i$ is smooth.

Proof. Let n_i be the dimension of M_i . Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, choose a smooth chart (U_i, φ_i) containing x_i . Write $U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_k$ and $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_k$. Then (U, φ) is a smooth chart containing x and $\varphi_i \circ \pi_i \circ \varphi^{-1} = p_i$ is smooth, where p_i is a projection from $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{H}^{n_k}$ to \mathbb{R}^{n_i} (or \mathbb{H}^{n_i} if $i = k$). This shows that π_i is smooth. \square

Theorem 19. [Problem 2-2] Suppose M_1, \dots, M_k and N are smooth manifolds with or without boundary, such that at most one of M_1, \dots, M_k has nonempty boundary. For each i , let $\pi_i : M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \rightarrow M_i$ denote the projection onto the M_i factor. A map $F : N \rightarrow M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ is smooth if and only if each of the component maps $F_i = \pi_i \circ F : N \rightarrow M_i$ is smooth.

Proof. For simplicity we assume that M_k is a smooth manifold with boundary. From Proposition 2.10(d) it is clear that every F_i is smooth if F is smooth. Suppose that each F_i is smooth and let $x \in N$. For each i , choose smooth charts (U_i, φ_i) containing x and (V_i, ψ_i) containing $F_i(x)$ such that $\psi_i \circ F_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1} = \psi_i \circ \pi_i \circ F \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$. Replace U_1 with

$U = U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_k$ and replace φ_1 with $\varphi_1|_U$; by Theorem 12, each map $\psi_i \circ \pi_i \circ F \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$ is smooth. Write $V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$ and $\psi = \psi_1 \times \cdots \times \psi_k$ so that (V, ψ) is a smooth chart containing $F(x)$. Since the i th component of $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$ is just $\psi_i \circ \pi_i \circ F \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$, the map $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$ is smooth. This shows that F is smooth. \square

Theorem 20. [Problem 2-4] *The inclusion map $f : \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is smooth when $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ is regarded as a smooth manifold with boundary.*

Proof. It is clear that f is smooth on $\text{Int } \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n = \mathbb{B}^n$. For points on $\partial \bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$, the map provided by Problem 3-4 in [[1]] is a suitable coordinate map. \square

Theorem 21. [Problem 2-7] *Let M be a nonempty smooth n -manifold with or without boundary, and suppose $n \geq 1$. Then the vector space $C^\infty(M)$ is infinite-dimensional.*

Proof. We first show that any collection $\{f_\alpha\}$ from $C^\infty(M)$ with nonempty disjoint supports is linearly independent. Suppose there exist $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$r_1 f_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + r_k f_{\alpha_k} = 0$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are distinct. For each i , choose some $x_i \in \text{supp } f_{\alpha_i}$ such that $f_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \neq 0$. Then

$$r_i f_{\alpha_i}(x_i) = (r_1 f_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + r_k f_{\alpha_k})(x_i) = 0,$$

so $r_i = 0$ since $f_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \neq 0$.

Choose some coordinate cube $U \subseteq M$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \rightarrow (0, 1)^n$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots$, let $B_i = (0, 1)^{n-1} \times (1/(i+1), 1/i)$ and let A_i be any nonempty closed subset of B_i . By Proposition 2.25, there is a smooth bump function f_i for $\varphi^{-1}(A_i)$ supported in $\varphi^{-1}(B_i)$. Furthermore, since the sets $\{\varphi^{-1}(B_i)\}$ are disjoint, the functions $\{f_i\}$ are linearly independent. This shows that $C^\infty(M)$ is infinite-dimensional. \square

Theorem 22. [Problem 2-8] *Define $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ by $F(x^1, \dots, x^n) = [x^1, \dots, x^n, 1]$. Then F is a diffeomorphism onto a dense open subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$. A similar statement holds for $G : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $G(z^1, \dots, z^n) = [z^1, \dots, z^n, 1]$.*

Proof. The inverse to F is given by

$$F^{-1}[y^1, \dots, y^n, y^{n+1}] = (y^1/y^{n+1}, \dots, y^n/y^{n+1});$$

it is easy to check that the two maps are smooth since F^{-1} itself is a coordinate map for $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$. The image of F is dense in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ since any point $[x^1, \dots, x^n, 0]$ not in the image of F can be approximated by some sequence $[x^1, \dots, x^n, a_k]$ where $a_k \rightarrow 0$. \square

Theorem 23. [Problem 2-9] *Given a polynomial p in one variable with complex coefficients, not identically zero, there is a unique smooth map $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ that makes the following diagram commute, where $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is 1-dimensional complex projective space and $G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is the map of Theorem 22:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathbb{CP}^1 \\
 p \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\
 \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathbb{CP}^1
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We can assume that p is not constant, for otherwise the result is clear. For any $z = [z_1, z_2] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, define

$$\tilde{p}(z) = \begin{cases} [p(z_1/z_2), 1], & z_2 \neq 0, \\ [1, 0], & z_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The map \tilde{p} clearly satisfies the given diagram and is smooth on the image of G , so it remains to show that \tilde{p} is smooth in a neighborhood of $[1, 0]$. Define a diffeomorphism $H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ by

$$H(z) = [1, z];$$

by definition, $(H(\mathbb{C}), H^{-1})$ is a smooth chart for \mathbb{CP}^1 . We can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (H^{-1} \circ \tilde{p} \circ H)(z) &= (H^{-1} \circ \tilde{p})[1, z] \\
 &= \begin{cases} H^{-1}[p(1/z), 1], & z \neq 0, \\ H^{-1}[1, 0], & z = 0, \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1/p(1/z), & z \neq 0, \\ 0, & z = 0, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to check that this map is smooth in a neighborhood of 0 whenever p is non-constant (since $1/p(1/z)$ is a rational function in z). This shows that \tilde{p} is smooth. \square

Theorem 24. [Problem 2-10] For any topological space M , let $C(M)$ denote the algebra of continuous functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Given a continuous map $F : M \rightarrow N$, define $F^* : C(N) \rightarrow C(M)$ by $F^*(f) = f \circ F$.

- (1) F^* is a linear map.
- (2) Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds. Then $F : M \rightarrow N$ is smooth if and only if $F^*(C^\infty(N)) \subseteq C^\infty(M)$.
- (3) Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a homeomorphism between smooth manifolds. Then it is a diffeomorphism if and only if F^* restricts to an isomorphism from $C^\infty(N)$ to $C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. (1) is obvious. For (2), if F is smooth and $f \in C^\infty(N)$ then $F^*(f) = f \circ F \in C^\infty(M)$ by Proposition 2.10(d). Conversely, suppose that $F^*(C^\infty(N)) \subseteq C^\infty(M)$. Let $x \in M$ and let n be the dimension of N . Choose smooth charts (U, φ) containing x and (V, ψ) containing $F(x)$ such that $F(U) \subseteq V$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ the map $\pi_i \circ \psi \circ F = F^*(\pi_i \circ \psi)$ is smooth, where $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the i th coordinate. By 9, $\pi_i \circ \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth for $i = 1, \dots, n$, so $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ must be smooth. This shows that F is smooth. Part (3) follows directly from part (2): if F is a diffeomorphism then $(F^{-1})^* : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(N)$ is clearly an inverse to F^* , and conversely if $F^*|_{C^\infty(N)}$ is an isomorphism then F and F^{-1} are both smooth. \square

Theorem 25. [Problem 2-11] Suppose V is a real vector space of dimension $n \geq 1$. Define the **projectivization of V** , denoted by $\mathbb{P}(V)$, to be the set of 1-dimensional linear subspaces of V , with the quotient topology induced by the map $\pi : V \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ that sends x to its span. Then $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is a topological $(n-1)$ -manifold, and has a unique smooth structure with the property that for each basis (E_1, \dots, E_n) for V , the map $E : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ defined by $E[v^1, \dots, v^n] = [v^i E_i]$ is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Theorem 26. [Problem 2-14] Suppose A and B are disjoint closed subsets of a smooth manifold M . There exists $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in M$, $f^{-1}(0) = A$, and $f^{-1}(1) = B$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.29, there are functions $f_A, f_B : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_A^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$ and $f_B^{-1}(\{0\}) = B$; the function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{f_A(x)}{f_A(x) + f_B(x)}$$

satisfies the required properties. \square

CHAPTER 3. TANGENT VECTORS

Theorem 27. [Exercise 3.7] Let M , N and P be smooth manifolds with or without boundary, let $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ be smooth maps, and let $p \in M$.

- (1) $dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$ is linear.
- (2) $d(G \circ F)_p = dG_{F(p)} \circ dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{G \circ F(p)} P$.
- (3) $d(\text{Id}_M)_p = \text{Id}_{T_p M} : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$.
- (4) If F is a diffeomorphism, then $dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$ is an isomorphism, and $(dF_p)^{-1} = d(F^{-1})_{F(p)}$.

Proof. If $v, w \in T_p M$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$dF_p(v + w)(f) = (v + w)(f \circ F) = v(f \circ F) + w(f \circ F) = dF_p(v)(f) + dF_p(w)(f)$$

and

$$dF_p(cv)(f) = (cv)(f \circ F) = cdF_p(v)(f)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(N)$, which proves (1). To prove (2), we have

$$d(G \circ F)_p(v)(f) = v(f \circ G \circ F) = dF_p(v)(f \circ G) = dG_{F(p)}(dF_p(v))(f)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(N)$ and $v \in T_p M$, so $d(G \circ F)_p = dG_{F(p)} \circ dF_p$. For (3),

$$d(\text{Id}_M)_p(v)(f) = v(f \circ \text{Id}_M) = v(f),$$

so $d(\text{Id}_M)_p(v) = v$ for all $v \in T_p M$ and therefore $d(\text{Id}_M)_p = \text{Id}_{T_p M}$. Part (4) follows immediately, since

$$d(F^{-1})_{F(p)} \circ dF_p = d(F^{-1} \circ F)_p = d(\text{Id}_M)_p = \text{Id}_{T_p M}$$

and similarly $dF_p \circ d(F^{-1})_{F(p)} = \text{Id}_{T_{F(p)} N}$. \square

Example 28. [Exercise 3.17] Let (x, y) denote the standard coordinates on \mathbb{R}^2 . Verify that (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) are global smooth coordinates on \mathbb{R}^2 , where

$$\tilde{x} = x, \quad \tilde{y} = y + x^3.$$

Let p be the point $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (in standard coordinates), and show that

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right|_p \neq \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right|_p,$$

even though the coordinate functions x and \tilde{x} are identically equal.

Since an inverse to $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + x^3)$ is given by $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} - \tilde{x}^3)$, the coordinates are smooth and global. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right|_p &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right|_p + 3 \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right|_p, \\ \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right|_p &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right|_p, \end{aligned}$$

so $\partial/\partial x|_p \neq \partial/\partial \tilde{x}|_p$.

Theorem 29. Let X be a connected topological space and let \sim be an equivalence relation on X . If every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that $p \sim q$ for every $p, q \in U$, then $p \sim q$ for every $p, q \in X$.

Proof. Let $p \in X$ and let $S = \{q \in X : p \sim q\}$. If $q \in S$ then there is a neighborhood U of q such that $q_1 \sim q_2$ for every $q_1, q_2 \in U$. In particular, for every $r \in U$ we have $p \sim q$ and $q \sim r$ which implies that $p \sim r$, and $U \subseteq S$. This shows that S is open. If $q \in X \setminus S$ then there is a neighborhood U of q such that $q_1 \sim q_2$ for every $q_1, q_2 \in U$. If $p \sim r$ for some $r \in U$ then $p \sim q$ since $q \sim r$, which contradicts the fact that $q \in X \setminus S$. Therefore $U \subseteq X \setminus S$, which shows that S is closed. Since X is connected, $S = X$. \square

Corollary 30. *Let X be a connected topological space and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. If every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U on which f is constant, then f is constant on X .*

Theorem 31. *[Problem 3-1] Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map. Then $dF_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{F(p)} N$ is the zero map for each $p \in M$ if and only if F is constant on each component of M .*

Proof. Suppose F is constant on each component of M and let $p \in M$. For all $v \in T_p M$ we have $dF_p(v)(f) = v(f \circ F) = 0$ since $f \circ F$ is constant on a neighborhood of p (see Proposition 3.8), so $dF_p = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $dF = 0$, let $p \in M$, and choose smooth charts (U, φ) containing p and (V, ψ) containing $F(p)$ such that $\varphi(U)$ is an open ball and $F(U) \subseteq V$. Let $\widehat{F} = \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ be the coordinate representation of F . From equation (3.10), the derivative of \widehat{F} is zero since $dF_p = 0$ and therefore \widehat{F} is constant on $\varphi(U)$. This implies that $F = \psi^{-1} \circ \widehat{F} \circ \varphi$ is constant on U . By Corollary 30, F is constant on each component of M . \square

Theorem 32. *[Problem 3-2] Let M_1, \dots, M_k be smooth manifolds, and for each j , let $\pi_j : M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \rightarrow M_j$ be the projection onto the M_j factor. For any point $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$, the map*

$$\alpha : T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k) \rightarrow T_{p_1} M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_{p_k} M_k$$

defined by

$$\alpha(v) = (d(\pi_1)_p(v), \dots, d(\pi_k)_p(v))$$

is an isomorphism. The same is true if one of the spaces M_i is a smooth manifold with boundary.

Proof. It is clear that α is a linear map. For each $j = 1, \dots, k$, define $e_j : M_j \rightarrow M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ by $e_j(x) = (p_1, \dots, x, \dots, p_k)$ where x is in the j th position. Each e_j induces a linear map $d(e_j)_{p_j} : T_{p_j} M_j \rightarrow T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k)$, so we can define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : T_{p_1} M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_{p_k} M_k &\rightarrow T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k) \\ (v_1, \dots, v_k) &\mapsto d(e_1)_{p_1}(v_1) + \dots + d(e_k)_{p_k}(v_k). \end{aligned}$$

The j th component of $(\alpha \circ \beta)(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} d(\pi_j)_p \left(\sum_{i=1}^k d(e_i)_{p_i}(v_i) \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^k d(\pi_j \circ e_i)_{p_i}(v_i) \\ &= d(\pi_j \circ e_j)_{p_j}(v_j) \\ &= v_j \end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_j \circ e_i$ is constant when $i \neq j$, and $\pi_j \circ e_j = \text{Id}_{M_j}$. This shows that α is surjective. But

$$\dim(T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k)) = \dim(T_{p_1}M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_{p_k}M_k),$$

so α is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 33. *If (U_j, φ_j) are smooth charts containing p_j as defined in Theorem 32, then $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_k$ is a smooth coordinate map containing p . Let n_j be the dimension of M_j , and write $\varphi_j = (x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n_j})$ for each j so that*

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_k = (\tilde{x}_1^1, \dots, \tilde{x}_1^{n_1}, \dots, \tilde{x}_k^1, \dots, \tilde{x}_k^{n_k})$$

where $\tilde{x}_j^i = x_j^i \circ \pi_j$.

(1) *The collection*

$$\left\{ \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_j^i} \right|_p : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq n_j \right\}$$

forms a basis for $T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k)$.

(2) *Let $v \in T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k)$ and write $v = \sum_{i,j} v^{ji} \partial/\partial \tilde{x}_j^i|_p$. Then the j th component of $\alpha(v)$ is $\sum_i v^{ji} \partial/\partial x_j^i|_{p_j}$ (the variable j is fixed).*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}_j = \left\{ \partial/\partial x_j^1|_{p_j}, \dots, \partial/\partial x_j^{n_j}|_{p_j} \right\}$ is a basis for $T_{p_j}M_j$, the set $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_k) = \beta(\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_k)$ is a basis for $T_p(M_1 \times \dots \times M_k)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i} \right|_{p_j} \right) (f) &= d(e_j)_{p_j} \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i} \right|_{p_j} \right) (f) \\ &= d(e_j \circ \varphi_j^{-1})_{\varphi_j(p_j)} \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i} \right|_{\varphi_j(p_j)} \right) (f) \\ &= \frac{\partial(f \circ e_j \circ \varphi_j^{-1})}{\partial x_j^i}(\varphi_j(p_j)) \\ &= \frac{\partial(f \circ \varphi^{-1})}{\partial \tilde{x}_j^i}(\varphi(p)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d(\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(p)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_j^i} \Big|_{\varphi(p)} \right) (f) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_j^i} \Big|_p (f),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (1). For (2), we compute the j th component of $\alpha(v)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\pi_j)_p \left(\sum_{r,s} v^{sr} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_s^r} \Big|_p \right) (f) &= \sum_{r,s} v^{sr} d(\pi_j)_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_s^r} \Big|_p \right) (f) \\
&= \sum_r v^{jr} \frac{\partial(f \circ \pi_j \circ \varphi^{-1})}{\partial \tilde{x}_j^r} (\varphi(p)) \\
&= \sum_r v^{jr} \frac{\partial(f \circ \varphi_j^{-1})}{\partial x_j^r} (\varphi_j(p_j)) \\
&= \sum_i v^{ji} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i} \Big|_{p_j} \right) (f).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 34. [Problem 3-3] If M and N are smooth manifolds, then $T(M \times N)$ is diffeomorphic to $TM \times TN$.

Proof. Let m and n denote the dimensions of M and N respectively. For each $(p, q) \in M \times N$, there is an isomorphism $\alpha_{(p,q)} : T_{(p,q)}(M \times N) \rightarrow T_p M \oplus T_q N$ as in Theorem 32. Define a bijection $F : T(M \times N) \rightarrow TM \times TN$ by sending each tangent vector $v \in T_{(p,q)}(M \times N)$ to $\alpha_{(p,q)}(v)$. For any $(p, q) \in M \times N$, choose smooth charts (U, φ) containing p and (V, ψ) containing q . Let $\pi : T(M \times N) \rightarrow M \times N$ be the natural projection map. Then $\rho = \varphi \times \psi$ is a smooth coordinate map for $M \times N$, $\tilde{\rho} : \pi^{-1}(U \times V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2(m+n)}$ is a smooth coordinate map for $T(M \times N)$, and $\tilde{\varphi} \times \tilde{\psi} : \pi^{-1}(U) \times \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2(m+n)}$ is a smooth coordinate map for $TM \times TN$. By Theorem 33 the coordinate representation of F is

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(x^1, \dots, x^m, y^1, \dots, y^n, v^1, \dots, v^{m+n}) \\
&= (x^1, \dots, x^m, v^1, \dots, v^m, y^1, \dots, y^n, v^{m+1}, \dots, v^{m+n})
\end{aligned}$$

which is clearly smooth. Similarly, F^{-1} is also smooth. □

Theorem 35. [Problem 3-4] $T\mathbb{S}^1$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We begin by showing that for each $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ we can choose an associated tangent vector $v_z \in T_z \mathbb{S}^1$. Let $\theta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any angle function defined on a neighborhood of

z , and set $v_z = d/dx|_z = (d\theta)_z^{-1} d/dx|_{\theta(z)}$. If $\theta' : U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any other angle function defined around z then $\theta - \theta'$ is constant on $U \cap U'$ and $(\theta' \circ \theta^{-1})(t) = t + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $(d\theta')_z^{-1} d/dx|_{\theta'(z)} = (d\theta)_z^{-1} d/dx|_{\theta(z)}$, and v_z is well-defined.

Define a map $F : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{S}^1$ by sending (z, r) to rv_z . If $\theta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an angle function defined around z then the coordinate representation of F is $F(z, r) = (\theta(z), r)$, so F is smooth. An inverse to F is defined by sending $v \in T_z\mathbb{S}^1$ to (z, r) , where $v = rv_z$ (since $T\mathbb{S}^1$ is one-dimensional). The coordinate representation of F^{-1} is $F^{-1}(x, r) = (\theta^{-1}(x), r)$, so F^{-1} is also smooth. \square

Theorem 36. [Problem 3-5] Let $\mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle, and let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the boundary of the square of side 2 centered at the origin: $K = \{(x, y) : \max(|x|, |y|) = 1\}$. There is a homeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $F(\mathbb{S}^1) = K$, but there is no diffeomorphism with the same property.

Proof. The map defined by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{\max(|x|, |y|)}(x, y)$$

is a homeomorphism such that $F(\mathbb{S}^1) = K$. Suppose there is a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $F(\mathbb{S}^1) = K$. Let $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be given by $s \mapsto \exp(2\pi i(s + c))$, where c is chosen so that $(F \circ \gamma)(0) = (1, 1)$. We can assume without loss of generality that $(F \circ \gamma)((-\varepsilon, 0))$ lies on the right edge of K and that $(F \circ \gamma)((0, \varepsilon))$ lies on the top edge of K , for small ε . Then there are smooth functions γ_1, γ_2 such that $(F \circ \gamma)(t) = (1, \gamma_1(t))$ for $t \in (-\varepsilon, 0)$ and $(F \circ \gamma)(t) = (\gamma_2(t), 1)$ for $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Since $(F \circ \gamma)'(t) = (0, \gamma_1'(t))$ and $(F \circ \gamma)'(t) = (\gamma_2'(t), 0)$, taking $t \rightarrow 0$ shows that $(F \circ \gamma)'(0) = 0$. But $dF(\gamma'(0)) \neq 0$ since $\gamma'(0) \neq 0$ and dF is an isomorphism, so this is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 37. [Problem 3-7] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary and p be a point of M . Let $C_p^\infty(M)$ denote the algebra of germs of smooth real-valued functions at p , and let $\mathcal{D}_p M$ denote the vector space of derivations of $C_p^\infty(M)$. Define a map $\phi : \mathcal{D}_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ by $(\phi v)f = v([f]_p)$. Then ϕ is an isomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that ϕ is a homomorphism. If $\phi v = 0$ then $v([f]_p) = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, so $v = 0$ and therefore ϕ is injective. If $v \in T_p M$ then we can define $v' \in \mathcal{D}_p M$ by setting $v'([f]_p) = v(f)$. This is well-defined due to Proposition 3.8. Then $\phi v' = v$, so ϕ is surjective. \square

Theorem 38. [Problem 3-8] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary and $p \in M$. Let $\mathcal{V}_p M$ denote the set of equivalence classes of smooth curves starting at p under the relation $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ if $(f \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma_2)'(0)$ for every smooth real-valued function f defined in a neighborhood of p . The map $\psi : \mathcal{V}_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ defined by $\psi[\gamma] = \gamma'(0)$ is well-defined and bijective.

Proof. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [\gamma]$ then $\gamma_1'(0)(f) = (f \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma_2)'(0) = \gamma_2'(0)(f)$, which shows that ψ is well-defined. The same argument shows that ψ is injective. Finally, Proposition 3.23 shows that ψ is surjective. \square

CHAPTER 4. SUBMERSIONS, IMMERSIONS, AND EMBEDDINGS

Theorem 39. [Exercise 4.4]

- (1) *The composition of two smooth submersions is a smooth submersion.*
- (2) *The composition of two smooth immersions is a smooth immersion.*
- (3) *The composition of two maps of constant rank need not have constant rank.*

Proof. Let $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ be smooth maps; parts (1) and (2) follow from the fact that $d(G \circ F)_x = dG_{F(x)} \circ dF_x$. For (3), define $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x) = (1, x), \quad G(x, y) = x + y^2$$

so that

$$DF(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad DG(x, y) = [1 \quad 2y], \quad D(G \circ F)(x) = 2x.$$

\square

Theorem 40. [Exercise 4.7]

- (1) *Every composition of local diffeomorphisms is a local diffeomorphism.*
- (2) *Every finite product of local diffeomorphisms between smooth manifolds is a local diffeomorphism.*
- (3) *Every local diffeomorphism is a local homeomorphism and an open map.*
- (4) *The restriction of a local diffeomorphism to an open submanifold with or without boundary is a local diffeomorphism.*
- (5) *Every diffeomorphism is a local diffeomorphism.*
- (6) *Every bijective local diffeomorphism is a diffeomorphism.*
- (7) *A map between smooth manifolds with or without boundary is a local diffeomorphism if and only if in a neighborhood of each point of its domain, it has a coordinate representation that is a local diffeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ be local diffeomorphisms. If $x \in M$ then there are neighborhoods U of x and V of $F(x)$ such that $F|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, and there are neighborhoods V' of $F(x)$ and W of $(G \circ F)(x)$ such that $G|_{V'} : V' \rightarrow W$ is a diffeomorphism. Then $(G \circ F)|_{F^{-1}(V \cap V')}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, which proves (1). Parts (2)-(5) are obvious. Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a bijective local diffeomorphism. If $y \in N$ then there are neighborhoods U of $F^{-1}(y)$ and V of y such that $F|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, so $(F^{-1})|_V$ is smooth. Theorem 11 then shows that F^{-1} is smooth, which proves (6). Part (7) is obvious. \square

Theorem 41. [Exercise 4.10] Suppose M, N, P are smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a local diffeomorphism.

- (1) If $G : P \rightarrow M$ is continuous, then G is smooth if and only if $F \circ G$ is smooth.
- (2) If in addition F is surjective and $G : N \rightarrow P$ is any map, then G is smooth if and only if $G \circ F$ is smooth.

Proof. For (1), if $F \circ G$ is smooth and $x \in P$ then there are neighborhoods U of $G(x)$ and V of $(F \circ G)(x)$ such that $F|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, so $G|_{G^{-1}(U)} = (F|_U)^{-1} \circ (F \circ G)|_{G^{-1}(U)}$ is smooth. By Theorem 11, G is smooth. For (2), if $G \circ F$ is smooth and $x \in N$ then there is a point $p \in M$ such that $x = F(p)$, and there are neighborhoods U of p and V of x such that $F|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism. Then $G|_V = G \circ F \circ (F|_U)^{-1}$ is smooth, so G is smooth by Theorem 11. \square

Example 42. [Exercise 4.24] Give an example of a smooth embedding that is neither an open nor a closed map.

Let $X = [0, 1)$ and $Y = [-1, 1]$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the identity map on X . Then f is a smooth embedding, X is both open and closed, but $f(X)$ is neither open nor closed.

Example 43. [Exercise 4.27] Give an example of a smooth map that is a topological submersion but not a smooth submersion.

Consider $f(x) = x^3$ at $x = 0$.

Theorem 44. [Exercise 4.32] Suppose that $M, N_1,$ and N_2 are smooth manifolds, and $\pi_1 : M \rightarrow N_1$ and $\pi_2 : M \rightarrow N_2$ are surjective submersions that are constant on each other's fibers. Then there exists a unique diffeomorphism $F : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ such that $F \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2$.

Proof. Theorem 4.30 shows that there are unique smooth maps $\tilde{\pi}_1 : N_2 \rightarrow N_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2 : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ such that $\pi_1 = \tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi_2$ and $\pi_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi_1$. Then $\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \pi_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2$, so $\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{\pi}_1 = \text{Id}_{N_2}$ by the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.30. Similarly, $\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 = \text{Id}_{N_1}$. \square

Theorem 45. [Exercise 4.33]

- (1) Every smooth covering map is a local diffeomorphism, a smooth submersion, an open map, and a quotient map.
- (2) An injective smooth covering map is a diffeomorphism.
- (3) A topological covering map is a smooth covering map if and only if it is a local diffeomorphism.

Proof. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth covering map. Let $e \in E$ and $x = \pi(e)$, let U be an evenly covered neighborhood of x , and let \tilde{U} be the component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ containing

e. By definition $\pi|_{\tilde{U}} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism, so π is a local diffeomorphism and the other properties in (1) follow. If π is injective then π is a bijection. Every bijective local diffeomorphism is a diffeomorphism, proving (2). Part (3) is obvious. \square

Theorem 46. [Exercise 4.37] *Suppose $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth covering map. Every local section of π is smooth.*

Proof. Let $\tau : V \rightarrow E$ be a local section of π where V is open in M . Let $x \in V$ and let U be an evenly covered neighborhood of x contained in V . By Proposition 4.36, there is a unique smooth local section $\sigma : U \rightarrow E$ such that $\sigma(x) = \tau(x)$. Therefore $\tau|_U = \sigma$, and τ is smooth by Theorem 11. \square

Theorem 47. [Exercise 4.38] *Suppose E_1, \dots, E_k and M_1, \dots, M_k are smooth manifolds (without boundary), and $\pi_i : E_i \rightarrow M_i$ is a smooth covering map for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $\pi_1 \times \dots \times \pi_k : E_1 \times \dots \times E_k \rightarrow M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ is a smooth covering map.*

Proof. This is true for topological covering maps. But any finite product of local diffeomorphisms is a local diffeomorphism, so the result follows from Theorem 45. \square

Theorem 48. *Suppose $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth covering map. If $U \subseteq M$ is evenly covered in the topological sense, then π maps each component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ diffeomorphically onto U .*

Proof. Let \tilde{U} be a component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ and suppose $\pi|_{\tilde{U}} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism (or merely a bijection). Since $\pi|_{\tilde{U}}$ is a local diffeomorphism and it is bijective, it is a diffeomorphism. \square

Theorem 49. [Exercise 4.42] *Suppose M is a connected smooth n -manifold with boundary, and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a topological covering map. Then E is a topological n -manifold with boundary such that $\partial E = \pi^{-1}(\partial M)$, and it has a unique smooth structure such that π is a smooth covering map.*

Proof. Identical to Proposition 4.40. \square

Theorem 50. [Exercise 4.44] *If M is a connected smooth manifold, there exists a simply connected smooth manifold \tilde{M} , called the universal covering manifold of M , and a smooth covering map $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. The universal covering manifold is unique in the following sense: if \tilde{M}' is any other simply connected smooth manifold that admits a smooth covering map $\pi' : \tilde{M}' \rightarrow M$, then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}'$ such that $\pi' \circ \Phi = \pi$.*

Proof. Every manifold has a universal covering space, so let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a universal covering. Proposition 4.40 shows that \widetilde{M} is a smooth manifold with a unique smooth structure such that π is a smooth covering map. If $\pi' : \widetilde{M}' \rightarrow M$ is another universal smooth covering, then there is a homeomorphism $\Phi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ such that $\pi' \circ \Phi = \pi$. Let $e \in \widetilde{M}$, let $x = \pi(e)$ and choose some $e' \in \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$. Let U be an evenly covered neighborhood of x with respect to both π and π' , let \widetilde{U} be the component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ containing e , and let \widetilde{U}' be the component of $(\pi')^{-1}(U)$ containing e' . Then $\Phi|_{\widetilde{U}} = (\pi'|_{\widetilde{U}'})^{-1} \circ \pi|_{\widetilde{U}}$, so $\Phi|_{\widetilde{U}}$ is a diffeomorphism. This shows that Φ is a bijective local diffeomorphism and therefore Φ is a diffeomorphism. \square

Example 51. [Problem 4-1] Use the inclusion map $\iota : \mathbb{H}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to show that Theorem 4.5 does not extend to the case in which M is a manifold with boundary.

Lemma 3.11 shows that $d\iota_0$ is invertible. If Theorem 4.5 holds, then there are neighborhoods $U \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$ of 0 and a neighborhood $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that $\iota|_U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism. Since ι is a bijection, we must have $U = V$. This is impossible, because U cannot be open in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 52. [Problem 4-2] Suppose M is a smooth manifold (without boundary), N is a smooth manifold with boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is smooth. If $p \in M$ is a point such that dF_p is nonsingular, then $F(p) \in \text{Int } N$.

Proof. Let n be the dimension of M , which is the same as the dimension of N . Suppose $F(p) \in \partial N$. Choose smooth charts (U, φ) centered at p and (V, ψ) centered at $F(p)$ such that $\psi(V) \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$, $F(U) \subseteq V$ and $\psi(F(p)) = 0$. Let $\widetilde{F} = \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then $d\widetilde{F}_0$ is invertible, so the inverse function theorem shows that there are neighborhoods \widetilde{U}_0 of 0 and \widetilde{V}_0 of 0 open in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\widetilde{F}|_{\widetilde{U}_0} : \widetilde{U}_0 \rightarrow \widetilde{V}_0$ is a diffeomorphism. But \widetilde{V}_0 is a neighborhood of 0 open in \mathbb{R}^n and contained in \mathbb{H}^n , which is impossible. \square

Example 53. [Problem 4-4] Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ be the curve of Example 4.20. The image set $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in \mathbb{T}^2 .

Let $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and choose $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $e^{2\pi i s_1} = z_1$ and $e^{2\pi i s_2} = z_2$. Then $\gamma(s_1 + n) = (e^{2\pi i s_1}, e^{2\pi i \alpha s_1} e^{2\pi i \alpha n})$, so it remains to show that

$$S = \{e^{2\pi i \alpha n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{S}^1 whenever α is irrational. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 4.21, there exist integers n, m such that $|n\alpha - m| < \varepsilon$. Let $\theta = n\alpha - m$ so that

$$e^{2\pi i \theta} = e^{2\pi i \alpha n} \in S$$

and $\theta \neq 0$ since α is irrational. Any integer power of $e^{2\pi i \theta}$ is also a member of S , and since ε was arbitrary, we can approximate any point on \mathbb{S}^1 by taking powers of $e^{2\pi i \theta}$.

Example 54. We identify \mathbb{S}^3 with the set $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$. Let $p : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}$ be the inverse of the stereographic projection given by

$$p(x + yi) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}(2x, 2y, x^2 + y^2 - 1),$$

or

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{|z|^2 + 1}(z + \bar{z}, -i(z - \bar{z}), |z|^2 - 1).$$

Define $q : \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $(z, w) = z/w$. Then we have a smooth map $p \circ q : \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (p \circ q)(z, w) &= \frac{1}{|z/w|^2 + 1} \left(\frac{z}{w} + \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{w}}, -i \left(\frac{z}{w} - \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{w}} \right), \left| \frac{z}{w} \right|^2 - 1 \right) \\ &= (z\bar{w} + \bar{z}w, -i(z\bar{w} - \bar{z}w), z\bar{z} - w\bar{w}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that we can extend $p \circ q$ so that it maps \mathbb{S}^3 into \mathbb{S}^2 by using the formula above.

Theorem 55. [Problem 4-5] Let $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ be n -dimensional complex projective space.

- (1) The quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a surjective smooth submersion.
- (2) $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 .

Proof. Let $(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ and assume without loss of generality that $z_{n+1} \neq 0$. We have a coordinate map φ given by

$$[w_1, \dots, w_n, w_{n+1}] \mapsto \left(\frac{w_1}{w_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{w_{n+1}} \right).$$

Then

$$(\varphi \circ \pi)'(w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{n+1}^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -w_1 w_{n+1}^{-2} \\ 0 & w_{n+1}^{-1} & \cdots & 0 & -w_2 w_{n+1}^{-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_{n+1}^{-1} & -w_n w_{n+1}^{-2} \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly has full rank when $w_k = z_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ (since the first n columns form an invertible matrix). This proves (1). The map $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is a surjective smooth submersion. Define a map $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ by

$$\psi(z_1, z_2) = (p \circ q) \left(\frac{(z_1, z_2)}{|(z_1, z_2)|} \right),$$

where $p \circ q$ is the map in 54. By Theorem 4.30, ψ descends to a unique smooth map $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfying $\tilde{\psi} \circ \pi = \psi$. This map is a diffeomorphism. \square

Theorem 56. [Problem 4-6] Let M be a nonempty smooth compact manifold. There is no smooth submersion $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ for any $k > 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.28, $F(M)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^k . Also, $F(M)$ is compact because M is compact. Therefore $F(M)$ is open, closed and bounded. But \mathbb{R}^k is connected and M is nonempty, so $F(M) = \mathbb{R}^k$. This contradicts the boundedness of $F(M)$. \square

Theorem 57. [Problem 4-7] *Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds, and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a surjective smooth submersion. There is no other smooth manifold structure on N that satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 4.29; in other words, assuming that \tilde{N} represents the same set as N with a possibly different topology and smooth structure, and that for every smooth manifold P with or without boundary, a map $F : \tilde{N} \rightarrow P$ is smooth if and only if $F \circ \pi$ is smooth, then Id_N is a diffeomorphism between N and \tilde{N} .*

Proof. Write ι_N for the identity map from \tilde{N} to N , and $\iota_{\tilde{N}}$ for the identity map from N to \tilde{N} . Then ι_N is smooth if and only if $\iota_N \circ \pi = \pi$ is smooth and $\iota_{\tilde{N}}$ is smooth if and only if $\iota_{\tilde{N}} \circ \pi = \pi$ is smooth. Both of these conditions hold, and since ι_N and $\iota_{\tilde{N}}$ are inverses, $\text{Id}_N = \iota_N = \iota_{\tilde{N}}$ is a diffeomorphism. \square

Theorem 58. [Problem 4-8] *Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\pi(x, y) = xy$. Then π is surjective and smooth, and for each smooth manifold P , a map $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P$ is smooth if and only if $F \circ \pi$ is smooth; but π is not a smooth submersion (cf. Theorem 4.29).*

Proof. It is clear that $F \circ \pi$ is smooth if F is smooth. If $F \circ \pi$ is smooth then the map $x \mapsto (F \circ \pi)(x, 1)$ is smooth and identical to F , so F is smooth. However, we can compute

$$D\pi(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \end{bmatrix},$$

which does not have full rank when $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. \square

Theorem 59. [Problem 4-9] *Let M be a connected smooth manifold and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a topological covering map. There is only one smooth structure on E such that π is a smooth covering map (cf. Proposition 4.40).*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be the smooth structure constructed in Proposition 4.40. Suppose there is another smooth structure \mathcal{M}' for which π is a smooth covering map. Let $p \in E$ and let $(U, \tilde{\varphi})$ be a smooth chart in \mathcal{M}' containing p . Let V be an evenly covered neighborhood of $\tilde{\varphi}(p)$ and let \tilde{V} be the component of $\pi^{-1}(V)$ containing p so that $\pi|_{\tilde{V}} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism (with respect to \mathcal{M}'). By shrinking V and \tilde{V} if necessary, we may assume that there is a smooth chart (V, ψ) containing $\varphi(p)$. Then $\psi \circ \pi|_{\tilde{V}}$ is a smooth coordinate map in \mathcal{M} , and

$$\tilde{\varphi} \circ (\psi \circ \pi|_{\tilde{V}})^{-1} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ (\pi|_{\tilde{V}})^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}$$

is smooth. This shows that $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\psi \circ \pi|_{\tilde{V}}$ are smoothly compatible, and since p was arbitrary, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}'$. \square

Example 60. [Problem 4-10] Show that the map $q : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n$ defined in Example 2.13(f) is a smooth covering map.

Since \mathbb{S}^n is compact, it is clear that q is proper. For each $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^+ &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^n : x_i > 0\}, \\ S_i^- &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^n : x_i < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $q|_{S_i^+}$ and $q|_{S_i^-}$ are diffeomorphisms for $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$, which shows that q is a local diffeomorphism. By Proposition 4.46, q is a smooth covering map.

Example 61. [Problem 4-13] Define a map $F : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ by $F(x, y, z) = (x^2 - y^2, xy, xz, yz)$. Using the smooth covering map of Example 2.13(f) and Problem 4-10, show that F descends to a smooth embedding of \mathbb{RP}^2 into \mathbb{R}^4 .

Let $q : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ be the map of Example 60. Since $F(-x, -y, -z) = F(x, y, z)$, the map F descends to a unique smooth map $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{RP}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$. It is easy to check that \tilde{F}^{-1} is smooth and given by

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}(t, u, v, w) = q \left(\sqrt{\frac{uv}{w}}, \sqrt{\frac{uw}{v}}, \sqrt{\frac{vw}{u}} \right).$$

Note that we take $uv/w = 0$ if $w = 0$, since $w = yz = 0$ implies that either $u = 0$ or $v = 0$. This is true for the other coordinates. Therefore \tilde{F} is a homeomorphism onto its image. It remains to show that F is a smooth immersion. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus \{[x, y, z] \in \mathbb{RP}^2 : z \neq 0\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ [x, y, z] &\mapsto \left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

be coordinate map. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{F} \circ \varphi^{-1})(x, y) &= \tilde{F}[x, y, 1] \\ &= (x^2 - y^2, xy, x, y) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$D(\tilde{F} \circ \varphi^{-1})(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & -2y \\ y & x \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly has full rank. We can perform similar computations for the other coordinate maps, showing that $d\tilde{F}_{[x,y,z]}$ is always surjective.

CHAPTER 5. SUBMANIFOLDS

Example 62. [Exercise 5.10] Show that spherical coordinates (Example C.38) form a slice chart for \mathbb{S}^2 in \mathbb{R}^3 on any open subset where they are defined.

Spherical coordinates are given by

$$(\rho, \varphi, \theta) \mapsto (\rho \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \rho \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \rho \cos \varphi).$$

For the image to be a subset of \mathbb{S}^2 , we must have $\rho = 1$.

Theorem 63. [Exercise 5.24] Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed k -submanifold, $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion map, and U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^k . A map $X : U \rightarrow M$ is a smooth local parametrization of S if and only if there is a smooth coordinate chart (V, φ) for S such that $X = \iota \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Therefore, every point of S is in the image of some local parametrization.

Proof. If X is a smooth local parametrization of S then $X^{-1} : X(U) \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism, so $(X(U), X^{-1})$ is a smooth chart such that $X = \iota \circ (X^{-1})^{-1}$. Conversely, suppose that (V, φ) is a smooth chart such that $X = \iota \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then $X(\varphi(V)) = V$ is open in S and X is a diffeomorphism onto V , which shows that X is a smooth local parametrization. \square

Theorem 64. [Exercise 5.36] Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed or embedded submanifold, and $p \in S$. A vector $v \in T_p M$ is in $T_p S$ if and only if there is a smooth curve $\gamma : J \rightarrow M$ whose image is contained in S , and which is also smooth as a map into S , such that $0 \in J$, $\gamma(0) = p$, and $\gamma'(0) = v$.

Proof. Let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. If $v \in T_p S$ then by Proposition 3.23 there is a smooth curve $\gamma_0 : J \rightarrow S$ such that $0 \in J$, $\gamma_0(0) = p$ and $\gamma_0'(0) = v$. Therefore $\gamma = \iota \circ \gamma_0$ is the desired smooth curve. Conversely, if there is a smooth curve γ with the specified properties then $\gamma_0 : J \rightarrow S$ given by $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is smooth. Therefore $\gamma_0'(0) \in T_p S$ and $v = \gamma'(0) = d\iota_p(\gamma_0'(0))$, so v is in $T_p S$ (as a subspace of $T_p M$). \square

Theorem 65. [Exercise 5.40] Suppose $S \subseteq M$ is a level set of a smooth map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ with constant rank. Then $T_p S = \ker d\Phi_p$ for each $p \in S$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 5.12 and Proposition 5.38. \square

Theorem 66. [Exercise 5.42] Suppose M is a smooth n -dimensional manifold with boundary, $p \in \partial M$, and (x^i) are any smooth boundary coordinates defined on a neighborhood of p . The inward-pointing vectors in $T_p M$ are precisely those with positive x^n -component, the outward-pointing ones are those with negative x^n -component, and the ones tangent to ∂M are those with zero x^n -component. Thus, $T_p M$ is the disjoint union of $T_p \partial M$, the set of inward-pointing vectors, and the set of outward-pointing vectors, and $v \in T_p M$ is inward-pointing if and only if $-v$ is outward-pointing.

Proof. As in Proposition 3.23. □

Theorem 67. [Exercise 5.44] Suppose M is a smooth manifold with boundary, f is a boundary defining function, and $p \in \partial M$. A vector $v \in T_p M$ is inward-pointing if and only if $vf > 0$, outward-pointing if and only if $vf < 0$, and tangent to ∂M if and only if $vf = 0$.

Proof. Let (x^1, \dots, x^n) be smooth coordinates defined on a neighborhood of p . Since f is a boundary defining function, $(\partial f / \partial x^i)(p) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $(\partial f / \partial x^n)(p) > 0$. Write $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i (\partial / \partial x^i)|_p$ so that

$$vf = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(p) = v^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^n}(p);$$

then the result follows from Theorem 66. □

Example 68. [Problem 5-1] Consider the map $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$\Phi(x, y, s, t) = (x^2 + y, x^2 + y^2 + s^2 + t^2 + y).$$

Show that $(0, 1)$ is a regular value of Φ , and that the level set $S = \Phi^{-1}(\{(0, 1)\})$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 .

We compute

$$D\Phi(x, y, s, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2x & 2y + 1 & 2s & 2t \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix clearly has full rank when $s \neq 0$ or $t \neq 0$, so suppose that $s = t = 0$. The determinant of the submatrix formed by the first two columns is

$$2x(2y + 1) - 2x = 4xy,$$

which is zero if and only if $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. In either case, we cannot have $\Phi(x, y, s, t) = (0, 1)$. This shows that $d\Phi_p$ is surjective for all $p \in S$. Define $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ by

$$\varphi(x, y, s, t) = (x, x^2 + y, s, t);$$

then φ is a diffeomorphism and $\tilde{\Phi} = (\Phi \circ \varphi^{-1})(u, v, s, t) = (v, v + (v - u^2)^2 + s^2 + t^2)$. It is easy to see that

$$\varphi(S) = \{(u, 0, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : u^4 + s^2 + t^2 = 1\}.$$

This set can be considered as an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^4 diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 , such that $\varphi|_S$ is a diffeomorphism. Therefore S is diffeomorphic to $\varphi(S)$.

Theorem 69. [Problem 5-2] If M is a smooth n -manifold with boundary, then with the subspace topology, ∂M is a topological $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold (without boundary), and has a smooth structure such that it is a properly embedded submanifold of M .

Proof. Let $x \in \partial M$ and choose a smooth boundary chart (U, φ) containing x . Then

$$\varphi(\partial M \cap U) = \{(x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \varphi(U) : x^n = 0\},$$

which shows that ∂M satisfies the local $(n - 1)$ -slice condition. The result follows from Theorem 5.8 and Proposition 5.5. \square

Theorem 70. [Problem 5-3] Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed submanifold. If any of the following holds, then S is embedded.

- (1) S has codimension 0 in M .
- (2) The inclusion map $S \subseteq M$ is proper.
- (3) S is compact.

Proof. If (1) holds then Theorem 4.5 shows that the inclusion map $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ is an open map. The result follows from Proposition 4.22. \square

Example 71. [Problem 5-4] Show that the image of the curve $\beta : (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of Example 4.19 given by

$$\beta(t) = (\sin 2t, \sin t)$$

is not an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .

It is well-known that the image of β with the subset topology is not a topological manifold at all. (This can be seen by considering the point $(0, 0)$, around which there is no coordinate map into \mathbb{R} .)

Example 72. [Problem 5-5] Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ be the curve of Example 4.20. Show that $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is not an embedded submanifold of the torus.

Again, $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ with the subset topology is not a topological manifold because it is not locally Euclidean.

Theorem 73. [Problem 5-6] Suppose $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an embedded m -dimensional submanifold, and let $UM \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^n$ be the set of all unit tangent vectors to M :

$$UM = \{(x, v) \in T\mathbb{R}^n : x \in M, v \in T_x M, |v| = 1\}.$$

It is called the **unit tangent bundle of M** . Prove that UM is an embedded $(2m - 1)$ -dimensional submanifold of $T\mathbb{R}^n \approx \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $(x, v) \in UM$. Since M is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , we can choose a smooth chart (U, φ) for \mathbb{R}^n containing x such that

$$\varphi(M \cap U) = \{(x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \varphi(U) : x^{m+1} = \dots = x^n = 0\}.$$

Similarly, \mathbb{S}^{m-1} is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^m , so we can choose a smooth chart (V, ψ) for \mathbb{R}^m containing v such that

$$\psi(\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \cap V) = \{(x^1, \dots, x^m) \in \psi(V) : x^m = 0\}.$$

Write $\varphi = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ and $\tilde{U} = \varphi^{-1}(U)$. By definition, the map $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ given by

$$v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p \mapsto (x^1(p), \dots, x^n(p), v^1, \dots, v^n)$$

is a coordinate map for $T\mathbb{R}^n$. By shrinking \tilde{U} , we can assume that $V \subseteq \pi(\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{U}))$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the projection onto the coordinates $n+1, \dots, n+m$. Define

$$\theta(x^1, \dots, x^n, v^1, \dots, v^n) = (x^1, \dots, x^n, \psi(v^1, \dots, v^m), v^{m+1}, \dots, v^n);$$

then θ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, and $\theta \circ \tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is still a coordinate map for $T\mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta \circ \tilde{\varphi})(UM \cap \tilde{U}) \\ &= \left\{ (x^1, \dots, x^n, v^1, \dots, v^n) \in (\theta \circ \tilde{\varphi})(\tilde{U}) : x^{m+1} = \dots = x^n = v^m = \dots = v^n = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so UM satisfies the local $(2m-1)$ -slice condition. By Theorem 5.8, UM is an embedded $(2m-1)$ -dimensional submanifold of $T\mathbb{R}^n$. \square

Example 74. [Problem 5-7] Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $F(x, y) = x^3 + xy + y^3$. Which level sets of F are embedded submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^2 ? For each level set, prove either that it is or that it is not an embedded submanifold.

We compute

$$DF(x, y) = [3x^2 + y \quad x + 3y^2],$$

which has full rank unless $3x^2 + y = 0$ and $x + 3y^2 = 0$. In this case, we have

$$y = -3x^2 = -3(-3y^2)^2 = -27y^4$$

and

$$0 = y + 27y^4 = y(3y + 1)(9y^2 - 3y + 1),$$

so $y = 0$ or $y = -\frac{1}{3}$. By symmetry, we have $x = 0$ or $x = -\frac{1}{3}$. From this, it is clear that $DF(x, y)$ has full rank if and only if $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(x, y) \neq (-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$. Note that $F(0, 0) = 0$ and $F(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{27}$. We first examine the level set $S_1 = F^{-1}(\{0\})$. The point $p = (0, 0)$ is a saddle point of F , so S_1 is a self-intersecting curve at p . Next, we examine the level set $S_2 = F^{-1}(\{\frac{1}{27}\})$. Since F has a strict local maximum at $p = (-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$, the point p is isolated in S_2 . In both cases, the level sets fail to be locally Euclidean. Therefore, we can conclude that $F^{-1}(\{c\})$ is an embedded 1-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 if and only if $c \neq 0$ and $c \neq \frac{1}{27}$.

Theorem 75. Any closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n is an n -dimensional manifold with boundary.

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n} = \overline{B_1(0)}$ be the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , let $N = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ be the “north pole”, and let $T = \{0\}^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$ be the vertical line connecting 0 and N . Define $\sigma : \overline{\mathbb{B}^n} \setminus T \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ given by

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{x_1}{\|x\| - x_n}, \dots, \frac{x_{n-1}}{\|x\| - x_n}, \|x\|^{-1} - 1 \right),$$

with its inverse given by

$$\sigma^{-1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{(u_n + 1)(\|\tilde{u}\|^2 + 1)}(2u_1, \dots, 2u_{n-1}, \|\tilde{u}\|^2 - 1)$$

where $\tilde{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$. Since both maps are continuous, this proves that $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n} \setminus T$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{H}^n . Furthermore, we can repeat the same argument by taking the vertical line $T = \{0\}^{n-1} \times [-1, 0]$ instead, giving us Euclidean neighborhoods of every point in $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$ except for 0. But the identity map on the open unit ball \mathbb{B}^n is a suitable coordinate chart around 0, so this proves that $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$ is an n -manifold with boundary. \square

Theorem 76. [Problem 5-8] Suppose M is a smooth n -manifold and $B \subseteq M$ is a regular coordinate ball. Then $M \setminus B$ is a smooth manifold with boundary, whose boundary is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Proof. We have coordinate balls around every point of $M \setminus \overline{B}$, so it remains to show that we have coordinate half-balls around each point of ∂B . Since B is a regular coordinate ball, this reduces to showing that $B_r(0) \setminus B_s(0)$ is a smooth manifold with boundary whenever $s < r$. But this follows easily from the stereographic projection described in Theorem 75. \square

Theorem 77. [Problem 5-9] Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the boundary of the square of side 2 centered at the origin (see Theorem 36). Then S does not have a topology and smooth structure in which it is an immersed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Suppose S has a topology and smooth structure for which the inclusion map $\iota : S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a smooth immersion. By Theorem 4.25, there is a neighborhood U of $(1, 1)$ such that $\iota|_U$ is a smooth embedding. We can then apply the argument of Theorem 36 to derive a contradiction. \square

Example 78. [Problem 5-10] For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let M_a be the subset of \mathbb{R}^2 defined by

$$M_a = \{(x, y) : y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - a)\}.$$

For which values of a is M_a an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 ? For which values can M_a be given a topology and smooth structure making it into an immersed submanifold? Let $F(x, y) = y^2 - x(x - 1)(x - a)$; then

$$DF(x, y) = [-(3x^2 - (2a + 2)x + a) \quad 2y].$$

Therefore 0 is a regular value of F unless there is a point (x, y) such that $y = 0$, $3x^2 - (2a + 2)x + a = 0$ and $F(x, y) = -x(x - 1)(x - a) = 0$. We have the following cases to consider:

$$\begin{aligned} a = 0 \text{ and } (x, y) &= (0, 0), \\ a = 1 \text{ and } (x, y) &= (1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

When $a = 0$ the point $(0, 0)$ is a local minimum of F , so $(0, 0)$ is an isolated point of M_0 . Therefore M_0 cannot be an embedded or immersed submanifold. When $a = 1$ the curve M_1 is self-intersecting at $(1, 0)$. By giving M_1 an appropriate topology in which it is disconnected, we can make M_1 an immersed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Theorem 79. [Problem 5-12] *Suppose E and M are smooth manifolds with boundary, and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth covering map. The restriction of π to each connected component of ∂E is a smooth covering map onto a component of ∂M .*

Proof. Let F be a connected component of ∂E . Let $x \in \pi(F)$, let U be an evenly covered neighborhood of x , choose e so that $x = \pi(e)$, and let \tilde{U} be the component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ containing e . Then $\pi|_{\tilde{U}} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism. It is clear that $\pi(\tilde{U} \cap F) = U \cap \partial M$. Since $\tilde{U} \cap F$ is an embedded submanifold of \tilde{U} and $\pi(\tilde{U} \cap F)$ is an embedded submanifold of U by Theorem 5.11, $\pi|_{\tilde{U} \cap F}$ is a diffeomorphism. This shows that $U \cap \partial M$ is an evenly covered neighborhood of x , and that the image of $\pi|_F$ is open. Let $x \notin \pi(F)$, let U be an evenly covered neighborhood of x , and let $V = U \cap \partial M$. By shrinking V if necessary, we may assume that V is a connected neighborhood of x in $U \cap \partial M$. Suppose there is a $f \in F$ such that $y = \pi(f) \in V$. Let \tilde{U} be the component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ containing f and choose $e \in \tilde{U}$ so that $x = \pi(e)$. Let γ be a path from x to y in V . Then $(\pi|_{\tilde{U}})^{-1} \circ \gamma$ is a path from e to f in $\tilde{U} \cap \partial E$, so $e \in F$ since $f \in F$ and F is a connected component of ∂E . This contradicts the fact that $x \notin \pi(F)$, so $V \subseteq \partial M \setminus \pi(F)$. This shows that $\pi(F)$ is both open and closed in ∂M , so it is a component of ∂M . \square

Theorem 80. [Problem 5-14] *Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed submanifold. For the given topology on S , there is only one smooth structure making S into an immersed submanifold.*

Proof. Let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map, which is a smooth immersion. Let S' denote S with some other smooth structure such that $\iota' : S' \hookrightarrow M$ is a smooth immersion. Since S and S' have the same topology, the maps $\iota : S \rightarrow S'$ and $\iota' : S' \rightarrow S$ are both continuous, and Theorem 5.29 shows that the maps are inverses of each other. Therefore S is diffeomorphic to S' . \square

Theorem 81. [Problem 5-16] *If M is a smooth manifold and $S \subseteq M$ is a weakly embedded submanifold, then S has only one topology and smooth structure with respect to which it is an immersed submanifold.*

Proof. Almost identical to Theorem 80. □

Theorem 82. [Problem 5-17] *Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $S \subseteq M$ is a smooth submanifold.*

- (1) *S is embedded only if every $f \in C^\infty(S)$ has a smooth extension to a neighborhood of S in M .*
- (2) *S is properly embedded only if every $f \in C^\infty(S)$ has a smooth extension to all of M .*

Proof. First suppose that S is embedded. Let $p \in S$ and choose a smooth slice chart (U_p, φ_p) for S in M containing p . Then $S \cap U_p$ is closed in U_p , so Proposition 2.25 shows that there is smooth function $f_p : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_p|_{S \cap U_p} = f|_{S \cap U_p}$. Let $\{\psi_p\}_{p \in S}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_p\}_{p \in S}$; then

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(x) f_p(x)$$

is a smooth function defined on $U = \bigcup_{p \in S} U_p$ such that $\tilde{f}|_S = f$, taking f_p to be zero on $U \setminus \text{supp } f_p$. For (2), Proposition 5.5 shows that S is closed in M . Therefore we have a partition of unity $\{\psi_p\} \cup \{\psi_0\}$ for M subordinate to $\{U_p\} \cup \{M \setminus S\}$, allowing \tilde{f} to be defined on all of M . □

Theorem 83. [Problem 5-19] *Suppose $S \subseteq M$ is an embedded submanifold and $\gamma : J \rightarrow M$ is a smooth curve whose image happens to lie in S . Then $\gamma'(t)$ is in the subspace $T_{\gamma(t)}S$ of $T_{\gamma(t)}M$ for all $t \in J$. This need not be true if S is not embedded.*

Proof. Corollary 5.30 shows that γ is smooth as a map into S . Denote this map by $\gamma_0 : J \rightarrow S$ and let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$. Then $\gamma = \iota \circ \gamma_0$, so $\gamma'(t) = d\iota_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma_0'(t))$ by Proposition 3.24 and therefore $\gamma'(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}S \subseteq T_{\gamma(t)}M$. This need not hold if S is merely an immersed submanifold. For example, consider a curve γ that crosses the point of self-intersection in the figure-eight curve β of Example 4.19, such that γ is not continuous as a map into the image of β . □

Theorem 84. [Problem 5-21] *Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.*

- (1) *For each regular value b of f , the sublevel set $f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$ is a regular domain in M .*
- (2) *If a and b are two regular values of f with $a < b$, then $f^{-1}([a, b])$ is a regular domain in M .*

Proof. Let m be the dimension of M . It is clear that $f^{-1}((-\infty, b))$ satisfies the local m -slice condition. Furthermore, there is a boundary slice chart around each point of $f^{-1}(\{b\})$. We can see this by applying the argument in Corollary 5.14, with the following modification to Theorem 5.12: for each $p \in f^{-1}(\{b\})$, the rank theorem shows that there are smooth charts (U, φ) centered at p and (V, ψ) containing b for which the coordinate representation \widehat{f} of f has the form

$$\widehat{f}(x^1, \dots, x^m) = x^1.$$

By modifying \widehat{f} and ψ appropriately, U becomes a boundary slice chart for $f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$ in M . Theorem 5.51 then shows that $f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$ is an embedded submanifold with boundary in M , and it is properly embedded since it is closed in M . Part (2) is similar. \square

Theorem 85. [Problem 5-22] *If M is a smooth manifold and $D \subseteq M$ is a regular domain, then there exists a defining function for D . If D is compact, then f can be taken to be a smooth exhaustion function for M .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{(U_p, \varphi_p)\}_{p \in D}$ be a collection of interior or boundary slice charts for D in M . Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{M \setminus D\}$ covers M , and we can repeat the argument of Proposition 5.43 by constructing functions $\{f_p\}_{p \in D} \cup \{f_0\}$ as follows: set $f_p = -1$ when $p \in \text{Int } D$, set $f_p(x^1, \dots, x^n) = -x^n$ when $p \in \partial D$, and set $f_0 = 1$ for $f_0 : M \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If D is compact then we can modify f by using the argument of Proposition 2.28, taking a countable open cover of $M \setminus D$ by precompact open subsets. \square

CHAPTER 6. SARD'S THEOREM

Theorem 86. [Exercise 6.7] *Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Any countable union of sets of measure zero in M has measure zero.*

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ where each A_n has measure zero. Let (U, φ) be a smooth chart; we want to show that

$$A \cap U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cap U$$

has measure zero. But this follows from the fact that

$$\varphi \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cap U \right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A_n \cap U)$$

has measure zero (in \mathbb{R}^n) since each $\varphi(A_n \cap U)$ has measure zero. \square

Theorem 87. [Problem 6-1] Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map. If $\dim M < \dim N$, then $F(M)$ has measure zero in N .

Proof. Let $n = \dim N$. Define $\tilde{F} : M \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow N$ by $(x, y) \mapsto F(x)$, where $k = \dim N - \dim M$. This map is smooth because it can be written as the composition $\pi \circ (F \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^k})$, where $\pi : N \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow N$ is the canonical projection. It suffices to show that the image of \tilde{F} has measure zero in N . Let $(U_1 \times U_2, \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2)$ and (V, ψ) be smooth charts for $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and N respectively such that $U_1 \subseteq M$ and $U_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. Let $U = U_1 \times U_2$ and $\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{F}(U) \cap V) &= \psi(\tilde{F}(U \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}(V))) \\ &= (\psi \circ \tilde{F} \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(U \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}(V))) \\ &\subseteq (\psi \circ \tilde{F} \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(U) \cap (\varphi_1(F^{-1}(V)) \times \{0\})), \end{aligned}$$

which has measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n by Proposition 6.5. Therefore $\tilde{F}(U)$ has measure zero. But $\tilde{F}(M \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ can be written as a union of countably many sets of this form, so $\tilde{F}(M \times \mathbb{R}^k) = F(M)$ has measure zero in N . \square

Theorem 88. [Problem 6-2] Every smooth n -manifold (without boundary) admits a smooth immersion into \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Proof. Let M be a smooth n -manifold; by Theorem 6.15, we can assume that M is an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . Let $UM \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ be the unit tangent bundle of M (see Theorem 73) and define $G : UM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$ by $(x, v) \mapsto [v]$. This map is smooth because it is the composition $UM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$. Since $\dim UM < \dim \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$, Sard's theorem shows that the image of G has measure zero in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$, and since $\pi(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ has measure zero in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$, there is a $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that $[v]$ is not in the image of G . Let $\pi_v : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be the projection with kernel $\mathbb{R}v$; then $\pi_v|_M$ is smooth. Furthermore, if $p \in M$ then $v \notin T_p M$, so $d(\pi_v|_M)_p$ is injective. \square

Theorem 89. [Problem 6-3] Let M be a smooth manifold, let $B \subseteq M$ be a closed subset, and let $\delta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive continuous function. There is a smooth function $\tilde{\delta} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is zero on B , positive on $M \setminus B$, and satisfies $\tilde{\delta}(x) < \delta(x)$ everywhere.

Proof. By Theorem 2.29, there is a smooth nonnegative function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = B$. By replacing f with $f/(f+1)$, we can assume that $f = 0$ on B and $0 < f \leq 1$ on $M \setminus B$. By Corollary 6.22, there is a smooth $e : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < e(x) < \delta(x)$ for all $x \in M$. Then $\tilde{\delta} = fe$ is the desired function. \square

Example 90. [Problem 6-7] By considering the map $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ given by $F(t) = (t, |t|)$ and the subset $A = [0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, show that the conclusions of Theorem 6.26 and Corollary 6.27 can be false when M has nonempty boundary.

F is smooth on the closed subset $[0, \infty)$, but there is no smooth map $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ such that $G|_A = F|_A$.

Theorem 91. [Problem 6-8] *Every proper continuous map between smooth manifolds is homotopic to a proper smooth map.*

Proof. Since the retraction $r : U \rightarrow M$ is proper, it suffices to show that if F is proper in Theorem 6.21, then \tilde{F} is also proper. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ be compact. Since δ is continuous, it attains some maximum $\delta(x_0)$ on E . Let B be a closed ball containing E such that for every $x \in E$, the closed ball of radius $\delta(x)$ around x is contained in B . Since $|F(x) - \tilde{F}(x)| < \delta(x)$, it is clear that $\tilde{F}^{-1}(E)$ is a closed subset of $F^{-1}(B)$. But F is proper, so $F^{-1}(B)$ is compact and therefore $\tilde{F}^{-1}(E)$ is compact. \square

Example 92. [Problem 6-9] Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the map

$$F(x, y) = (e^y \cos x, e^y \sin x, e^{-y}).$$

For which positive numbers r is F transverse to the sphere $S_r(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$? For which positive numbers r is $F^{-1}(S_r(0))$ an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 ?

We compute

$$DF(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} -e^y \sin x & e^y \cos x \\ e^y \cos x & e^y \sin x \\ 0 & -e^{-y} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have $\|F(x, y)\| = r$ if and only if

$$r^2 = e^{2y} \cos^2 x + e^{2y} \sin^2 x + e^{-2y} = e^{2y} + e^{-2y},$$

i.e.

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{2} (r^2 \pm \sqrt{r^4 - 4}) \right).$$

Thus $F^{-1}(S_r(0))$ is always an embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 , being either empty ($r < \sqrt{2}$), one line ($r = \sqrt{2}$) or two lines in \mathbb{R}^2 ($r > \sqrt{2}$). However, F is transverse to $S_r(0)$ if and only if $r > \sqrt{2}$. Let us examine the case $r = \sqrt{2}$: we have $y = 0$, so the image of $dF_{(x,y)}$ is spanned by the columns of

$$DF(x, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin x & \cos x \\ \cos x & \sin x \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding point in $S_{\sqrt{2}}(0)$ is $v(x) = (\cos x, \sin x, 1)$, so the tangent space consists of all vectors orthogonal to $v(x)$. But $v(x)$ is orthogonal to the columns of $DF(x, 0)$, so $dF_{(x,y)} + T_{v(x)}S_{\sqrt{2}}(0)$ is a proper subset of $T_{v(x)}\mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem 93. [Problem 6-15] Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds and $S \subseteq M \times N$ is an immersed submanifold. Let π_M and π_N denote the projections from $M \times N$ onto M and N , respectively. The following are equivalent:

- (1) S is the graph of a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$.
- (2) $\pi_M|_S$ is a diffeomorphism from S onto M .
- (3) For each $p \in M$, the submanifolds S and $\{p\} \times N$ intersect transversely in exactly one point.

If these conditions hold, then S is the graph of the map $f : M \rightarrow N$ defined by $f = \pi_N \circ (\pi_M|_S)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $m = \dim M$ and $n = \dim N$. Suppose $S = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in M\}$ for some smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$. Then S is the image of the map $g : M \rightarrow S$ given by $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$, so $\pi_M|_S$ is smooth with inverse g . Conversely, if $\pi_M|_S$ is a diffeomorphism then we can take $f = \pi_N \circ (\pi_M|_S)^{-1}$ in (1). This proves that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). If (1) holds then $d(\pi_M)_{g(p)} \circ dg_p$ is always nonzero, so $T_pS + T_p(\{p\} \times N) = T_p(M \times N)$. It is also clear that $S \cap (\{p\} \times N)$ consists of exactly one point. If (3) holds then $\pi_M|_S$ is a bijection. For every $p \in M$, the submanifolds S and $\{p\} \times N$ intersect transversely. Since $\dim(\{p\} \times N) = n < \dim(M \times N)$, we must have $\dim d(\pi_M|_S)_p(T_pS) \geq m$. Therefore $d(\pi_M|_S)_p$ is invertible for every $p \in M$, and $\pi_M|_S$ is a diffeomorphism. This proves that (1) \Leftrightarrow (3). \square

CHAPTER 7. LIE GROUPS

Theorem 94. [Exercise 7.2] If G is a smooth manifold with a group structure such that the map $t : G \times G \rightarrow G$ given by $(g, h) \mapsto gh^{-1}$ is smooth, then G is a Lie group.

Proof. The inversion map $i(g) = t(e, g)$ is smooth, and so is the multiplication map $m(g, h) = t(g, i(h)) = t(g, t(e, h))$. \square

Theorem 95. [Problem 7-1] For any Lie group G , the multiplication map $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is a smooth submersion.

Proof. For each $g \in G$, the map $\sigma_g : G \rightarrow G \times G$ given by $x \mapsto (g, g^{-1}x)$ is a smooth local section of m since $m(\sigma_g(x)) = gg^{-1}x = x$. Every point $(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G$ is in the image of σ_{g_1} , so Theorem 4.26 shows that m is a smooth submersion. \square

Theorem 96. [Problem 7-2] Let G be a Lie group.

- (1) Let $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ denote the multiplication map. Using Proposition 3.14 to identify $T_{(e,e)}(G \times G)$ with $T_e G \oplus T_e G$, the differential $dm_{(e,e)} : T_e G \oplus T_e G \rightarrow T_e G$ is given by

$$dm_{(e,e)}(X, Y) = X + Y.$$

- (2) Let $i : G \rightarrow G$ denote the inversion map. Then $di_e : T_e G \rightarrow T_e G$ is given by

$$di_e(X) = -X.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dm_{(e,e)}(X, Y) &= dm_{(e,e)}(X, 0) + dm_{(e,e)}(0, Y) \\ &= d(m^{(1)})_e(X) + d(m^{(2)})_e(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $m^{(1)} : G \rightarrow G$ is given by $x \mapsto m(x, e)$ and $m^{(2)} : G \rightarrow G$ is given by $y \mapsto m(e, y)$. But $m^{(1)} = m^{(2)} = \text{Id}_G$, so $dm_{(e,e)}(X, Y) = X + Y$. This proves (1). Let $n = m \circ p \circ s$ where $s : G \rightarrow G \times G$ is given by $x \mapsto (x, x)$ and $p : G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, i(y))$; then n is constant, so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= dn_e(X) \\ &= dm_{(e,e)}(dp_{(e,e)}(ds_e(X))) \\ &= dm_{(e,e)}(dp_{(e,e)}(X, X)) \\ &= dm_{(e,e)}(X, di_e(X)) \\ &= X + di_e(X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $di_e(X) = -X$. □

Theorem 97. [Problem 7-3] If G is a smooth manifold with a group structure such that the multiplication map $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is smooth, then G is a Lie group.

Proof. It suffices to show that the inversion map $i : G \rightarrow G$ is smooth. Define $F : G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$ by $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$, which is smooth and bijective. We have

$$dF_{(e,e)}(X, Y) = (X, X + Y),$$

so $dF_{(e,e)}$ is an isomorphism. Write L_g and R_g for the translation maps. If $(x, y) \in G \times G$ then it is easy to check that

$$F = ((L_{xy} \circ R_{y^{-1}}) \times \text{Id}_G) \circ F \circ ((L_{y^{-1}x^{-1}} \circ R_y) \times L_{y^{-1}}),$$

so $dF_{(x,y)} = \varphi \circ dF_{(e,e)} \circ \psi$ where φ, ψ are isomorphisms. Therefore $dF_{(x,y)}$ is an isomorphism and F is a bijective local diffeomorphism, i.e. a diffeomorphism. Since $i(g)$ is the second component of $F^{-1}(g, e)$, the inversion map i is smooth. □

Theorem 98. [Problem 7-4] Let $\det : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the determinant function. Then

$$d(\det)_X(B) = (\det X) \text{tr}(X^{-1}B).$$

Proof. Let $A \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$ write $A_{i,j}$ for the (i, j) entry of A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \det(I_n + tA) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (1 + \operatorname{tr}(A)t + \cdots) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(A). \end{aligned}$$

If $X \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $B \in T_X \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\det(X + tB) = \det(X) \det(I_n + tX^{-1}B),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} d(\det)_X(B) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \det(X + tB) \\ &= \det(X) \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \det(I_n + tX^{-1}B) \\ &= \det(X) \operatorname{tr}(X^{-1}B). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 99. [Problem 7-5] For any connected Lie group G , the universal covering group is unique in the following sense: if \tilde{G} and \tilde{G}' are simply connected Lie groups that admit smooth covering maps $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ and $\pi' : \tilde{G}' \rightarrow G$ that are also Lie group homomorphisms, then there exists a Lie group isomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}'$ such that $\pi' \circ \Phi = \pi$.

Proof. Let e be the identity in \tilde{G} and let e' be the identity in \tilde{G}' . By Theorem 50 there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}'$ such that $\pi' \circ \Phi = \pi$, so it remains to show that Φ is a group homomorphism. By replacing Φ with $\Phi(e)^{-1}\Phi = L_{\Phi(e)^{-1}} \circ \Phi$, we can assume that $\Phi(e) = e'$. (Since $\pi'(\Phi(e)^{-1}\Phi(g)) = \pi'(\Phi(e))^{-1}\pi(g) = \pi(g)$, we still have $\pi' \circ \Phi = \pi$.) If $g, h \in \tilde{G}$ then

$$\pi'(\Phi(gh)) = \pi(g)\pi(h) = \pi'(\Phi(g)\Phi(h)),$$

so $(g, h) \mapsto \Phi(gh)$ and $(g, h) \mapsto \Phi(g)\Phi(h)$ are both lifts of $(g, h) \mapsto \pi(gh)$. Since the maps all agree at the point (e, e) , we have $\Phi(gh) = \Phi(g)\Phi(h)$. □

Theorem 100. [Problem 7-6] Suppose G is a Lie group and U is any neighborhood of the identity. There exists a neighborhood V of the identity such that $V \subseteq U$ and $gh^{-1} \in U$ whenever $g, h \in V$.

Proof. Define $f(g, h) = gh^{-1}$ and let $W = f^{-1}(U)$. Since $(e, e) \in W$, there are neighborhoods W_1, W_2 of e such that $(e, e) \in W_1 \times W_2 \subseteq W$. Then $V = W_1 \cap W_2$ is the desired neighborhood of the identity. □

Theorem 101. [Problem 7-7] Let G be a Lie group and let G_0 be its identity component. Then G_0 is a normal subgroup of G , and is the only connected open subgroup. Every connected component of G is diffeomorphic to G_0 .

Proof. The subgroup H generated by G_0 is a connected open subgroup of G , so $H \subseteq G_0$ since G_0 is a connected component. Therefore $H = G_0$. For each $g \in G$, define the conjugation map $C_g : G \rightarrow G$ by $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$. This map is a Lie group isomorphism, so $C_g(G_0)$ is a connected open subgroup of G and again we have $C_g(G_0) = G_0$. Every left coset gG_0 is the image of G_0 under the diffeomorphism L_g , so gG_0 is a connected open subset of G . But G is the union of all such cosets, so the connected components of G are precisely the cosets of G_0 . \square

Theorem 102. [Problem 7-8] Suppose a connected topological group G acts continuously on a discrete space K . Then the action is trivial.

Proof. Let $\theta : G \times K \rightarrow K$ be the group action. For any $x \in K$ the set $\theta(G \times \{x\})$ is connected and therefore consists of one point. But $\theta(e, x) = x$, so $\theta(g, x) = x$ for every $g \in G$. \square

Theorem 103. [Problem 7-9, 7-10] The formula

$$A \cdot [x] = [Ax]$$

defines a smooth, transitive left action of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$. The same formula defines a smooth, transitive left action of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

Proof. The smooth map $\tilde{\theta} : \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ given by $(A, x) \mapsto [Ax]$ is constant on each line in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} since A is linear, so it descends to a smooth map $\theta : \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ given by $(A, [x]) \mapsto [Ax]$. It is easy to see that θ is a group action. Furthermore, for any two points $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ there is an invertible linear map that takes v to w , so θ is transitive. \square

Example 104. [Problem 7-11] Considering \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} as the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , define an action of \mathbb{S}^1 on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} , called the **Hopf action**, by

$$z \cdot (w^1, \dots, w^{n+1}) = (zw^1, \dots, zw^{n+1}).$$

This action is smooth and its orbits are disjoint unit circles in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} whose union is \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} .

Theorem 105. [Problem 7-12] Every Lie group homomorphism has constant rank.

Proof. If $F : G \rightarrow H$ is a Lie group homomorphism, then we can apply Theorem 7.25 with the left translation action on G and the action $g \cdot h = F(g)h$ on H . \square

Theorem 106. [Problem 7-13,7-14] For each $n \geq 1$, $U(n)$ is a properly embedded n^2 -dimensional Lie subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $SU(n)$ is a properly embedded $(n^2 - 1)$ -dimensional Lie subgroup of $U(n)$.

Proof. We can use the argument in Example 7.27, replacing the transpose operator with the conjugate transpose operator. \square

Theorem 107. [Problem 7-15] $SO(2)$, $U(1)$, and \mathbb{S}^1 are all isomorphic as Lie groups.

Proof. $U(1)$ is obviously identical to \mathbb{S}^1 . We also have a Lie group isomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow SO(2)$ given by

$$f(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(z) & -\operatorname{Im}(z) \\ \operatorname{Im}(z) & \operatorname{Re}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\theta = \arg(z)$. \square

Theorem 108. [Problem 7-16] $SU(2)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^3 .

Proof. Identifying \mathbb{S}^3 with the subspace $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$, we have a diffeomorphism

$$(z, w) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z & -\bar{w} \\ w & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

\square

Example 109. [Problem 7-17] Determine which of the following Lie groups are compact:

$$GL(n, \mathbb{R}), SL(n, \mathbb{R}), GL(n, \mathbb{C}), SL(n, \mathbb{C}), U(n), SU(n).$$

Clearly $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ are not compact. $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ are not compact, since the operator norm of

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & & \\ & r^{-1} & \\ & & I \end{bmatrix} \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$$

is unbounded as $r \rightarrow \infty$. However, $U(n)$ is compact since every unitary matrix has operator norm 1, and $SU(n)$ is compact because it is a properly embedded subgroup of $U(n)$.

Theorem 110. [Problem 7-18] Suppose G is a Lie group, and $N, H \subseteq G$ are Lie subgroups such that N is normal, $N \cap H = \{e\}$, and $NH = G$. Then the map $(n, h) \mapsto nh$ is a Lie group isomorphism between $N \rtimes_{\theta} H$ and G , where $\theta : H \times N \rightarrow N$ is the action by conjugation: $\theta_h(n) = hnh^{-1}$. Furthermore, N and H are closed in G .

Proof. Let φ be the map $(n, h) \mapsto nh$. If $nh = e$ then $n = h^{-1} \in N \cap H$, so $n = h = e$. Also, φ is surjective since $NH = G$. Therefore φ is a bijection. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((n, h)(n', h')) &= \varphi(nhn'h^{-1}, hh') \\ &= nhn'h^{-1}hh' \\ &= nhn'h' \\ &= \varphi(n, h)\varphi(n', h'),\end{aligned}$$

so φ is an isomorphism. Since φ is smooth, it is a Lie group isomorphism. \square

Theorem 111. [Problem 7-19] Suppose G , N , and H are Lie groups. Then G is isomorphic to a semidirect product $N \rtimes H$ if and only if there are Lie group homomorphisms $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ and $\psi : H \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_H$ and $\ker \varphi \cong N$.

Proof. Suppose there is an isomorphism $f : G \rightarrow N \rtimes_\theta H$. Let $\pi : N \rtimes_\theta H \rightarrow H$ be the projection $(n, h) \mapsto h$ and let $\iota : H \rightarrow N \rtimes_\theta H$ be the injection $h \mapsto (e, h)$. Take $\varphi = \pi \circ f$ and $\psi = \iota$; then $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_H$ and $\ker \varphi \cong N$. Conversely, suppose the homomorphisms φ and ψ exist. Let $N = \ker \varphi$ and $H_1 = \psi(H)$. Then N is normal in G and $N \cap H_1 = \{e\}$, since $x = \psi(h)$ and $x \in \ker \varphi$ implies that $h = \varphi(\psi(h)) = \varphi(x) = e$. For any $g \in G$ we have $\varphi(\psi(\varphi(g))) = \varphi(g)$, so $g = n\psi(\varphi(g))$ for some $n \in \ker \varphi$. Therefore $NH_1 = G$. By Theorem 110, G is a semidirect product. \square

Example 112. [Problem 7-20] Prove that the following Lie groups are isomorphic to semidirect products as shown.

- (1) $O(n) \cong SO(n) \rtimes O(1)$.
- (2) $U(n) \cong SU(n) \rtimes U(1)$.
- (3) $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*$.
- (4) $GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \cong SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$.

For (1) to (4), define φ by $M \mapsto \det(M)$ and ψ by

$$z \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z & \\ & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where I_{n-1} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ identity matrix, and apply Theorem 111.

Theorem 113. [Problem 7-23] Let \mathbb{H}^* be the Lie group of nonzero quaternions, and let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^*$ be the set of unit quaternions. Then \mathcal{S} is a properly embedded Lie subgroup of \mathbb{H}^* , isomorphic to $SU(2)$.

Proof. The norm $|\cdot| : \mathbb{H}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ is a smooth homomorphism, since

$$|(a, b)| = \sqrt{(a, b)(\bar{a}, -b)} = \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$$

and $|pq| = |p||q|$. Therefore $\ker |\cdot| = \mathcal{S}$ is a properly embedded Lie subgroup of \mathbb{H}^* . Furthermore, we have a Lie group isomorphism

$$(a, b) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix}$$

into $SU(2)$. □

CHAPTER 8. VECTOR FIELDS

Theorem 114. [Exercise 8.29] For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$[fX, gY] = fg[X, Y] + (fXg)Y - (gYf)X.$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} [fX, gY]h &= fX(gYh) - gY(fXh) \\ &= gfXYh + YhfXg - fgYXh - XhgYf \\ &= fg[X, Y]h + (fXg)Yh - (gYf)Xh. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 115. [Exercise 8.34] Let $A : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then $\ker A$ and $\text{im } A$ are Lie subalgebras.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\ker A$ and $\text{im } A$ are closed under brackets. If $X, Y \in \ker A$ then $A[X, Y] = [AX, AY] = 0$, so $[X, Y] \in \ker A$. Similarly, if $X, Y \in \text{im } A$ then $X = AX'$ and $Y = AY'$ for some $X', Y' \in \mathfrak{g}$, so $A[X', Y'] = [AX', AY'] = [X, Y]$ and $[X, Y] \in \text{im } A$. □

Theorem 116. [Exercise 8.35] Suppose \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} are finite-dimensional Lie algebras and $A : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a linear map. Then A is a Lie algebra homomorphism if and only if $A[E_i, E_j] = [AE_i, AE_j]$ for some basis (E_1, \dots, E_n) of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. One direction is obvious. Suppose that (E_1, \dots, E_n) is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and $A[E_i, E_j] = [AE_i, AE_j]$. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and write $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i E_i$ and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i E_i$; then

$$\begin{aligned} A[X, Y] &= A \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i E_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j E_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^n y_j A[E_i, E_j] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^n y_j [AE_i, AE_j] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[A \sum_{i=1}^n x_i E_i, A \sum_{j=1}^n y_j E_j \right] \\
&= [AX, AY].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 117. [Exercise 8.43] *If V is any finite-dimensional real vector space, the composition of canonical isomorphisms*

$$\text{Lie}(\text{GL}(V)) \rightarrow T_{\text{Id}} \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$$

yields a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\text{Lie}(\text{GL}(V))$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proof. Choose a basis B for V and let $\varphi : \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the associated Lie group isomorphism. It is also a Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ since it is linear. By Corollary 8.31 there is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\rho : \text{Lie}(\text{GL}(V)) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}))$ induced by the diffeomorphism φ , and by Proposition 8.41 there is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\psi : \text{Lie}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \rho$ is the desired Lie algebra isomorphism. □

Theorem 118. [Problem 8-1] *Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $A \subseteq M$ be a closed subset. Suppose X is a smooth vector field along A . Given any open subset U containing A , there exists a smooth global vector field \tilde{X} on M such that $\tilde{X}|_A = X$ and $\text{supp } \tilde{X} \subseteq U$.*

Proof. For each $p \in A$, choose a neighborhood W_p of p and a smooth vector field \tilde{X}_p on W_p that agrees with X on $W_p \cap A$. Replacing W_p by $W_p \cap U$ we may assume that $W_p \subseteq U$. The family of sets $\{W_p : p \in A\} \cup \{M \setminus A\}$ is an open cover of M . Let $\{\psi_p : p \in A\} \cup \{\psi_0\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to this cover, with $\text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq W_p$ and $\text{supp } \psi_0 \subseteq M \setminus A$.

For each $p \in A$, the product $\psi_p \tilde{X}_p$ is smooth on W_p by Proposition 8.8, and has a smooth extension to all of M if we interpret it to be zero on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$. (The extended function is smooth because the two definitions agree on the open subset $W_p \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$ where they overlap.) Thus we can define $\tilde{X} : M \rightarrow TM$ by

$$\tilde{X}_x = \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \tilde{X}_p|_x.$$

Because the collection of supports $\{\text{supp } \psi_p\}$ is locally finite, this sum actually has only a finite number of nonzero terms in a neighborhood of any point of M , and therefore

defines a smooth function. If $x \in A$, then $\psi_0(x) = 0$ and $\tilde{X}_p|_x = X_x$ for each p such that $\psi_p(x) \neq 0$, so

$$\tilde{X}_x = \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) X_x = \left(\psi_0(x) + \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \right) X_x = X_x,$$

so \tilde{X} is indeed an extension of X . It follows from Lemma 1.13(b) that

$$\text{supp } \tilde{X} = \overline{\bigcup_{p \in A} \text{supp } \psi_p} = \bigcup_{p \in A} \text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq U.$$

□

Theorem 119. [Problem 8-2] Let c be a real number, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is **positively homogeneous of degree c** , meaning that $f(\lambda x) = \lambda^c f(x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then $Vf = cf$, where V is the Euler vector field defined in Example 8.3.

Proof. We want to compute

$$(Vf)(x) = V_x f = \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x).$$

Differentiating $\lambda^c f(x) = f(\lambda x)$ with respect to λ on $(0, \infty)$, we have

$$c\lambda^{c-1} f(x) = Df(\lambda x)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(\lambda x).$$

Replacing x with $\lambda^{-1}x$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} c\lambda^{c-1} f(\lambda^{-1}x) &= \lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x) \\ \Rightarrow c\lambda^{c-1} \lambda^{-c} f(x) &= \lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x) \\ \Rightarrow cf(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x) = (Vf)(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 120. [Problem 8-3] Let M be a nonempty positive-dimensional smooth manifold with or without boundary. Then $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is infinite-dimensional.

Proof. Let $n = \dim M$. If $n \geq 2$ then the result is clear from Proposition 8.7 since there are infinitely many lines in \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e. $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$ is infinite. Suppose $n = 1$ and choose any diffeomorphism $\varphi : (-\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon) \rightarrow U$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ and U is open in M . For each $m = 1, 2, \dots$, define a vector field X_m along $\{1/k : k = 1, \dots, m\}$ by setting

$$X_m|_{1/k} = \begin{cases} 0, & k < m, \\ \frac{d}{dt}|_{1/k}, & k = m. \end{cases}$$

For each m , we have an extension \tilde{X}_m defined on $(-\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon)$ by Lemma 8.6. We will show that $\{\tilde{X}_m : m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is linearly independent by induction. Since \tilde{X}_m is nonzero, the set $\{\tilde{X}_{m_0}\}$ is linearly independent for any m_0 . Now suppose

$$a_1 \tilde{X}_{m_1} + \dots + a_r \tilde{X}_{m_r} = 0$$

with $m_1 < \dots < m_r$. Then

$$a_1 \tilde{X}_{m_1}|_{1/m_1} + \dots + a_r \tilde{X}_{m_r}|_{1/m_1} = a_1 \tilde{X}_{m_1}|_{1/m_1} = 0,$$

so $a_1 = 0$ since $\tilde{X}_{m_1}|_{1/m_1} \neq 0$, and $a_2 = \dots = a_r = 0$ by induction. Therefore $\{\varphi_* \tilde{X}_m : m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a set of linearly independent vector fields defined on U . We can extend these vector fields to M by restricting each $\varphi_* \tilde{X}_m$ to the closed set $\varphi([0, 1])$ and applying Lemma 8.6. This proves that $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is infinite-dimensional. \square

Theorem 121. [Problem 8-4] *Let M be a smooth manifold with boundary. There exists a global smooth vector field on M whose restriction to ∂M is everywhere inward-pointing, and one whose restriction to ∂M is everywhere outward-pointing.*

Proof. Let $n = \dim M$. Let $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ be a collection of smooth boundary charts whose domains cover ∂M . For each α , let X_α be the n th coordinate vector field. Let $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ be a partition of unity of $U = \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$ subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}$; then $X = \sum_\alpha \psi_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a smooth vector field defined on U . For any boundary defining function $f : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ we have

$$X_p f = \sum_\alpha \psi_\alpha X_\alpha|_p f > 0$$

since each $X_\alpha|_p$ is inward-pointing, so X_p is always inward-pointing. By restricting X to ∂M and applying Lemma 8.6, we have a global smooth vector field \tilde{X} whose restriction to ∂M is everywhere inward-pointing. Also, the restriction of $-\tilde{X}$ to ∂M is everywhere outward-pointing. \square

Theorem 122. [Problem 8-5] *Let M be a smooth n -manifold with or without boundary.*

- (1) *If (X_1, \dots, X_k) is a linearly independent k -tuple of smooth vector fields on an open subset $U \subseteq M$, with $1 \leq k < n$, then for each $p \in U$ there exist smooth*

vector fields X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n in a neighborhood V of p such that (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a smooth local frame for M on $U \cap V$.

- (2) If (v_1, \dots, v_k) is a linearly independent k -tuple of vectors in $T_p M$ for some $p \in M$, with $1 \leq k \leq n$, then there exists a smooth local frame (X_i) on a neighborhood of p such that $X_i|_p = v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.
- (3) If (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a linearly independent n -tuple of smooth vector fields along a closed subset $A \subseteq M$, then there exists a smooth local frame $(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n)$ on some neighborhood of A such that $\tilde{X}_i|_A = X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. See Theorem 162. □

Theorem 123. [Problem 8-6] Let \mathbb{H} be the algebra of quaternions and let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be the group of unit quaternions.

- (1) If $p \in \mathbb{H}$ is imaginary, then qp is tangent to \mathcal{S} at each $q \in \mathcal{S}$.
- (2) Define vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 on \mathbb{H} by

$$X_1|_q = qi, \quad X_2|_q = qj, \quad X_3|_q = qk.$$

These vector fields restrict to a smooth left-invariant global frame on \mathcal{S} .

- (3) Under the isomorphism $(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \leftrightarrow x^1 1 + x^2 i + x^3 j + x^4 k$ between \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{H} , these vector fields have the following coordinate representations:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= -x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\ X_2 &= -x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\ X_3 &= -x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. \mathcal{S} is a level set of the norm squared $|\cdot|^2 : \mathbb{H}^* \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, which is given by

$$|(a + bi, c + di)|^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$$

and has derivative

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2a & 2b & 2c & 2d \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $q = (a, b, c, d)$ then by Theorem 65, $T_q \mathcal{S}$ is the kernel of this matrix. If $p \in \mathbb{H}$ is imaginary then p has the form $(ei, f + gi)$, so

$$qp = (-be - cf - dg + (ae + cg - df)i, af - bg + de + (ag + bf - ce)i)$$

and

$$a(-be - cf - dg) + b(ae + cg - df) + c(af - bg + de) + d(ag + bf - ce) = 0.$$

Therefore $qp \in T_q\mathcal{S}$. This proves (1). For (2), let $g = (a, b, c, d)$ and $g' = (e + fi, g + hi)$. We compute

$$DL_g(g') = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -f \\ e \\ h \\ -g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -(be + af - dg + ch) \\ ae - bf - cg - dh \\ de - cf + bg + ah \\ -(ce + df + ag - bh) \end{bmatrix},$$

which shows that $d(L_g)_{g'}(X_1|_{g'}) = X_1|_{gg'}$, i.e. X_1 is left-invariant. Similar calculations show that X_2 and X_3 are left-invariant. \square

Example 124. [Problem 8-9] Show by finding a counterexample that Proposition 8.19 is false if we replace the assumption that F is a diffeomorphism by the weaker assumption that it is smooth and bijective.

Consider the smooth bijection $\omega : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ given by $s \mapsto e^{2\pi is}$ and consider the smooth vector field X given by $x \mapsto (1 - 2x) d/dt|_x$. There is no way of defining an F -related smooth vector field Y on \mathbb{S}^1 , since the sign of Y_1 is ambiguous.

Example 125. [Problem 8-10] Let M be the open submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 where both x and y are positive, and let $F : M \rightarrow M$ be the map $F(x, y) = (xy, y/x)$. Show that F is a diffeomorphism, and compute F_*X and F_*Y , where

$$X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad Y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

The inverse

$$F^{-1}(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}, \sqrt{uv} \right)$$

is smooth, so F is a diffeomorphism. We compute

$$DF(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ -y/x^2 & 1/x \end{bmatrix}$$

so that

$$DF(F^{-1}(u, v)) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} & \sqrt{u/v} \\ -v\sqrt{v/u} & \sqrt{v/u} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore the coordinates of F_*X are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} & \sqrt{u/v} \\ -v\sqrt{v/u} & \sqrt{v/u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{u/v} \\ \sqrt{uv} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the coordinates of F_*Y are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} & \sqrt{u/v} \\ -v\sqrt{v/u} & \sqrt{v/u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} uv \\ -v^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$F_*X = 2u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad F_*Y = uv\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v^2\frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

Example 126. [Problem 8-11] For each of the following vector fields on the plane, compute its coordinate representation in polar coordinates on the right half-plane $\{(x, y) : x > 0\}$.

- (1) $X = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.
- (2) $Y = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.
- (3) $Z = (x^2 + y^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Let M be the right half-plane. We have a diffeomorphism $F : M \rightarrow (0, \infty) \times (-\pi, \pi)$ given by $F(x, y) = (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \arctan(y/x))$, with inverse $F^{-1}(r, \theta) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$. Then

$$DF(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} x/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} & y/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\ -y/(x^2 + y^2) & x/(x^2 + y^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

so

$$DF(F^{-1}(r, \theta)) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -r^{-1} \sin \theta & r^{-1} \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -r^{-1} \sin \theta & r^{-1} \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta & r \cos \theta & r^2 \\ r \sin \theta & -r \sin \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r & r \cos 2\theta & r^2 \cos \theta \\ 0 & -\sin 2\theta & -r \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} X &= r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \\ Y &= r \cos 2\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \sin 2\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \\ Z &= r^2 \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 127. [Problem 8-12] Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ be the smooth map $F(x, y) = [x, y, 1]$, and let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be defined by $X = x\partial/\partial y - y\partial/\partial x$. Prove that there is a vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2)$ that is F -related to X , and compute its coordinate representation in

terms of each of the charts defined in Example 1.5. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ be the quotient map and define $\tilde{X} : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ by

$$\tilde{X}_{(x,y,z)} = d\pi \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_{(x,y,z)} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{(x,y,z)} \right).$$

Since \tilde{X} is constant on the fibers of π , it descends to a vector field $Y : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\tilde{U}_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be as in Example 1.5:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i[x^1, x^2, x^3] &= (x^1/x^i, x^2/x^i, x^3/x^i), \\ \varphi_i^{-1}(u^1, u^2, u^3) &= [u^1, \dots, u^{i-1}, 1, u^{i+1}, \dots, u^3]. \end{aligned}$$

The coordinate representations of $d\pi$ and Y with respect to φ_3 are given by

$$d\pi(u^1, u^2, u^3, v^1, v^2, v^3) = \left(\frac{u^1}{u^3}, \frac{u^2}{u^3}, 1, \frac{v^1}{u^3} - \frac{u^1 v^3}{(u^3)^2}, \frac{v^2}{u^3} - \frac{u^2 v^3}{(u^3)^2}, 0 \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y(u^1, u^2, u^3) &= d\pi \left(u^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_{(u^1, u^2, 1)} - u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{(u^1, u^2, 1)} \right) \\ &= (u^1, u^2, 1, -u^2, u^1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

On this chart, the coordinate representation of dF is

$$dF(u^1, u^2, v^1, v^2) = (u^1, u^2, 1, v^1, v^2, 0),$$

so for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} dF_{(x,y)}(X_{(x,y)}) &= dF \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_{(x,y,z)} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{(x,y,z)} \right) \\ &= (x, y, 1, -y, x, 0) \\ &= Y(x, y, 1). \end{aligned}$$

The computations for φ_1 and φ_2 are similar. This shows that Y is F -related to X .

Theorem 128. [Problem 8-13] *There is a smooth vector field on \mathbb{S}^2 that vanishes at exactly one point.*

Proof. Let $N = (0, 0, 1)$ be the north pole and let $\sigma : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the stereographic projection given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x^1, x^2, x^3) &= (x^1, x^2)/(1 - x^3), \\ \sigma^{-1}(u^1, u^2) &= (2u^1, 2u^2, |u|^2 - 1)/(|u|^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let X be the 1st coordinate vector field on \mathbb{R}^2 and define a vector field Y on \mathbb{S}^2 by

$$Y(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = N, \\ ((\sigma^{-1})_*X)_p & p \neq N. \end{cases}$$

This vector field vanishes at exactly one point. Let $S = (0, 0, -1)$ be the south pole and let $\tau : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{S\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the stereographic projection given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x^1, x^2, x^3) &= (x^1, x^2)/(1 + x^3), \\ \tau^{-1}(u^1, u^2) &= (2u^1, 2u^2, 1 - |u|^2)/(|u|^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\sigma \circ \tau^{-1})(u^1, u^2) = (2u^1, 2u^2)/(2|u|^2),$$

and the coordinate representation of Y for $(u^1, u^2) \neq (0, 0)$ with respect to τ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y(u^1, u^2) &= d(\sigma^{-1})_{(\sigma \circ \tau^{-1})(u^1, u^2)} X_{(\sigma \circ \tau^{-1})(u^1, u^2)} \\ &= d(\sigma^{-1})_{(\sigma \circ \tau^{-1})(u^1, u^2)} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \Big|_{(\sigma \circ \tau^{-1})(u^1, u^2)} \right) \\ &= \left(\tau^{-1}(u^1, u^2), \frac{2(1 - (u^1)^2 + (u^2)^2)}{(1 + |u|^2)^2}, -\frac{4u^1u^2}{(1 + |u|^2)^2}, -\frac{4u^1}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that Y is smooth on \mathbb{S}^2 . \square

Theorem 129. [Problem 8-14] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, let N be a smooth manifold, and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Define $F : M \rightarrow M \times N$ by $F(x) = (x, f(x))$. For every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, there is a smooth vector field on $M \times N$ that is F -related to X .

Proof. Let $m = \dim M$ and $n = \dim N$. By Lemma 8.6, it suffices to show that there is a smooth vector field along $F(M)$ that is F -related to X . By Proposition 5.4, $F(M)$ is an embedded m -submanifold of $M \times N$. Let $p \in F(M)$, let (U, φ) be a slice chart for $F(M)$ containing p , let $\tilde{p} = \varphi(p)$, and let $\tilde{U} = \varphi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. By shrinking U , we may assume that \tilde{U} is an open cube containing \tilde{p} , and that $\varphi(F(M) \cap U) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} : b = 0\}$. We identify $T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{U}$ with $\tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. Define a vector field Y on \tilde{U} by assigning $Y_{(a,b)}$ the coefficients of $d\varphi(X_{\pi(\varphi^{-1}(a,0))})$, where $\pi : M \times N \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection. Then Y is smooth, and $(\varphi^{-1})_*Y$ is a smooth vector field defined on U that agrees with X on $F(M) \cap U$. \square

Theorem 130. [Problem 8-15] Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $S \subseteq M$ is an embedded submanifold with or without boundary. Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$, there is a smooth vector field Y on a neighborhood of S in M such that $X = Y|_S$. Every such vector field extends to all of M if S is properly embedded.

Proof. As in Theorem 8.2. \square

Example 131. [Problem 8-16] For each of the following pairs of vector fields X, Y defined on \mathbb{R}^3 , compute the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$.

- (1) $X = y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 2xy^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}; \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$
 (2) $X = x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad Y = y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$
 (3) $X = x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad Y = x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$

For (1), we have

$$\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x} = -2y^2, \quad \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial y} = -4xy, \quad \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial y} = 1,$$

so

$$[X, Y] = 4xy\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

For (2), we have

$$\frac{\partial X^1}{\partial y} = -1, \quad \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial z} = -1, \quad \frac{\partial Y^3}{\partial y} = -1,$$

so

$$[X, Y] = z\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

For (3), we have

$$\frac{\partial X^1}{\partial y} = -1, \quad \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial Y^1}{\partial y} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x} = 1,$$

so

$$[X, Y] = 2x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Theorem 132. [Problem 8-17] Let M and N be smooth manifolds. Given vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, we can define a vector field $X \oplus Y$ on $M \times N$ by

$$(X \oplus Y)_{(p,q)} = (X_p, Y_q),$$

where we think of the right-hand side as an element of $T_pM \oplus T_qN$, which is naturally identified with $T_{p,q}(M \times N)$ as in Proposition 3.14. Then $X \oplus Y$ is smooth if X and Y are smooth, and $[X_1 \oplus Y_1, X_2 \oplus Y_2] = [X_1, X_2] \oplus [Y_1, Y_2]$.

Proof. The smoothness of $X \oplus Y$ follows easily from Proposition 8.1. If $f \in C^\infty(M \times N)$ then

$$[X_1 \oplus Y_1, X_2 \oplus Y_2]_{(p,q)} f = (X_1 \oplus Y_1)_{(p,q)}(X_2 \oplus Y_2)f - (X_2 \oplus Y_2)_{(p,q)}(X_1 \oplus Y_1)f$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (X_1|_p X_2 f_q, Y_1|_q Y_2 f_p) - (X_2|_p X_1 f_q, Y_2|_q Y_1 f_p) \\
&= ([X_1, X_2] f_q, [Y_1, Y_2] f_p) \\
&= ([X_1, X_2] \oplus [Y_1, Y_2]) f,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_q \in C^\infty(M)$ is the map $p \mapsto f(p, q)$ and $f_p \in C^\infty(N)$ is the map $q \mapsto f(p, q)$. \square

Theorem 133. [Problem 8-18] Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth submersion, where M and N are positive-dimensional smooth manifolds. Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, we say that X is a **lift of** Y if X and Y are F -related. A vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is said to be **vertical** if V is everywhere tangent to the fibers of F (or, equivalently, if V is F -related to the zero vector field on N).

- (1) If $\dim M = \dim N$, then every smooth vector field on N has a unique lift.
- (2) If $\dim M \neq \dim N$, then every smooth vector field on N has a lift, but it is not unique.
- (3) Assume in addition that F is surjective. Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, X is a lift of a smooth vector field on N if and only if $dF_p(X_p) = dF_q(X_q)$ whenever $F(p) = F(q)$. If this is the case, then X is a lift of a unique smooth vector field.

Proof. Let $m = \dim M$ and $n = \dim N$. If $m = n$ then F is a local diffeomorphism, and if $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ then we can define a smooth vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$X_p = (dF_p)^{-1}(Y_{F(p)}).$$

This is clearly the only vector field that satisfies $dF_p(X_p) = Y_{F(p)}$, and smoothness follows from Theorem 41 and the fact that dF is a local diffeomorphism. This proves (1). Now suppose $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ and $m \neq n$, i.e. $m > n$. Let $p \in M$, let $q = F(p)$, and choose smooth coordinates (x^i) centered at p and (y^i) centered at q in which F has the coordinate representation $\pi(x^1, \dots, x^m) = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$. If ε is a sufficiently small number, the coordinate cube

$$C_p = \{x : |x^i| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

is a neighborhood of p whose image under F is the cube

$$C'_p = \{y : |y^i| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Define a smooth vector field X_p on C_p by setting $X_p^i(x) = Y^i(F(x))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $X_p^i(x) = 0$ for $i = n + 1, \dots, m$. Then $dF_x(X_p|_x) = Y_{F(x)}$ for all $x \in C_p$. Choose a partition of unity $\{\psi_p\}$ subordinate to the open cover $\{C_p\}$. Then

$$X = \sum_{p \in M} \psi_p X_p$$

is a smooth vector field on M (taking $\psi_p X_p = 0$ on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$), and

$$\begin{aligned} dF_x(X_x) &= dF_x \left(\sum_{p \in M} \psi_p(x) X_p|_x \right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in M} \psi_p(x) dF_x(X_p|_x) \\ &= \sum_{p \in M} \psi_p(x) Y_{F(x)} \\ &= Y_{F(x)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M$. This proves (2). For (3), F is a quotient map. If X is a lift of some $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ then for all $p, q \in M$,

$$dF_p(X_p) = Y_{F(p)} = Y_{F(q)} = dF_q(X_q).$$

Conversely, if $dF_p(X_p) = dF_q(X_q)$ whenever $F(p) = F(q)$ then $dF \circ X$ is constant on the fibers of F , so it descends to a smooth vector field Y on N satisfying $dF \circ X = Y \circ F$, i.e. $dF_p(X_p) = Y_{F(p)}$ for all $p \in M$. \square

Theorem 134. [Problem 8-20] Let $A \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the subspace spanned by $\{X, Y, Z\}$, where

$$X = y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad Y = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad Z = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Then A is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 with the cross product.

Proof. Since $\{X, Y, Z\}$ is linearly independent, it is a basis for A . Simple computations similar to those in Example 131 show that A is closed under brackets. Define an isomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by setting $\varphi X = (1, 0, 0)$, $\varphi Y = (0, 1, 0)$, $\varphi Z = (0, 0, 1)$, and extending linearly. It is easy to check that φ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. For example,

$$\varphi[Z, X] = \varphi Y = [(0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0)] = [\varphi Z, \varphi X].$$

\square

Theorem 135. [Problem 8-21] Up to isomorphism, there are exactly one 1-dimensional Lie algebra and two 2-dimensional Lie algebras. All three algebras are isomorphic to Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Any 1-dimensional Lie algebra is abelian, and is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\{rI_2 : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Let A be a 2-dimensional Lie algebra and let $\{x, y\}$ be a basis for A . If A is abelian then it is isomorphic to the subalgebra

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{bmatrix}, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Otherwise, we have $[x, y] = ax + by$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, not both zero. Assume without loss of generality that $b \neq 0$. (If $b = 0$, then we can swap x and y .) Define an homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by setting

$$\varphi x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi y = \begin{bmatrix} -ab^{-1} & 0 \\ 1 & -ab^{-1}(1+b) \end{bmatrix}$$

and extending linearly. Then φ is an isomorphism onto its image, and it is easy to verify that $\varphi[x, y] = [\varphi x, \varphi y]$. \square

Theorem 136. [Problem 8-22] Let A be any algebra over \mathbb{R} . A **derivation** of A is a linear map $D : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying $D(xy) = (Dx)y + x(Dy)$ for all $x, y \in A$. If D_1 and D_2 are derivations of A , then $[D_1, D_2] = D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1$ is also a derivation. The set of derivations of A is a Lie algebra with this bracket operation.

Proof. Identical to Lemma 8.25. \square

Theorem 137. [Problem 8-23]

- (1) Given Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra with the bracket defined by

$$[(X, Y), (X', Y')] = ([X, X'], [Y, Y']).$$

- (2) Suppose G and H are Lie groups. Then $\text{Lie}(G \times H)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Lie}(G) \oplus \text{Lie}(H)$.

Proof. We have isomorphisms $\varepsilon : \text{Lie}(G \times H) \rightarrow T_e(G \times H)$, $\varepsilon_1 : \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow T_e(G)$ and $\varepsilon_2 : \text{Lie}(H) \rightarrow T_e(H)$. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$\varepsilon' : \text{Lie}(G) \oplus \text{Lie}(H) \rightarrow T_e(G) \oplus T_e(H) \cong T_e(G \times H),$$

and it remains to show that $\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \varepsilon'$ respects brackets. Let $(X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2) \in \text{Lie}(G) \oplus \text{Lie}(H)$; then

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \varepsilon')[(X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2)]_{(g,h)} &= ((\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \varepsilon')([X_1, Y_1], [X_2, Y_2]))_{(g,h)} \\ &= (\varepsilon^{-1}([X_1, Y_1]_e, [X_2, Y_2]_e))_{(g,h)} \\ &= d(L_{(g,h)})_{(e,e)}([X_1, Y_1] \oplus [X_2, Y_2])_{(e,e)} \\ &= d(L_{(g,h)})_{(e,e)}[X_1 \oplus X_2, Y_1 \oplus Y_2]_{(g,h)} \\ &= [X_1 \oplus X_2, Y_1 \oplus Y_2]_{(g,h)} \\ &= [(\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \varepsilon')(X_1, X_2), (\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \varepsilon')(Y_1, Y_2)]_{(g,h)} \end{aligned}$$

since $[X_1 \oplus X_2, Y_1 \oplus Y_2]$ is left-invariant (see Theorem 132). \square

Theorem 138. [Problem 8-24] Suppose G is a Lie group and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is said to be **right-invariant** if it is invariant under all right translations.

- (1) The set $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ of right-invariant vector fields on G is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(G)$.
(2) Let $i : G \rightarrow G$ denote the inversion map $i(g) = g^{-1}$. The pushforward $i_* : \mathfrak{X}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(G)$ restricts to a Lie algebra isomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Corollary 8.31. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ then for all $g, g' \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(R_g)_{g'}((i_*X)_{g'}) &= (d(R_g)_{g'} \circ di_{i^{-1}(g')})(X_{i^{-1}(g')}) \\
&= d(R_g \circ i)_{(g')^{-1}}(X_{(g')^{-1}}) \\
&= d(i \circ L_{g^{-1}})_{(g')^{-1}}(X_{(g')^{-1}}) \\
&= di_{(g'g)^{-1}}(d(L_{g^{-1}})_{(g')^{-1}}X_{(g')^{-1}}) \\
&= di_{(g'g)^{-1}}(X_{(g'g)^{-1}}) \\
&= (i_*X)_{g'g}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $i_*(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. A similar calculation shows that $i_*(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, so $(i_*)^{-1} = i_*$ and i_* is an isomorphism. Corollary 8.31 shows that i_* is a Lie algebra isomorphism. \square

Theorem 139. [Problem 8-25] *If G is an abelian Lie group, then $\text{Lie}(G)$ is abelian.*

Proof. If G is abelian then the inversion map $i : G \rightarrow G$ is a Lie group isomorphism. The induced Lie algebra isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(i_*X)_g &= d(L_g)_e(di_e(X_e)) \\
&= d(L_g)_e(-X_e) \\
&= -d(L_g)_eX_e \\
&= -X_g,
\end{aligned}$$

so $i_*X = -X$ for all $X \in \text{Lie}(G)$. Then

$$[X, Y] = [-X, -Y] = [i_*X, i_*Y] = i_*[X, Y] = -[X, Y],$$

so $[X, Y] = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$. This proves that $\text{Lie}(G)$ is abelian. \square

Theorem 140. [Problem 8-26] *Suppose $F : G \rightarrow H$ is a Lie group homomorphism. The kernel of $F_* : \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(H)$ is the Lie algebra of $\ker F$ (under the identification of the Lie algebra of a subgroup with a Lie subalgebra as in Theorem 8.46).*

Proof. Consider ι_*X for some $X \in \ker F$, where $\iota : \ker F \hookrightarrow G$ is the inclusion map. Then $F_*\iota_*X = (F \circ \iota)_*X = 0$ since $F \circ \iota = 0$. This shows that $\iota_*(\text{Lie}(\ker F)) \subseteq \ker F_*$. Conversely, suppose $F_*Y = 0$ for some $Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$. By definition we have $dF_e(Y_e) = 0$, so $Y_e \in \ker(dF_e) = T_e(\ker F)$ by Theorem 65. By Theorem 8.46, this shows that $\ker F_* \subseteq \iota_*(\text{Lie}(\ker F))$. \square

Theorem 141. [Problem 8-27] Let G and H be Lie groups, and suppose $F : G \rightarrow H$ is a Lie group homomorphism that is also a local diffeomorphism. The induced homomorphism $F_* : \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(H)$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.

Proof. Since F is a local diffeomorphism, dF_e is bijective. If $F_*X = 0$ then $dF_e(X_e) = 0$, so $X_e = 0$ and $X = 0$. Suppose $Y \in \text{Lie}(H)$. Let $\varepsilon : \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow T_eG$ be the canonical isomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (F_*\varepsilon^{-1}(dF_e)^{-1}Y_e)_e &= dF_e((\varepsilon^{-1}(dF_e)^{-1}Y_e)_e) \\ &= dF_e((dF_e)^{-1}Y_e) \\ &= Y_e, \end{aligned}$$

so $F_*(\varepsilon^{-1}(dF_e)^{-1}Y_e) = Y$. This proves that F_* is a bijection. \square

Theorem 142. [Problem 8-28] Considering $\det : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ as a Lie group homomorphism, its induced Lie algebra homomorphism is $\text{tr} : \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 98. \square

Example 143. [Problem 8-29] Theorem 8.46 implies that the Lie algebra of any Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is canonically isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, with a similar statement for Lie subgroups of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Under this isomorphism, show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})) &\cong \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \\ \text{Lie}(\text{SO}(n)) &\cong \mathfrak{o}(n), \\ \text{Lie}(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})) &\cong \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}), \\ \text{Lie}(\text{U}(n)) &\cong \mathfrak{u}(n), \\ \text{Lie}(\text{SU}(n)) &\cong \mathfrak{su}(n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) &= \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : \text{tr } A = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{o}(n) &= \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : A^T + A = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) &= \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) : \text{tr } A = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{u}(n) &= \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) : A^* + A = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{su}(n) &= \mathfrak{u}(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \ker \det$, so $\text{Lie}(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})) \cong \ker \det_* = \ker \text{tr} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The same computation holds for $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The others follow by considering the kernel of the map $A \mapsto A^T A$ (or $A \mapsto A^* A$ in the complex case).

Example 144. [Problem 8-30] Show by giving an explicit isomorphism that $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(3)$ are isomorphic Lie algebras, and that both are isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 with the cross product.

We have an isomorphism from \mathbb{R}^3 to $\mathfrak{o}(3)$ given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c & -b \\ -c & 0 & a \\ b & -a & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also have an isomorphism from \mathbb{R}^3 to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} ai & b + ci \\ -b + ci & -ai \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 145. [Problem 8-31] Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. A linear subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is called an *ideal in \mathfrak{g}* if $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{h}$ whenever $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

- (1) If \mathfrak{h} is an ideal in \mathfrak{g} , then the quotient space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ has a unique Lie algebra structure such that the projection $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.
- (2) A subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal if and only if it is the kernel of a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Define a bracket on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ by $[X + \mathfrak{h}, Y + \mathfrak{h}] = [X, Y] + \mathfrak{h}$. If $X' + \mathfrak{h} = X + \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y' + \mathfrak{h} = Y + \mathfrak{h}$ then

$$[X', Y'] = [X' + X - X', Y'] = [X, Y' + Y - Y'] = [X, Y]$$

since $X - X' \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y - Y' \in \mathfrak{h}$, which shows that the bracket is well-defined. It is clearly the unique bracket making π a Lie algebra homomorphism. If $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal then it is the kernel of the projection $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Conversely, if f is a Lie algebra homomorphism then $\ker f$ is an ideal since $X \in \ker f$ implies that

$$f[X, Y] = [fX, fY] = 0.$$

□

CHAPTER 9. INTEGRAL CURVES AND FLOWS

Theorem 146. [Exercise 9.37] Suppose $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and W is a smooth vector field on an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The directional derivative

$$D_v W(p) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} W_{p+tv}$$

is equal to $(\mathcal{L}_V W)_p$, where V is the vector field $V = v^i \partial / \partial x^i$ with constant coefficients in standard coordinates.

Proof. The flow of V is given by $\theta(t, x) = x + tv$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V W)_p &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} d(\theta_{-t})_{\theta_t(p)}(W_{\theta_t(p)}) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} d(\theta_{-t})_{p+tv}(W_{p+tv}) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} W_{p+tv} \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta_{-t}(x) = x - tv$ is a translation of x . \square

Theorem 147. [Problem 9-1] Suppose M is a smooth manifold, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, and γ is a maximal integral curve of X .

- (1) We say that γ is **periodic** if there is a number $T > 0$ such that $\gamma(t+T) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Exactly one of the following holds:
 - (a) γ is constant.
 - (b) γ is injective.
 - (c) γ is periodic and nonconstant.
- (2) If γ is periodic and nonconstant, then there exists a unique positive number T (called the **period of γ**) such that $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$ if and only if $t - t' = kT$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) The image of γ is an immersed submanifold of M , diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{S}^1 , or \mathbb{R}^0 .

Proof. If γ is constant then (b) and (c) cannot hold, so we assume that γ is nonconstant. If γ is not injective then $\gamma(t_0) = \gamma(t_1)$ for some points $t_0 < t_1$, and γ is defined on at least $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_1 + \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $T = t_1 - t_0$. Then γ and $t \mapsto \gamma(t_1 + t)$ are both integral curves of X starting at $\gamma(t_0)$, so Theorem 9.12 shows that γ must be defined on at least $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_1 + T + \varepsilon)$. By induction, $t_0 + kT$ is in the domain of γ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so γ is defined on \mathbb{R} and has period T . This proves (1). For (2), let A be the set of all $T > 0$ such that $\gamma(t+T) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is nonempty since γ is periodic. If we can show that A is closed, then $T_0 = \inf A \in A$ is the period of γ . But if $T \notin A$ then $\gamma(t+T) \neq \gamma(t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so

$$\gamma^{-1}(M \setminus \{\gamma(t)\}) - t = \{s - t : \gamma(s) \neq \gamma(t)\}$$

is a neighborhood of T contained in $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$. For (3), if γ is constant then the image of γ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^0 . Otherwise, Proposition 9.21 shows that γ is a smooth immersion. If γ is injective then Proposition 5.18 shows that the image of γ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R} , and if γ is periodic then it descends to a smooth injective immersion from \mathbb{S}^1 to M (using a suitable smooth covering of \mathbb{S}^1 by \mathbb{R}), which is a diffeomorphism onto its image by Proposition 5.18. \square

Theorem 148. [Problem 9-2] Suppose M is a smooth manifold, $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed submanifold, and V is a smooth vector field on M that is tangent to S .

- (1) For any integral curve γ of V such that $\gamma(t_0) \in S$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\gamma((t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)) \subseteq S$.
- (2) If S is properly embedded, then every integral curve that intersects S is contained in S .
- (3) (2) need not hold if S is not closed.

Proof. Let $x = \gamma(t_0) \in S$. By Proposition 8.23, there is a smooth vector field $V|_S$ on S that is ι -related to V . Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow S$ be a maximal integral curve of $V|_S$ starting at x , where I contains $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$(\iota \circ \alpha)'(0) = d\iota_x(\alpha'(0)) = d\iota_x((V|_S)_x) = V_x,$$

which shows that $\iota \circ \alpha$ is an integral curve of V starting at x . By Theorem 9.12, γ is defined on $J = (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$ and $\gamma(t) = (\iota \circ \alpha)(t - t_0) \in S$ for $t \in J$. This proves (1). Now suppose that S is properly embedded and let γ be an integral curve that intersects S , i.e. $\gamma(t_0) \in S$ for some t_0 . Let α be defined as above. By Theorem 9.12, γ is defined on at least $I + t_0 = (a, b)$ and $\gamma(t) \in S$ for all $t \in (a, b)$. Suppose γ can be extended to an open interval J larger than (a, b) . Since S is closed, $\gamma(b) \in S$ by continuity. Then (1) shows that $\gamma((b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon)) \subseteq S$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, which contradicts the maximality of α . This proves (2). For (3), let S be the open ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R} and let V be the 1st coordinate vector field on \mathbb{R} . Then $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\theta(t, x) = x + t$ is an integral curve of V that intersects S , but θ is not contained in S . \square

Example 149. [Problem 9-3] Compute the flow of each of the following vector fields on \mathbb{R}^2 :

- (1) $V = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.
- (2) $W = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.
- (3) $X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.
- (4) $Y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

Let $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ be a curve. We have the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= y(t), & y'(t) &= 1, \\ x'(t) &= x(t), & y'(t) &= 2y(t), \\ x'(t) &= x(t), & y'(t) &= -y(t), \\ x'(t) &= y(t), & y'(t) &= x(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the flows (in this order) are

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_t(x, y) &= \left(x + ty + \frac{1}{2}t^2, y + t \right), \\ \theta_t(x, y) &= (xe^t, ye^{2t}), \\ \theta_t(x, y) &= (xe^t, ye^{-t}), \\ \theta_t(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} (e^t(x + y) + e^{-t}(x - y), e^t(x + y) - e^{-t}(x - y)).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 150. [Problem 9-4] For any integer $n \geq 1$, define a flow on the odd-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\theta(t, z) = e^{it}z$. The infinitesimal generator of θ is a smooth nonvanishing vector field on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} .

Proof. This is obvious. □

Theorem 151. [Problem 9-5] Suppose M is a smooth, compact manifold that admits a nowhere vanishing smooth vector field. There exists a smooth map $F : M \rightarrow M$ that is homotopic to the identity and has no fixed points.

Proof. Let V be a nowhere vanishing smooth vector field on M . Since M is compact, Corollary 9.17 shows that there is a global flow $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ of V . By Theorem 9.22, each p has a neighborhood U_p in which V has the coordinate representation $\partial/\partial s^1$. Choosing a sufficiently small neighborhood V_p of p , there is some $T_p > 0$ such that $\theta_t(x) = x + (t, 0, \dots, 0)$ in local coordinates for all $0 \leq t \leq T_p$ and $x \in V_p$. Since $\{V_p : p \in M\}$ is an open cover of M , there is a finite subcover $\{V_{p_1}, \dots, V_{p_n}\}$. Let $T = \min\{T_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_n}\}$. Then θ_T has no fixed points, and the map $H : M \times I \rightarrow M$ given by $(x, t) \mapsto \theta(tT, x)$ is a homotopy from the identity to θ_T . □

Theorem 152. [Problem 9-6] Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. If $\gamma : J \rightarrow M$ is a maximal integral curve of V whose domain J has a finite least upper bound b , then for any $t_0 \in J$, $\gamma([t_0, b))$ is not contained in any compact subset of M .

Proof. Let θ be the flow of V . Let $\{b_n\}$ be an increasing sequence contained in $[t_0, b)$ and converging to b . Since $\gamma([t_0, b))$ is contained in a compact set $E \subseteq M$, there is a subsequence $\{\gamma(b_{n_k})\}$ of $\{\gamma(b_n)\}$ that converges to a point p in E . Choose some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood U of p such that θ is defined on $(-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) \times U$, and choose an integer m such that $b_m \in (b - \varepsilon, b)$ and $\gamma(b_m) \in U$. Define $\gamma_1 : [t_0, b + \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ by

$$\gamma_1(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t), & t_0 \leq t < b, \\ \theta^{(\gamma(b_m))}(t - b_m) & b \leq t < b + \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For all $t \in (b_m, b)$ we have

$$\theta^{(\gamma(b_m))}(t - b_m) = \theta_{t-b_m}(\gamma(b_m)) = \gamma(t),$$

so γ_1 is smooth because γ and $t \mapsto \theta^{(\gamma(b_m))}(t - b_m)$ agree where they overlap. But γ_1 extends γ at b , which contradicts the maximality of γ . \square

Theorem 153. [Problem 9-7] *Let M be a connected smooth manifold. The group of diffeomorphisms of M acts transitively on M : that is, for any $p, q \in M$, there is a diffeomorphism $F : M \rightarrow M$ such that $F(p) = q$.*

Proof. First assume that $M = \mathbb{B}^n$. By applying Lemma 8.6 to the map $x \mapsto q - p$ defined on the line segment between p and q , we have a compactly supported smooth vector field on \mathbb{B}^n whose flow θ satisfies $\theta_1(p) = q$. Theorem 9.16 shows that θ_1 is a diffeomorphism on \mathbb{B}^n , which proves the result for $M = \mathbb{B}^n$. The general case follows from Theorem 29 and the fact that every point of M is contained in a coordinate ball. \square

Theorem 154. [Problem 9-8] *Let M be a smooth manifold and let $S \subseteq M$ be a compact embedded submanifold. Suppose $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a smooth vector field that is nowhere tangent to S . There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the flow of V restricts to a smooth embedding $\Phi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times S \rightarrow M$.*

Proof. Let $\theta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow M$ be the flow of V and let $\Phi = \theta_{\mathcal{O}}$ where $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{R} \times S) \cap \mathcal{D}$. Let $\delta : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as in Theorem 9.20. Since S is compact, δ attains a minimum α on S , and $\Phi : (-\alpha, \alpha) \times S \rightarrow M$ is a smooth immersion. Let $\varepsilon = \alpha/2$. Then $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times S$ is compact, so $\Phi : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times S \rightarrow M$ is a smooth embedding. Therefore $\Phi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times S \rightarrow M$ is also a smooth embedding. \square

Theorem 155. [Problem 9-9] *Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $S \subseteq M$ is an embedded hypersurface (not necessarily compact). Suppose further that there is a smooth vector field V defined on a neighborhood of S and nowhere tangent to S . Then S has a neighborhood in M diffeomorphic to $(-1, 1) \times S$, under a diffeomorphism that restricts to the obvious identification $\{0\} \times S \approx S$.*

Proof. Using Theorem 9.20, we have that $\Phi|_{\mathcal{O}_\delta}$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open submanifold of M . So it remains to show that $\mathcal{O}_\delta = \{(t, p) \in \mathcal{O} : |t| < \delta(p)\}$ is diffeomorphic to $(-1, 1) \times S$. Let $\varphi : (-1, 1) \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\delta$ be given by $(t, p) \mapsto (t\delta(p), p)$; then φ is smooth, and its inverse $\varphi^{-1}(t, p) = (t/\delta(p), p)$ is also smooth. \square

Example 156. [Problem 9-10] For each vector field in Example 149, find smooth coordinates in a neighborhood of $(1, 0)$ for which the given vector field is a coordinate vector field.

We only consider the first vector field. We have $V_{(1,0)} = \partial/\partial y$, so we can take S to be the x -axis, parametrized by $X(s) = (s, 0)$. Therefore

$$\Psi(t, s) = \theta_t(s, 0) = \left(s + \frac{1}{2}t^2, t \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{-1}(x, y) &= \left(x - \frac{1}{2}y^2, y \right); \\ D\Psi^{-1}(x, y) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

In these coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}V &= y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \left(-s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.\end{aligned}$$

CHAPTER 10. VECTOR BUNDLES

Theorem 157. [Exercise 10.1] Suppose E is a smooth vector bundle over M . The projection map $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a surjective smooth submersion.

Proof. Let $v \in E$ and let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^k$ be a smooth local trivialization of E such that $\pi(v) \in U$. Then $\pi_U \circ \Phi = \pi$, so $d\pi_v = d(\pi_U)_{\pi(v)} \circ d\Phi_v$ is surjective since $d\Phi_v$ and $d(\pi_U)_{\pi(v)}$ are both surjective. \square

Theorem 158. [Exercise 10.9] The zero section ζ of every vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is continuous, and the zero section of every smooth vector bundle is smooth.

Proof. Let $p \in M$ and let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^k$ be a local trivialization of E such that $p \in U$. We have $(\Phi \circ \zeta)(x) = (x, 0)$ for all $x \in U$, so $\Phi \circ \zeta|_U$ is continuous and $\zeta|_U$ is continuous since Φ is a homeomorphism. But p was arbitrary, so ζ is continuous. A similar argument holds if π is a smooth vector bundle. \square

Theorem 159. [Exercise 10.11] Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle.

- (1) If $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, then $f\sigma + g\tau \in \Gamma(E)$.
- (2) $\Gamma(E)$ is a module over the ring $C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. Let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^k$ be a local trivialization of E ; then

$$(\Phi \circ (f\sigma + g\tau))(x) = (x, f(x)\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\sigma(x)) + g(x)\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\tau(x))),$$

so $f\sigma + g\tau$ is smooth on U . Therefore $f\sigma + g\tau$ is smooth. \square

Theorem 160. [Exercise 10.13] Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle over a smooth manifold M with or without boundary. Suppose A is a closed subset of M , and $\sigma : A \rightarrow E$ is a section of $E|_A$ that is smooth in the sense that σ extends to a smooth local section of E in a neighborhood of each point. For each open subset $U \subseteq M$ containing A , there exists a global smooth section $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Gamma(E)$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_A = \sigma$ and $\text{supp } \tilde{\sigma} \subseteq U$.

Proof. For each $p \in A$, choose a neighborhood W_p of p and a local section $\tilde{\sigma}_p : W_p \rightarrow E$ of E that agrees with σ on $W_p \cap A$. Replacing W_p by $W_p \cap U$ we may assume that $W_p \subseteq U$. The family of sets $\{W_p : p \in A\} \cup \{M \setminus A\}$ is an open cover of M . Let $\{\psi_p : p \in A\} \cup \{\psi_0\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to this cover, with $\text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq W_p$ and $\text{supp } \psi_0 \subseteq M \setminus A$.

For each $p \in A$, the product $\psi_p \tilde{\sigma}_p$ is smooth on W_p by Theorem 159, and has a smooth extension to all of M if we interpret it to be zero on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$. (The extended function is smooth because the two definitions agree on the open subset $W_p \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$ where they overlap.) Thus we can define $\tilde{\sigma} : M \rightarrow E$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \tilde{\sigma}_p(x).$$

Because the collection of supports $\{\text{supp } \psi_p\}$ is locally finite, this sum actually has only a finite number of nonzero terms in a neighborhood of any point of M , and therefore defines a smooth function. If $x \in A$, then $\psi_0(x) = 0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_p(x) = \sigma(x)$ for each p such that $\psi_p(x) \neq 0$, so

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \sigma(x) = \left(\psi_0(x) + \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \right) \sigma(x) = \sigma(x),$$

so $\tilde{\sigma}$ is indeed an extension of σ . It follows from Lemma 1.13(b) that

$$\text{supp } \tilde{\sigma} = \overline{\bigcup_{p \in A} \text{supp } \psi_p} = \bigcup_{p \in A} \text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq U.$$

□

Theorem 161. [Exercise 10.14] Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle. Every element of E is in the image of a smooth global section.

Proof. Let $v \in E$ and let $p = \pi(v)$. The assignment $p \mapsto v$ is a section of $E|_{\{p\}}$, which is easily seen to be smooth in the sense of Theorem 160. □

Theorem 162. [Exercise 10.16] Suppose $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth vector bundle of rank k .

- (1) If $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ is a linearly independent m -tuple of smooth local sections of E over an open subset $U \subseteq M$, with $1 \leq m < k$, then for each $p \in U$ there exist smooth sections $\sigma_{m+1}, \dots, \sigma_k$ defined on some neighborhood V of p such that $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is a smooth local frame for E over $U \cap V$.
- (2) If (v_1, \dots, v_m) is a linearly independent m -tuple of elements of E_p for some $p \in M$, with $1 \leq m \leq k$, then there exists a smooth local frame (σ_i) for E over some neighborhood of p such that $\sigma_i(p) = v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.
- (3) If $A \subseteq M$ is a closed subset and (τ_1, \dots, τ_k) is a linearly independent k -tuple of sections of $E|_A$ that are smooth in the sense described in Theorem 160, then there exists a smooth local frame $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ for E over some neighborhood of A such that $\sigma_i|_A = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Choose a smooth local trivialization $\Phi : \varphi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $p \in V$. By shrinking V , we may assume there is a coordinate map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and that $V \subseteq U$; let (x^i) be the coordinates. Let $v_i = (\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ \Phi \circ \sigma_i)(p)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and complete $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ to a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of \mathbb{R}^k . For each $i = m+1, \dots, k$, let $\sigma_i : V \rightarrow E$ be the local section of E defined by $\sigma_i(x) = \Phi^{-1}(x, v_i)$. Let W be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^k spanned by $\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_k\}$; then $F = \Phi^{-1}(V \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W))$ is open, so $V_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(F)$ is a neighborhood of p for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\tilde{V} = V \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m$. Then $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ is a smooth local frame for E on \tilde{V} since $(\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ \Phi \circ \sigma_i)(x) \notin W$ for all $x \in \tilde{V}$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. This proves (1). Part (2) follows by choosing constant coefficient local sections σ_i such that $\sigma_i(p) = v_i$ on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p . For (3), apply Theorem 160 to each τ_i and restrict to a sufficiently small neighborhood of A . \square

Theorem 163. [Exercise 10.27] *A smooth rank- k vector bundle over M is smoothly trivial if and only if it is smoothly isomorphic over M to the product bundle $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$.*

Proof. If $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is smoothly trivial then there is a trivialization $\Phi : E \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^k$. This map satisfies $\pi_M \circ \Phi = \pi$, so E and $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ are smoothly isomorphic over M . The converse is similar. \square

Theorem 164. [Exercise 10.31] *Given a smooth vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and a smooth subbundle $D \subseteq E$, the inclusion map $\iota : D \hookrightarrow E$ is a smooth bundle homomorphism over M .*

Proof. This is simply the condition $\pi \circ \iota = \pi|_D$. \square

Theorem 165. [Problem 10-1] *The Möbius bundle E is not trivial.*

Proof. E is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Removing the center circle $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ from $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ leaves a disconnected space, but removing the center circle from E leaves a connected space. \square

Theorem 166. [Problem 10-2] Let E be a vector bundle over a topological space M . The projection map $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $\zeta : M \rightarrow E$ be the zero section. Then $\pi \circ \zeta = \text{Id}_M$, and $H : E \times I \rightarrow E$ defined by $H(v, t) = t \text{Id}_E$ is a homotopy from $\zeta \circ \pi$ to Id_E . This shows that π is a homotopy equivalence. \square

Theorem 167. [Problem 10-3] Let \mathbf{VB} denote the category whose objects are smooth vector bundles and whose morphisms are smooth bundle homomorphisms, and let \mathbf{Diff} denote the category whose objects are smooth manifolds and whose morphisms are smooth maps. The assignment $M \mapsto TM$, $F \mapsto dF$ defines a covariant functor from \mathbf{Diff} to \mathbf{VB} , called the tangent functor.

Proof. This follows immediately from Corollary 3.22. \square

Theorem 168. [Problem 10-4] The map $\tau : U \cap V \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ in Lemma 10.5 is smooth.

Proof. We know that $\sigma : (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ given by $\sigma(p, v) = \tau(p)v$ is smooth. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^k . The (i, j) entry of $\tau(p)$ is $\pi_i(\tau(p)e_j) = \pi_i(\sigma(p, e_j))$, where $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the i th coordinate. This map is smooth as a function of p , so τ is smooth. \square

Theorem 169. [Problem 10-5] Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle of rank k over a smooth manifold M with or without boundary. Suppose that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an open cover of M , and for each $\alpha \in A$ we are given a smooth local trivialization $\Phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ of E . For each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, let $\tau_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ be the transition function defined by (10.3). The following identity is satisfied for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A$:

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p) = \tau_{\alpha\gamma}(p), \quad p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma.$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} (p, \tau_{\alpha\gamma}(p)v) &= (\Phi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(p, v) \\ &= (\Phi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\beta^{-1} \circ \Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(p, v) \\ &= (p, \tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p)v). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 170. [Problem 10-6] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of M . Suppose for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ we are given a smooth map $\tau_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p) = \tau_{\alpha\gamma}(p), \quad p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$$

is satisfied for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A$. Then there is a smooth rank- k vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ with smooth local trivializations $\Phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ whose transition functions are the given maps $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$.

Proof. Note that $\tau_{\alpha\alpha} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Let $F = \coprod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and let $i_\alpha : U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow F$ be the canonical injection for each $\alpha \in A$. Define an equivalence relation \sim on F by declaring that $(p, v)_\alpha \sim (p', v')_\beta$ if and only if $p = p'$ and $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v' = v$. This relation is symmetric because

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\tau_{\beta\alpha}(p) = \tau_{\alpha\alpha}(p) = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^k},$$

so $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v' = v$ implies that $v' = \tau_{\beta\alpha}(p)v$. Similarly, the relation is transitive because $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v' = v$ and $\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p)v'' = v'$ implies that

$$\tau_{\alpha\gamma}(p)v'' = \tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p)v'' = \tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v' = v.$$

Let $E = F/\sim$ and let $q : F \rightarrow E$ be the quotient map. Let $E_p = q(\{p\} \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ for each $p \in M$; we want to give E_p a vector space structure. Define $\tilde{m} : \mathbb{R} \times q^{-1}(E_p) \rightarrow E_p$ by $m(r, (p, v)_\alpha) = q((p, rv)_\alpha)$. If $(p, v)_\alpha \sim (p, v')_\beta$ then $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v' = v$ and $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)rv' = r\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v' = rv$. Therefore \tilde{m} descends to a continuous map $m : \mathbb{R} \times E_p \rightarrow E_p$, which is scalar multiplication in E_p . A similar construction shows that vector addition can be defined on E_p .

Let $\tilde{\pi} : F \rightarrow M$ be given by $(p, v) \mapsto p$; then $\tilde{\pi}$ descends to a continuous map $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\pi \circ q = \tilde{\pi}$. For each $\alpha \in A$, define $\Phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ as the unique bijection satisfying $\Phi_\alpha \circ q \circ i_\alpha = \text{Id}_{U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k}$. We now check conditions (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 10.6. For (ii), it is clear that $\Phi_\alpha|_{E_p}$ is linear, so it is an isomorphism. For (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\beta^{-1})(p, v) &= \Phi_\alpha(q((p, v)_\beta)) \\ &= \Phi_\alpha(q((p, \tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v)_\alpha)) \\ &= (p, \tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)v). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 10.6 now shows that $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth rank- k vector bundle, with $\{(U_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha)\}$ as smooth local trivializations. \square

Example 171. [Problem 10-7] Compute the transition function for TS^2 associated with the two local trivializations determined by stereographic coordinates.

See Theorem 128.

Theorem 172. [Problem 10-8] Let Vec_1 be the category whose objects are finite-dimensional real vector spaces and whose morphisms are linear isomorphisms. If \mathcal{F} is a covariant functor from Vec_1 to itself, for each finite-dimensional vector space V we get a map $\mathcal{F} : \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{F}(V))$ sending each isomorphism $A : V \rightarrow V$ to the induced isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(A) : \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$. We say \mathcal{F} is a **smooth functor** if this map is smooth for every V . Given a smooth vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and a smooth functor

$\mathcal{F} : \text{Vec}_1 \rightarrow \text{Vec}_1$, there is a smooth vector bundle $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{F}(E) \rightarrow M$ whose fiber at each point $p \in M$ is $\mathcal{F}(E_p)$.

Proof. Let k be the rank of π . Define $\mathcal{F}(E) = \coprod_{p \in M} \mathcal{F}(E_p)$ and let $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{F}(E) \rightarrow M$ be the projection. Let $\{U_p\}_{p \in M}$ be an open cover of M where each U_p contains p and has an associated local trivialization $\Phi_p : \pi^{-1}(U_p) \rightarrow U_p \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Choose an arbitrary isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^k) \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, where n is the dimension of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. For each $p \in M$, define $\tilde{\Phi}_p : \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_p) \rightarrow U_p \times \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows: for each $x \in U_p$ and $v \in \tilde{E}_x = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\{x\})$, set $\tilde{\Phi}_p(v) = (x, (\varphi \circ \mathcal{F}(\Phi_p|_{E_x}))(v))$ where

$$\mathcal{F}(\Phi_p|_{E_x}) : \mathcal{F}(E_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^k) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^k)$$

is an isomorphism. For each $p, q \in M$ with $U_p \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Phi}_p \circ \tilde{\Phi}_q^{-1})(x, v) &= (x, (\varphi \circ \mathcal{F}(\Phi_p|_{E_x}) \circ \mathcal{F}(\Phi_q|_{E_x})^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1})(v)) \\ &= (x, (\varphi \circ \mathcal{F}(\Phi_p|_{E_x} \circ (\Phi_q|_{E_x})^{-1}) \circ \varphi^{-1})(v)) \\ &= (x, \tau_{pq}(x)v) \end{aligned}$$

for some smooth τ_{pq} since \mathcal{F} is a smooth functor. Applying Lemma 10.6 completes the proof. \square

Theorem 173. [Problem 10-9] Suppose M is a smooth manifold, $E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth vector bundle, and $S \subseteq M$ is an embedded submanifold with or without boundary. For any smooth section σ of the restricted bundle $E|_S \rightarrow S$, there exist a neighborhood U of S in M and a smooth section $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $E|_U$ such that $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}|_S$. If E has positive rank, then every smooth section of $E|_S$ extends smoothly to all of M if and only if S is properly embedded.

Proof. As in Theorem 82. \square

Theorem 174. [Problem 10-11] Suppose E and E' are smooth vector bundles over a smooth manifold M with or without boundary, and $F : E \rightarrow E'$ is a bijective smooth bundle homomorphism over M . Then F is a smooth bundle isomorphism.

Proof. Let k be the rank of E and let k' be the rank of E' ; let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow M$ be the projections. We want to show that F^{-1} is smooth. Let $v \in E$ and let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^k$ be a smooth local trivialization of E satisfying $\pi(v) \in U$ and $\pi_U \circ \Phi = \pi$. Let $\Phi' : (\pi')^{-1}(U') \rightarrow U' \times \mathbb{R}^{k'}$ be a smooth local trivialization of E' satisfying $\pi'(F(v)) \in U'$ and $\pi_{U'} \circ \Phi' = \pi'$. Consider the smooth map $f = \Phi' \circ F \circ \Phi^{-1}$ with its inverse $f^{-1} = \Phi \circ F^{-1} \circ (\Phi')^{-1}$ defined on a sufficiently small neighborhood $\tilde{U}' \times \mathbb{R}^{k'}$ of $\Phi'(F(v))$. For each $x \in U$ the map $f|_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$ is an isomorphism whose inverse is $f^{-1}|_{\{y(x)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{k'}}$, where $y(x) = \pi'(F(\zeta(x)))$ and $\zeta : M \rightarrow E$ is the zero section. Since

operator inversion is smooth and y is smooth, f^{-1} is smooth on $\tilde{U}' \times \mathbb{R}^{k'}$. Therefore F^{-1} is smooth on a neighborhood of $F(v)$, and since v was arbitrary, F^{-1} is smooth. \square

Theorem 175. [Problem 10-12] Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow M$ be two smooth rank- k vector bundles over a smooth manifold M with or without boundary. Suppose $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an open cover of M such that both E and \tilde{E} admit smooth local trivializations over each U_α . Let $\{\tau_{\alpha\beta}\}$ and $\{\tilde{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}\}$ denote the transition functions determined by the given local trivializations of E and \tilde{E} , respectively. Then E and \tilde{E} are smoothly isomorphic over M if and only if for each $\alpha \in A$ there exists a smooth map $\sigma_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(*) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(p) = \sigma_\alpha(p)\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\sigma_\beta(p)^{-1}, \quad p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Proof. Suppose there is a smooth bundle isomorphism $F : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$. Let $\alpha \in A$ and let $\Phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha : \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ be the associated smooth local trivializations. Since $\pi_{U_\alpha} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\alpha \circ F = \tilde{\pi} \circ F = \pi$, the map $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha \circ F : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is a smooth local trivialization of E . Therefore

$$(\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha \circ F \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1})(p, v) = (p, \sigma_\alpha(p)v)$$

for some smooth linear map $\sigma_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$. Now let $\alpha, \beta \in A$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (p, \tilde{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(p)v) &= (\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\beta^{-1})(p, v) \\ &= (\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha \circ F \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \Phi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\beta^{-1} \circ \Phi_\beta \circ F^{-1} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\beta^{-1})(p, v) \\ &= (p, \sigma_\alpha(p)\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\sigma_\beta(p)^{-1}v), \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\tau}_{\alpha\beta}(p) = \sigma_\alpha(p)\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\sigma_\beta(p)^{-1}$. Conversely, suppose that $(*)$ holds. For each $\alpha \in A$, define a map $F_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ by $F_\alpha = \tilde{\Phi}_\alpha^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\alpha$, where $\varphi_\alpha(p, v) = (p, \sigma_\alpha(p)v)$. If $\alpha, \beta \in A$ and $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\beta &= \tilde{\Phi}_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi_\beta \circ \Phi_\beta \\ &= \tilde{\Phi}_\alpha^{-1} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\alpha \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\beta^{-1} \circ \varphi_\beta \circ \Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \Phi_\alpha \\ &= \tilde{\Phi}_\alpha^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\alpha \\ &= F_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

on $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \cap \pi^{-1}(U_\beta)$ by $(*)$, so the gluing lemma shows that there is a smooth map $F : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ such that $F|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)} = F_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A$. The restriction of F to each fiber is clearly linear. On any $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi} \circ F &= \tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\alpha^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\alpha \\ &= \pi_{U_\alpha} \circ \varphi_\alpha \circ \Phi_\alpha \\ &= \pi_{U_\alpha} \circ \Phi_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$= \pi,$$

so $\tilde{\pi} \circ F = \pi$ on E . By Theorem 174, F is a smooth bundle isomorphism. \square

Theorem 176. [Problem 10-14] *Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed submanifold with or without boundary. Identifying $T_p S$ as a subspace of $T_p M$ for each $p \in S$ in the usual way, TS is a smooth subbundle of $TM|_S$.*

Proof. Let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. For each $p \in S$, let $D_p = d\iota_p(T_p S)$. Let $D = \bigcup_{p \in S} D_p = TS \subseteq TM|_S$. Let $p \in S$, let V be a neighborhood of p in S that is an embedded submanifold of M , and let (U, φ) be a slice chart for V containing p . Write $\varphi = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ and let k be the dimension of S . Then $V \cap U$ is neighborhood of p in S , and the maps $\sigma_i : V \cap U \rightarrow TM|_S$ given by $\sigma_i(q) = d\varphi_q(\partial/\partial x^i|_q)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ satisfy the condition in Lemma 10.32. \square

Theorem 177. [Problem 10-17] *Suppose $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersed submanifold. The ambient tangent bundle $T\mathbb{R}^n|_M$ is isomorphic to the Whitney sum $TM \oplus NM$, where $NM \rightarrow M$ is the normal bundle.*

Proof. Obvious. \square

Theorem 178. [Problem 10-19] *Suppose $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a fiber bundle with fiber F .*

- (1) π is an open quotient map.
- (2) If the bundle is smooth, then π is a smooth submersion.
- (3) π is a proper map if and only if F is compact.
- (4) E is compact if and only if both M and F are compact.

Proof. For (1), let V be open in E . Let $x \in \pi(V)$ and let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$ be a local trivialization of E such that $x \in U$. Choose any $f \in F$ and a neighborhood $\tilde{V} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(U)$ of $\Phi^{-1}(x, f)$. Then $\Phi(\tilde{V})$ is open in $U \times F$ since Φ is a homeomorphism, and $\pi_U(\Phi(\tilde{V})) = \pi(\tilde{V})$ is a neighborhood of x contained in $\pi(V)$. This shows that π is open, and π is a quotient map since it is surjective. For (2), let $v \in E$ and let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$ be a smooth local trivialization of E such that $\pi(v) \in U$. Then $\pi_U \circ \Phi = \pi$, so $d\pi_v = d(\pi_U)_{\pi(v)} \circ d\Phi_v$ is surjective since $d\Phi_v$ and $d(\pi_U)_{\pi(v)}$ are both surjective. This shows that π is a smooth submersion. For (3), suppose that π is proper. If $x \in M$ then $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \approx \{x\} \times F \approx F$ is compact. Conversely, suppose that F is compact and $A \subseteq M$ is compact. For each $p \in A$, choose a local trivialization $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U_p) \rightarrow U_p \times F$ such that $p \in U_p$, and choose a precompact neighborhood V_p of p such that $\overline{V_p} \subseteq U_p$. Since A is compact, the open cover $\{V_p\}$ has a finite subcover

$\{V_{p_1}, \dots, V_{p_k}\}$. Then $\pi^{-1}(A)$ is a closed subset of

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k \pi^{-1}(\overline{V_{p_i}}) \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^k \overline{V_{p_i}} \times F,$$

which is compact. Therefore $\pi^{-1}(A)$ is compact. For (4), if E is compact then $M = \pi(E)$ is compact and F is compact by (3) since π is proper. Conversely, if M and F are compact then π is proper by (3), so $E = \pi^{-1}(M)$ is compact. \square

CHAPTER 11. THE COTANGENT BUNDLE

Theorem 179. [Exercise 11.10] Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth vector bundle over M . Define the **dual bundle** to E to be the bundle $E^* \rightarrow M$ whose total space is the disjoint union $E^* = \coprod_{p \in M} E_p^*$, where E_p^* is the dual space to E_p , with the obvious projection. Then $E^* \rightarrow M$ is a smooth vector bundle, whose transition functions are given by $\tau^*(p) = (\tau(p)^{-1})^T$ for any transition function $\tau : U \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ of E .

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\alpha\beta}^*(p)\tau_{\beta\gamma}^*(p) &= (\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)^{-1})^T (\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p)^{-1})^T \\ &= ((\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p)\tau_{\beta\gamma}(p))^{-1})^T \\ &= \tau_{\alpha\gamma}^*(p), \end{aligned}$$

we can apply Theorem 170. \square

Theorem 180. [Exercise 11.12] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $\omega : M \rightarrow T^*M$ be a rough covector field.

- (1) ω is smooth.
- (2) In every smooth coordinate chart, the component functions of ω are smooth.
- (3) Each point of M is contained in some coordinate chart in which ω has smooth component functions.
- (4) For every smooth vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the function $\omega(X)$ is smooth on M .
- (5) For every open subset $U \subseteq M$ and every smooth vector field X on U , the function $\omega(X) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on U .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear. If (3) holds for a coordinate chart $(U, (x^i))$ and the natural coordinates (x^i, ξ_i) on $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq T^*M$ then the coordinate representation of ω on U is

$$\widehat{\omega}(x) = (x^1, \dots, x^n, \omega_1(x), \dots, \omega_n(x)),$$

which is smooth if $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ are smooth. This proves (3) \Rightarrow (1). This also implies (3) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose that (4) holds, $U \subseteq M$ is open and X is a smooth vector field on U .

For each $p \in U$, choose a smooth bump function ψ that is equal to 1 on a neighborhood of p and supported in U . Define $\tilde{X} = \psi X$, extended to be zero on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi$. Then $\omega(\tilde{X})$ is smooth and is equal to $\omega(X)$ in a neighborhood of p . So $\omega(X)$ is smooth in a neighborhood of every point in U , which proves (4) \Rightarrow (5). If (5) holds then (2) holds, since $\omega_i = \omega(\partial/\partial x^i)$ for any smooth chart $(U, (x^i))$. \square

Theorem 181. [Exercise 11.16] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let ω be a rough covector field on M . If (ε^i) is a smooth coframe on an open subset $U \subseteq M$, then ω is smooth on U if and only if its component functions with respect to (ε^i) are smooth.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 10.22. \square

Example 182. [Exercise 11.17] Let $f(x, y) = x^2$ on \mathbb{R}^2 , and let X be the vector field

$$X = \text{grad } f = 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Compute the coordinate expression for X in polar coordinates (on some open subset on which they are defined) and show that it is *not* equal to

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

Set $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$; then $f(r, \theta) = r^2 \cos^2 \theta$, so

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = 2r \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - 2r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

The derivative of the transition map $\varphi(r, \theta) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$X = 2r \cos \theta \left(\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \neq \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

Theorem 183. [Exercise 11.21] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

- (1) If a and b are constants, then $d(af + bg) = a df + b dg$.
- (2) $d(fg) = f dg + g df$.
- (3) $d(f/g) = (g df - f dg)/g^2$ on the set where $g \neq 0$.
- (4) If $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval containing the image of f , and $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then $d(h \circ f) = (h' \circ f) df$.
- (5) If f is constant, then $df = 0$.

Proof. For (1), we have

$$d(af + bg)_p(v) = v(af + bg) = avf + bvg = (a df_p + b dg_p)(v).$$

For (2), we have

$$d(fg)_p(v) = v(fg) = f(p)v g + g(p)v f = (f dg_p + g df_p)(v).$$

For (3), if $p \in M$ and $(U, (x^i))$ is a smooth chart containing p then on U ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f/g)_x &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial(f/g)}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^i|_x \\ &= \frac{1}{g(x)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[g(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x) - f(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}(x) \right] dx^i|_x \\ &= (g(x) df_x - f(x) dg_x) / g(x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (4), we have

$$d(h \circ f)_p(v) = dh_{f(p)}(df_p(v)) = h'(f(p))df_p(v).$$

For (5), if $(U, (x^i))$ is a smooth chart and \widehat{f} is the coordinate representation of f on U then $D\widehat{f} = 0$, so \widehat{f} is constant and f is constant on U . The result follows from Theorem 29. \square

Theorem 184. [Exercise 11.24] For a smooth real-valued function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $p \in M$ is a critical point of f if and only if $df_p = 0$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Theorem 185. [Exercise 11.35] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Suppose $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is a piecewise smooth curve segment, and $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathfrak{X}^*(M)$.

(1) For any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\gamma} (c_1 \omega_1 + c_2 \omega_2) = c_1 \int_{\gamma} \omega_1 + c_2 \int_{\gamma} \omega_2.$$

(2) If γ is a constant map, then $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$.

(3) If $\gamma_1 = \gamma|_{[a,c]}$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma|_{[c,b]}$ with $a < c < b$, then

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \int_{\gamma_2} \omega.$$

(4) If $F : M \rightarrow N$ is any smooth map and $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^*(N)$, then

$$\int_{\gamma} F^* \eta = \int_{F \circ \gamma} \eta.$$

Proof. We can assume that γ is smooth rather than piecewise smooth. For (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} (c_1\omega_1 + c_2\omega_2) &= \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^*(c_1\omega_1 + c_2\omega_2) \\ &= c_1 \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^*\omega_1 + c_2 \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^*\omega_2 \\ &= c_1 \int_{\gamma} \omega_1 + c_2 \int_{\gamma} \omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

since γ^* is linear. For (2), if γ is constant then $d\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma^* = 0$. For (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \omega &= \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^*\omega \\ &= \int_{[a,c]} \gamma^*\omega + \int_{[c,b]} \gamma^*\omega \\ &= \int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \int_{\gamma_2} \omega. \end{aligned}$$

For (4),

$$\int_{\gamma} F^*\eta = \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^*F^*\eta = \int_{[a,b]} (F \circ \gamma)^*\eta = \int_{F \circ \gamma} \eta.$$

□

Theorem 186. [Exercise 11.41] *A smooth covector field ω is conservative if and only if its line integrals are path-independent, in the sense that $\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \omega$ whenever γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are piecewise smooth curve segments with the same starting and ending points.*

Proof. Suppose that ω is conservative, γ is defined on $[a, b]$, and $\tilde{\gamma}$ is defined on $[c, d]$. Define $\gamma - \tilde{\gamma} : [a, b + d - c] \rightarrow M$ by

$$(\gamma - \tilde{\gamma})(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t), & 0 \leq t \leq b, \\ \tilde{\gamma}(b + d - t), & b \leq t \leq b + d - c. \end{cases}$$

Then $\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}$ is piecewise smooth, so

$$0 = \int_{\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}} \omega = \int_{\gamma} \omega - \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \omega$$

by Theorem 185. The converse is obvious since any piecewise smooth closed curve segment has the same starting and ending points as a constant curve segment. □

Theorem 187. [Problem 11-1]

- (1) *Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces and $A : V \rightarrow W$ is any linear map. The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{A} & W \\
\xi_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_W \\
V^{**} & \xrightarrow{(A^*)^*} & W^{**}
\end{array}$$

where ξ_V and ξ_W denote the natural isomorphisms.

- (2) There does not exist a way to assign to each finite-dimensional vector space V an isomorphism $\beta_V : V \rightarrow V^*$ such that for every linear map $A : V \rightarrow W$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{A} & W \\
\beta_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_W \\
V^* & \xleftarrow{A^*} & W^*
\end{array}$$

Proof. For (1), we have

$$((A^*)^*(\xi_V v))\omega = (\xi_V v)(A^*\omega) = (A^*\omega)v = \omega(Av) = (\xi_W(Av))\omega.$$

For (2), suppose that there are such isomorphisms β_V . Take $V = W = \mathbb{R}$. The diagram commutes when $A = 0$, so

$$\beta_{\mathbb{R}} = 0 \circ \beta_{\mathbb{R}} \circ 0 = 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\beta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 188. [Problem 11-3] Let \mathbf{Vec}_1 be the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces and linear isomorphisms as in Theorem 172. Define a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Vec}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_1$ by setting $\mathcal{F}(V) = V^*$ for a vector space V , and $\mathcal{F}(A) = (A^{-1})^*$ for an isomorphism A . Then \mathcal{F} is a smooth covariant functor, and for every M , $\mathcal{F}(TM)$ and T^*M are canonically smoothly isomorphic vector bundles.

Proof. \mathcal{F} is covariant because

$$((A \circ B)^{-1})^* = (B^{-1} \circ A^{-1})^* = (A^{-1})^* \circ (B^{-1})^*,$$

and it is smooth because matrix inversion and transposition are smooth. So Theorem 172 shows that $\mathcal{F}(TM)$ exists, and we can define a smooth vector bundle isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(TM) \rightarrow T^*M$ by setting $\varphi|_{T_p^*M} = \text{Id}_{T_p^*M}$ for each $p \in M$. \square

Theorem 189. [Problem 11-4] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary and let p be a point of M . Let \mathcal{J}_p denote the subspace of $C^\infty(M)$ consisting of smooth

functions that vanish at p , and let \mathcal{J}_p^2 be the subspace of \mathcal{J}_p spanned by functions of the form fg for some $f, g \in \mathcal{J}_p$.

- (1) $f \in \mathcal{J}_p^2$ if and only if in any smooth local coordinates, its first-order Taylor polynomial at p is zero.
- (2) Define a map $\Phi : \mathcal{J}_p \rightarrow T_p^*M$ by setting $\Phi(f) = df_p$. The restriction of Φ to \mathcal{J}_p^2 is zero, and Φ descends to a vector space isomorphism from $\mathcal{J}_p/\mathcal{J}_p^2$ to T_p^*M .

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{J}_p^2$ then $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i h_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_i, h_i \in \mathcal{J}_p$. If in smooth local coordinates we have $Df_1(p) = Df_2(p) = 0$, then

$$D(g_i h_i)(p)u = g_i(p)[Dh_i(p)u] + [Dg_i(p)u]h_i(p) = 0,$$

so the first-order Taylor polynomial of f is zero by linearity. Conversely, if $Df(p) = 0$ then Theorem C.15 shows that locally we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (x^i - p^i)(x^j - p^j) \int_0^1 (1-t) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(p + t(x-p)) dt,$$

which is an element of \mathcal{J}_p^2 since $x^i - p^i$ and $x^j - p^j$ times the integral are elements of \mathcal{J}_p . Therefore $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i h_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_i, h_i \in \mathcal{J}_p$ in a neighborhood U of p . Choose a precompact neighborhood V of p with $\bar{V} \subseteq U$ and choose a partition of unity $\{\psi_0, \psi_1\}$ subordinate to the open cover $\{U, M \setminus \bar{V}\}$. We take $g_i = h_i = 0$ on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi_0$. Then $\psi_1, f \in \mathcal{J}_p$ and

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (\psi_0 g_i) h_i + \psi_1 f,$$

which proves (1). Part (2) follows immediately. \square

Theorem 190. [Problem 11-5] For any smooth manifold M , T^*M is a trivial vector bundle if and only if TM is trivial.

Proof. If TM is trivial then it admits a smooth global frame; Lemma 11.14 shows that its dual coframe is a smooth global coframe for M , so T^*M is trivial. The converse is similar. \square

Theorem 191. [Problem 11-6] Suppose M is a smooth n -manifold, $p \in M$, and y^1, \dots, y^k are smooth real-valued functions defined on a neighborhood of p in M .

- (1) If $k = n$ and $(dy^1|_p, \dots, dy^n|_p)$ is a basis for T_p^*M then (y^1, \dots, y^n) are smooth coordinates for M in some neighborhood of p .
- (2) If $(dy^1|_p, \dots, dy^k|_p)$ is a linearly independent k -tuple of covectors and $k < n$, then there are smooth functions y^{k+1}, \dots, y^n such that (y^1, \dots, y^n) are smooth coordinates for M in a neighborhood of p .

- (3) If $(dy^1|_p, \dots, dy^k|_p)$ span T_p^*M , there are indices i_1, \dots, i_n such that $(y^{i_1}, \dots, y^{i_n})$ are smooth coordinates for M in a neighborhood of p .

Proof. Part (1) follows from the inverse function theorem. For (2), complete $(dy^1|_p, \dots, dy^k|_p)$ to a basis $(dy^1|_p, \dots, dy^k|_p, \omega^{k+1}, \dots, \omega^n)$, choose smooth functions y^i such that $dy^i|_p = \omega^i$ for $i = k+1, \dots, n$, and apply (1). For (3), choose indices i_1, \dots, i_n such that $dy^{i_1}|_p, \dots, dy^{i_n}|_p$ is a basis for T_p^*M and apply (1). \square

Example 192. [Problem 11-7] In the following problems, M and N are smooth manifolds, $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map, and $\omega \in \mathfrak{X}^*(N)$. Compute $F^*\omega$ in each case.

- (1) $M = N = \mathbb{R}^2$; $F(s, t) = (st, e^t)$; $\omega = x dy - y dx$.
 (2) $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $N = \mathbb{R}^3$; $F(\theta, \varphi) = ((\cos \varphi + 2) \cos \theta, (\cos \varphi + 2) \sin \theta, \sin \varphi)$;
 $\omega = z^2 dx$.
 (3) $M = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : s^2 + t^2 < 1\}$ and $N = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$; $F(s, t) = (s, t, \sqrt{1 - s^2 - t^2})$;
 $\omega = (1 - x^2 - y^2) dz$.

For (1),

$$dx = t ds + s dt, \quad dy = e^t dt,$$

so

$$\omega = st(e^t dt) - e^t(t ds + s dt) = -te^t ds + se^t(t-1) dt.$$

For (2),

$$dx = -(\cos \varphi + 2) \sin \theta d\theta - \sin \varphi \cos \theta d\varphi,$$

so

$$\omega = \sin^2 \varphi (-(\cos \varphi + 2) \sin \theta d\theta - \sin \varphi \cos \theta d\varphi).$$

For (3),

$$dx = ds,$$

$$dy = dt,$$

$$dz = \frac{-s}{\sqrt{1 - s^2 - t^2}} ds + \frac{-t}{\sqrt{1 - s^2 - t^2}} dt,$$

so

$$\omega = -s\sqrt{1 - s^2 - t^2} ds - t\sqrt{1 - s^2 - t^2} dt.$$

Theorem 193. [Problem 11-8]

- (1) Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, and let $dF^* : T^*N \rightarrow T^*M$ be the map whose restriction to each cotangent space T_q^*N is equal to $dF_{F^{-1}(q)}^*$. Then dF^* is a smooth bundle homomorphism.

- (2) Let Diff_1 be the category whose objects are smooth manifolds, but whose only morphisms are diffeomorphisms; and let VB be the category whose objects are smooth vector bundles and whose morphisms are smooth bundle homomorphisms. The assignment $M \mapsto T^*M$, $F \mapsto dF^*$ defines a contravariant functor from Diff_1 to VB , called the **cotangent functor**.

Proof. It is clear that dF^* is a smooth bundle homomorphism covering F^{-1} . Since

$$d(F \circ G)_p^* = (dF_{G(p)} \circ dG_p)^* = dG_p^* \circ dF_{G(p)}^*$$

we have $d(F \circ G)^* = dG^* \circ dF^*$. Also, $d(\text{Id}_M)^* = \text{Id}_{T^*M}$. This shows that $M \mapsto T^*M$, $F \mapsto dF^*$ defines a contravariant functor. \square

Example 194. [Problem 11-9] Let $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, and let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the following map (the inverse of the stereographic projection):

$$F(u, v) = \left(\frac{2u}{u^2 + v^2 + 1}, \frac{2v}{u^2 + v^2 + 1}, \frac{u^2 + v^2 - 1}{u^2 + v^2 + 1} \right).$$

Compute F^*df and $d(f \circ F)$ separately, and verify that they are equal.

We have

$$df = 2x dx + 2y dy + 2z dz$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= \frac{2(u^2 + v^2 + 1) - 4u^2}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} du + \frac{-4uv}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} dv, \\ dy &= \frac{-4uv}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} du + \frac{2(u^2 + v^2 + 1) - 4v^2}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} dv, \\ dz &= \frac{4u}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} du + \frac{4v}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} dv, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$d(f \circ F) = 0.$$

Alternatively we have $f \circ F = 1$, so $d(f \circ F) = 0$.

Example 195. [Problem 11-10] In each of the cases below, M is a smooth manifold and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Compute the coordinate representation for df , and determine the set of all points $p \in M$ at which $df_p = 0$.

- (1) $M = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0\}$; $f(x, y) = x/(x^2 + y^2)$. Use standard coordinates (x, y) .
- (2) M and f are as in (1); this time use polar coordinates (r, θ) .
- (3) $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$; $f(p) = z(p)$ (the z -coordinate of p as a point in \mathbb{R}^3). Use north and south stereographic coordinates.
- (4) $M = \mathbb{R}^n$; $f(x) = |x|^2$. Use standard coordinates.

For (1),

$$df = \frac{-x^2 + y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx + \frac{-2xy}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dy,$$

which is zero when $x^2 = y^2$ and $xy = 0$, i.e. never on M . For (2) we have

$$f(r, \theta) = \frac{\cos \theta}{r},$$

so

$$df = -\frac{\cos \theta}{r^2} dr - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} d\theta,$$

which is zero when $\cos \theta = \sin \theta = 0$, i.e. never on M . For (3) we have

$$f(u, v) = \frac{u^2 + v^2 - 1}{u^2 + v^2 + 1}$$

on $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus N$ using the north stereographic projection, so

$$df = \frac{4u}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} du + \frac{4v}{(u^2 + v^2 + 1)^2} dv,$$

which is zero when $u = v = 0$, i.e. when p is the south pole $(0, 0, -1)$. A similar calculation using the south stereographic projection shows that $df_p = 0$ when p is the north pole $(0, 0, 1)$. For (4) we have

$$df = 2x^1 dx^1 + \cdots + 2x^n dx^n,$$

which is zero when $p = 0$.

Theorem 196. [Problem 11-11] Let M be a smooth manifold, and $C \subseteq M$ be an embedded submanifold. Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and suppose $p \in C$ is a point at which f attains a local maximum or minimum value among points in C . Given a smooth local defining function $\Phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ for C on a neighborhood U of p in M , there are real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ (called **Lagrange multipliers**) such that

$$df_p = \lambda_1 d\Phi^1|_p + \cdots + \lambda_k d\Phi^k|_p.$$

Proof. Let n be the dimension of M ; then C has dimension $n - k$. Let (V, φ) be a smooth slice chart for C in M centered at p such that $V \subseteq U$, let $\tilde{f} = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, let $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi \circ \varphi^{-1}$, and let $\tilde{p} = \varphi(p)$. It suffices to show that there are real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that

$$D\tilde{f}(p) = \lambda_1 D\tilde{\Phi}^1(p) + \cdots + \lambda_k D\tilde{\Phi}^k(p).$$

Since $\Phi^{-1}(0) \subseteq V \cap C$, this follows from the method of Lagrange multipliers on \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Theorem 197. [Problem 11-12] Any two points in a connected smooth manifold can be joined by a smooth curve segment.

Proof. Let M be a connected smooth n -manifold. Define an equivalence relation \sim on M by setting $p \sim q$ if and only if there is a smooth curve segment from p to q . This relation is clearly reflexive and symmetric; if we can show that it is transitive, then the result follows from Theorem 29. Suppose $p \sim q$ and $q \sim r$, let $\gamma : [-1, 0] \rightarrow M$ be a smooth curve segment from p to q , and let $\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a smooth curve segment from q to r . Let (U, φ) be a smooth chart centered at q ; by shrinking U , we may assume that $\varphi(U) = \mathbb{B}^n$. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ so that $\gamma((-\varepsilon, 0]) \subseteq U$ and $\gamma'([0, \varepsilon)) \subseteq U$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} = \varphi \circ \gamma : (-\varepsilon, 0] \rightarrow U$ and $\tilde{\gamma}' = \varphi \circ \gamma' : [0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow U$. Let $\ell : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ be given by $t \mapsto (t/\varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0)$. By Proposition 2.25, there is a smooth bump function $\psi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $[-\varepsilon/4, \varepsilon/4]$ supported in $(-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2)$. Define $\tilde{\alpha} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ by

$$t \mapsto \psi(t)\ell(t) + (1 - \psi(t))(\tilde{\gamma}(t) + \tilde{\gamma}'(t)),$$

taking $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$ on $(0, \varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}' = 0$ on $(-\varepsilon, 0)$. It is easy to check that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is smooth on $(-\varepsilon, 0)$, $(-\varepsilon/4, \varepsilon/4)$ and $(0, \varepsilon)$, so $\tilde{\alpha}$ is smooth. Let $\alpha = \varphi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\alpha}$; then α , $\gamma|_{[-1, \varepsilon/2]}$, and $\gamma'|_{[\varepsilon/2, 1]}$ agree on their overlaps, so we have a smooth curve segment defined on $[-1, 1]$ from p to r . \square

Theorem 198. [Problem 11-13] *The length of a smooth curve segment $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined to be the value of the (ordinary) integral*

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

There is no smooth covector field $\omega \in \mathfrak{X}^(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the property that*

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = L(\gamma)$$

for every smooth curve γ .

Proof. Let $-\gamma$ denote the curve $t \mapsto \gamma(b - t + a)$. Then $L(-\gamma) = L(\gamma)$, but

$$\int_{-\gamma} \omega = - \int_{\gamma} \omega = -L(\gamma).$$

\square

Example 199. [Problem 11-14] Consider the following two covector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\omega = -\frac{4z dx}{(x^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{2y dy}{y^2 + 1} + \frac{2x dz}{x^2 + 1},$$

$$\eta = -\frac{4xz dx}{(x^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{2y dy}{y^2 + 1} + \frac{2 dz}{x^2 + 1}.$$

- (1) Set up and evaluate the line integral of each covector field along the straight line segment from $(0, 0, 0)$ to $(1, 1, 1)$.
- (2) Determine whether either of these covector fields is exact.

- (3) For each one that is exact, find a potential function and use it to recompute the line integral.

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be given by $t \mapsto (t, t, t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma} \omega &= \int_0^1 \left(-\frac{4t}{(t^2+1)^2} + \frac{2t}{t^2+1} + \frac{2t}{t^2+1} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{4t^3}{(1+t^2)^2} dt \\ &= 2 \log 2 - 1,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma} \eta &= \int_0^1 \left(-\frac{4t^2}{(t^2+1)^2} + \frac{2t}{t^2+1} + \frac{2}{t^2+1} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{2(t^3 - t^2 + t + 1)}{(1+t^2)^2} dt \\ &= \log 2 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \omega_1 \neq \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega_3,$$

so ω is not closed and not exact. It is similarly easy to check that η is closed, so Theorem 11.49 shows that η is exact. Suppose f is a potential for η ; it must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -\frac{4xz}{(x^2+1)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{2y}{y^2+1}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{2}{x^2+1}.$$

Integrating the first equation, we have

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{2z}{x^2+1} + C_1(y, z).$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial y} = \frac{2y}{y^2+1},$$

so

$$C_1(y, z) = \log(y^2+1) + C_2(z).$$

Finally we have

$$\frac{2}{x^2+1} + \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial z} = \frac{2}{x^2+1},$$

so C_2 is constant. It is now easy to check that for any $C \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{2z}{x^2+1} + \log(y^2+1) + C$$

is a potential for η . Therefore

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta = \int_{\gamma} df = \frac{2}{2} + \log(2) - 0 = \log 2 + 1.$$

Theorem 200. [Problem 11-15] Let X be a smooth vector field on an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Given a piecewise smooth curve segment $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$, define the **line integral of X over γ** , denoted by $\int_{\gamma} X \cdot ds$, as

$$\int_{\gamma} X \cdot ds = \int_a^b X_{\gamma(t)} \cdot \gamma'(t) dt,$$

where the dot on the right-hand side denotes the Euclidean dot product between tangent vectors at $\gamma(t)$, identified with elements of \mathbb{R}^n . A **conservative vector field** is one whose line integral around every piecewise smooth closed curve is zero.

- (1) X is conservative if and only if there exists a smooth function $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$ such that $X = \text{grad } f$.
- (2) Suppose $n = 3$. If X is conservative then $\text{curl } X = 0$, where

$$\text{curl } X = \left(\frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^3} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \left(\frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}.$$

- (3) If $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is star-shaped, then X is conservative on U if and only if $\text{curl } X = 0$.

Proof. For any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$, define a smooth covector field ω by $\omega_x(v) = X_x \cdot v$; then

$$\int_{\gamma} X \cdot ds = \int_a^b \omega_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)) dt = \int_{\gamma} \omega.$$

Part (1) follows immediately from Theorem 11.42, and part (2) follows from Proposition 11.44. Part (3) follows from Theorem 11.49. \square

Theorem 201. [Problem 11-16] Let M be a compact manifold of positive dimension. Every exact covector field on M vanishes at least at two points in each component of M .

Proof. Let $\omega = df$ be an exact covector field. Let U be a component of M . Since U is compact, f attains a maximum at some $x \in U$ and a minimum at some $y \in U$. If $x = y$ then f is constant on U , so $df = 0$ on U . Otherwise, df vanishes at the distinct points x and y . \square

Theorem 202. [Problem 11-17] Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the n -torus. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, let $\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ be the curve segment

$$\gamma_j(t) = (1, \dots, e^{2\pi it}, \dots, 1).$$

A closed covector field ω on \mathbb{T}^n is exact if and only if $\int_{\gamma_j} \omega = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon^n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ be the smooth covering map $\varepsilon^n(x^1, \dots, x^n) = (e^{2\pi i x^1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x^n})$. Note that ε^n is a local diffeomorphism, so Corollary 11.46 shows that $(\varepsilon^n)^*\omega$ is closed and Theorem 11.49 shows that $(\varepsilon^n)^*\omega$ is exact. If ω is exact then $\int_{\gamma_j} \omega = 0$ since each γ_j is a closed curve segment. Conversely, suppose that $\int_{\gamma_j} \omega = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ be a piecewise smooth closed curve segment and let $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lift of γ such that $\gamma = \varepsilon^n \circ \tilde{\gamma}$; Theorem 41 shows that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is smooth. Write $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = (x^1 + m_1, \dots, x^n + m_n)$ for integers m_1, \dots, m_n . Assume without loss of generality that $x^1 = \dots = x^n = 0$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\alpha_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the straight line path from $(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ to $(m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, 0)$. Let α be the concatenation of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ so that $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. Then Theorem 186 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \omega &= \int_{\varepsilon^n \circ \tilde{\gamma}} \omega \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} (\varepsilon^n)^* \omega \\ &= \int_{\alpha} (\varepsilon^n)^* \omega \\ &= \int_{\alpha_1} (\varepsilon^n)^* \omega + \dots + \int_{\alpha_n} (\varepsilon^n)^* \omega, \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon^n \circ \alpha_1} \omega + \dots + \int_{\varepsilon^n \circ \alpha_n} \omega \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since each $\varepsilon^n \circ \alpha_i$ is the concatenation of zero or more copies of γ_i . \square

Theorem 203. [Problem 11-18] Suppose \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{D} are categories, and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are (covariant or contravariant) functors from \mathbf{C} to \mathbf{D} . A **natural transformation** λ from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{G} is a rule that assigns to each object $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ a morphism $\lambda_X \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{G}(X))$ in such a way that for every pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and every morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} & \mathcal{F}(Y) \\ \lambda_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_Y \\ \mathcal{G}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f)} & \mathcal{G}(Y). \end{array}$$

(If either \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{G} is contravariant, the corresponding horizontal arrow should be reversed.)

- (1) Let $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the category of real vector spaces and linear maps, and let \mathcal{D} be the contravariant functor from $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to itself that sends each vector space to its dual space and each linear map to its dual map. The assignment $V \mapsto \xi_V$, where $\xi_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is the map defined by $\xi_V(v)\omega = \omega(v)$, is a natural transformation from the identity functor of $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{D}$.
- (2) There does not exist a natural transformation from the identity functor of $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to \mathcal{D} .
- (3) Let \mathbf{Diff}_1 be the category of smooth manifolds and diffeomorphisms and \mathbf{VB} the category of smooth vector bundles and smooth bundle homomorphisms, and let $T, T^* : \mathbf{Diff}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{VB}$ be the tangent and cotangent functors, respectively. There does not exist a natural transformation from T to T^* .
- (4) Let $\mathfrak{X} : \mathbf{Diff}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the covariant functor given by $M \mapsto \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $F \mapsto F_*$; and let $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} : \mathbf{Diff}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the covariant functor given by $M \mapsto \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $F \mapsto F_* \times F_*$. The Lie bracket is a natural transformation from $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ to \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. Theorem 187 proves (1) and (2). If there is a natural transformation from T to T^* , then taking the one-point space $\{*\}$ produces a natural transformation from the identity functor of $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to \mathcal{D} , contradicting (2). This proves (3). Corollary 8.31 proves (4). \square

CHAPTER 12. TENSORS

Theorem 204. [Exercise 12.18] $T^k T^* M$, $T^k T M$, and $T^{(k,l)} T M$ have natural structures as smooth vector bundles over M .

Proof. Let $n = \dim M$. Let (U, φ) be a smooth chart for M with coordinate functions (x^i) . Define $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^{n(k+l)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi & \left(a_{j_1, \dots, j_l}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \Big|_p \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \Big|_p \otimes dx^{j_1} \Big|_p \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{j_l} \Big|_p \right) \\ & = (p, (a_{1, \dots, 1}^{1, \dots, 1}, \dots, a_{n, \dots, n}^{n, \dots, n})). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\varphi})$ is another smooth chart with coordinate functions (\tilde{x}^i) , and let $\tilde{\Phi} : \pi^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined analogously. It follows from (11.6) and (11.7) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \Big|_p = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p, \quad d\tilde{x}^j \Big|_p = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i}(p) dx^i \Big|_p,$$

where $\tilde{x} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $x = \varphi \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$, and p denotes either a point in M or its coordinate representation as appropriate. Therefore on $\pi^{-1}(U \cap \tilde{U})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{-1})(p, (\tilde{a}_{1,\dots,1}^{1,\dots,1}, \dots, \tilde{a}_{n,\dots,n}^{n,\dots,n})) \\ &= \left(p, \left(\frac{\partial x^1}{\partial \tilde{x}^{i_1}}(p) \cdots \frac{\partial x^1}{\partial \tilde{x}^{i_k}}(p) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{j_1}}{\partial x^1}(p) \cdots \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{j_l}}{\partial x^1}(p) \tilde{a}_{j_1,\dots,j_l}^{i_1,\dots,i_k}, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \dots, \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial \tilde{x}^{i_1}}(p) \cdots \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial \tilde{x}^{i_k}}(p) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{j_1}}{\partial x^n}(p) \cdots \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{j_l}}{\partial x^n}(p) \tilde{a}_{j_1,\dots,j_l}^{i_1,\dots,i_k} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the vector bundle chart lemma that T^*M has a smooth structure making it into a smooth vector bundle for which the maps Φ are smooth local trivializations. \square

Theorem 205. [Proposition 12.25] Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ are smooth maps, A and B are covariant tensor fields on N , and f is a real-valued function on N .

- (1) $F^*(fB) = (f \circ F)F^*B$.
- (2) $F^*(A \otimes B) = F^*A \otimes F^*B$.
- (3) $F^*(A + B) = F^*A + F^*B$.
- (4) F^*B is a (continuous) tensor field, and is smooth if B is smooth.
- (5) $(G \circ F)^*B = F^*(G^*B)$.
- (6) $(\text{Id}_N)^*B = B$.

Proof. For (1),

$$(F^*(fB))_p = dF_p^*((fB)_{F(p)}) = dF_p^*(f(F(p))B_{F(p)}) = (f \circ F)(p)(F^*B)_p$$

since dF_p^* is linear. For (2),

$$\begin{aligned} (F^*(A \otimes B))_p(v_1, \dots, v_{k+l}) &= (A \otimes B)_p(dF_p(v_1), \dots, dF_p(v_{k+l})) \\ &= A_p(dF_p(v_1), \dots, dF_p(v_k))B_p(dF_p(v_{k+1}), \dots, dF_p(v_{k+l})) \\ &= (F^*A)_p(v_1, \dots, v_k)(F^*B)_p(v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+l}). \end{aligned}$$

Part (3) follows from the fact that dF_p^* is linear. For (4), let $p \in M$ be arbitrary, and choose smooth coordinates (y^j) for N in a neighborhood V of $F(p)$. Let $U = F^{-1}(V)$, which is a neighborhood of p . Writing B in coordinates as

$$B = B_{j_1,\dots,j_k} dy^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes dy^{j_k}$$

for continuous functions B_{j_1,\dots,j_k} on V , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^*B &= F^*(B_{j_1,\dots,j_k} dy^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes dy^{j_k}) \\ &= (B_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \circ F)F^*(dy^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes dy^{j_k}) \\ &= (B_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \circ F)F^*dy^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F^*dy^{j_k} \\ &= (B_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \circ F)d(y^{j_1} \circ F) \otimes \cdots \otimes d(y^{j_k} \circ F), \end{aligned}$$

which is continuous, and is smooth if B is smooth. Part (5) follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} d(G \circ F)_p^*(\alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= \alpha(dG_{F(p)}(dF_p(v_1)), \dots, dG_{F(p)}(dF_p(v_k))) \\ &= dG_{F(p)}^*(\alpha)(dF_p(v_1), \dots, dF_p(v_k)) \\ &= dF_p^*(dG_{F(p)}^*(\alpha))(v_1, \dots, v_k). \end{aligned}$$

Part (6) is obvious. \square

Example 206. [Problem 12-1] Give an example of finite-dimensional vector spaces V and W and a specific element $\alpha \in V \otimes W$ that cannot be expressed as $v \otimes w$ for $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

Take $V = W = \mathbb{R}^2$, let $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$, and let $\alpha = e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2$. Suppose $\alpha = v \otimes w$ for $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and write $v = v^i e_i$ and $w = w^j e_j$. Then $\alpha = v^i w^j e_i \otimes e_j$, so

$$v^1 w^1 = 1, \quad v^1 w^2 = 0, \quad v^2 w^1 = 0, \quad v^2 w^2 = 1.$$

This implies that $w^1 \neq 0$, so $v^2 = 0$, contradicting $v^2 w^2 = 1$.

Theorem 207. [Problem 12-2] For any finite-dimensional real vector space V , there are canonical isomorphisms $\mathbb{R} \otimes V \cong V \cong V \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We can define a multilinear map $f : \mathbb{R} \times V \rightarrow V$ by $(r, v) \mapsto rv$, which induces a linear map $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \otimes V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $\tilde{f}(r \otimes v) = rv$. It is easy to check that the linear map $v \mapsto 1 \otimes v$ is an inverse to \tilde{f} , so \tilde{f} is an isomorphism. A similar argument shows that $V \cong V \otimes \mathbb{R}$. \square

Theorem 208. [Problem 12-3] Let V and W be finite-dimensional real vector spaces. The tensor product space $V \otimes W$ is uniquely determined up to canonical isomorphism by its characteristic property. More precisely, suppose $\tilde{\pi} : V \times W \rightarrow Z$ is a bilinear map into a vector space Z with the following property: for any bilinear map $B : V \times W \rightarrow Y$, there is a unique linear map $\tilde{B} : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \times W & \xrightarrow{B} & Y \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{B} & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

Then there is a unique isomorphism $\Phi : V \otimes W \rightarrow Z$ such that $\tilde{\pi} = \Phi \circ \pi$, where $\pi : V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$ is the canonical projection.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is bilinear, there exists a unique linear map $\Phi : V \otimes W \rightarrow Z$ such that $\tilde{\pi} = \Phi \circ \pi$. Similarly, there exists a unique linear map $\Psi : Z \rightarrow V \otimes W$ such that

$\pi = \Psi \circ \tilde{\pi}$. We have $\Phi \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\pi} = \Phi \circ \pi = \tilde{\pi}$, so $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{Id}_Z$ by uniqueness. Similarly, $\Psi \circ \Phi \circ \pi = \Psi \circ \tilde{\pi} = \pi$ implies that $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{Id}_{V \otimes W}$ by uniqueness. Therefore Φ is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 209. [Problem 12-4] Let V_1, \dots, V_k and W be finite-dimensional real vector spaces. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k^* \otimes W \cong L(V_1, \dots, V_k; W).$$

Proof. Proposition 12.10 shows that

$$V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k^* \cong L(V_1, \dots, V_k; \mathbb{R}),$$

so it remains to show that

$$L(V_1, \dots, V_k; \mathbb{R}) \otimes W \cong L(V_1, \dots, V_k; W).$$

The bilinear map $\varphi : L(V_1, \dots, V_k; \mathbb{R}) \times W \rightarrow L(V_1, \dots, V_k; W)$ defined by

$$\varphi(f, w)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = f(v_1, \dots, v_k)w$$

induces a linear map $\tilde{\varphi} : L(V_1, \dots, V_k; \mathbb{R}) \otimes W \rightarrow L(V_1, \dots, V_k; W)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\varphi}(f \otimes w)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = f(v_1, \dots, v_k)w.$$

It is easy to check that $\tilde{\varphi}$ takes a basis of $L(V_1, \dots, V_k; \mathbb{R}) \otimes W$ to a basis of $L(V_1, \dots, V_k; W)$, so $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 210. [Problem 12-5] Let V be an n -dimensional real vector space. Then

$$\dim \Sigma^k(V^*) = \binom{n+k-1}{k}.$$

Proof. Let $\{\varepsilon^1, \dots, \varepsilon^n\}$ be a basis for V^* ; we want to show that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\varepsilon^{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon^{i_k} : 1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n\}$$

is a basis for $\Sigma^k(V^*)$, from which the result follows immediately. It is clear that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma^k(V^*)$ and write

$$\alpha = a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varepsilon^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{i_k}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \text{Sym } \alpha = a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \text{Sym } \varepsilon^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{i_k} \\ &= a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \varepsilon^{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon^{i_k}, \end{aligned}$$

which is in the span of \mathcal{B} after reordering the indices i_1, \dots, i_k in each term $\varepsilon^{i_1} \cdots \varepsilon^{i_k}$ to be in ascending order. This shows that \mathcal{B} is a basis for $\Sigma^k(V^*)$. \square

Theorem 211. [Problem 12-6]

- (1) Let α be a covariant k -tensor on a finite-dimensional real vector space V . Then $\text{Sym } \alpha$ is the unique symmetric k -tensor satisfying

$$(\text{Sym } \alpha)(v, \dots, v) = \alpha(v, \dots, v)$$

for all $v \in V$.

- (2) The symmetric product is associative: for all symmetric tensors α, β, γ ,

$$(\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma).$$

- (3) Let $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k$ be covectors on a finite-dimensional vector space. Their symmetric product satisfies

$$\omega^1 \cdots \omega^k = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \omega^{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega^{\sigma(k)}.$$

Proof. Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ be a basis for V . For (1),

$$(\text{Sym } \alpha)(v, \dots, v) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \alpha(v, \dots, v) = \alpha(v, \dots, v).$$

The uniqueness of $\text{Sym } \alpha$ follows from the fact that

$$\{(E_{i_1} + \cdots + E_{i_n})^{\otimes k} : 1 \leq n \leq k, 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq k\}$$

spans $V^{\otimes k}$. For (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Sym}(\text{Sym } \alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma)(v, \dots, v) &= (\text{Sym } \alpha \otimes \beta)(v, \dots, v) \gamma(v, \dots, v) \\ &= \alpha(v, \dots, v) \beta(v, \dots, v) \gamma(v, \dots, v) \\ &= \alpha(v, \dots, v) (\text{Sym } \beta \otimes \gamma)(v, \dots, v) \\ &= (\text{Sym } \alpha \otimes (\text{Sym } \beta \otimes \gamma))(v, \dots, v), \end{aligned}$$

so the result follows from (1). (Note that the tuples (v, \dots, v) may have different numbers of elements.) Part (3) is obvious. \square

Example 212. [Problem 12-7] Let (e^1, e^2, e^3) be the standard dual basis for $(\mathbb{R}^3)^*$. The tensor $e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes e^3$ is not equal to a sum of an alternating tensor and a symmetric tensor.

Let (e_1, e_2, e_3) be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose that

$$e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes e^3 = \alpha + \beta$$

where α is alternating and β is symmetric. We have

$$1 = (e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes e^3)(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \alpha(e_1, e_2, e_3) + \beta(e_1, e_2, e_3)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes e^3)(e_2, e_3, e_1) = \alpha(e_2, e_3, e_1) + \beta(e_2, e_3, e_1) \\ &= \alpha(e_1, e_2, e_3) + \beta(e_1, e_2, e_3), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

CHAPTER 13. RIEMANNIAN METRICS

Theorem 213. [Exercise 13.21] *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with or without boundary. For any immersed k -dimensional submanifold $S \subseteq M$ with or without boundary, the normal bundle NS is a smooth rank- $(n - k)$ subbundle of $TM|_S$. For each $p \in S$, there is a smooth frame for NS on a neighborhood of p that is orthonormal with respect to g .*

Proof. Let $p \in M$ be arbitrary, and let (X_1, \dots, X_k) be a smooth local frame for TS over some neighborhood V of p in M . Because immersed submanifolds are locally embedded, by shrinking V if necessary, we may assume that it is a single slice in some coordinate ball or half-ball $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Since V is closed in U , Theorem 122(c) shows that we can complete (X_1, \dots, X_k) to a smooth local frame $(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n)$ for $TM|_S$ over U , and then Proposition 13.6 yields a smooth orthonormal frame (E_j) over U such that $\text{span}(E_1|_p, \dots, E_k|_p) = \text{span}(X_1|_p, \dots, X_k|_p) = T_pS$ for each $p \in U$. It follows that (E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n) restricts to a smooth orthonormal frame for NS over V . Thus NS satisfies the local frame criterion in Lemma 10.32, and is therefore a smooth subbundle of $TM|_S$. \square

Theorem 214. [Exercise 13.24] *Suppose (M, g) and (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) are Riemannian manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is a local isometry. Then $L_{\tilde{g}}(F \circ \gamma) = L_g(\gamma)$ for every piecewise smooth curve segment γ in M .*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\tilde{g}}(F \circ \gamma) &= \int_a^b |(F \circ \gamma)'(t)|_{\tilde{g}} dt \\
 &= \int_a^b |dF_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))|_{\tilde{g}} dt \\
 &= \int_a^b \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{(F \circ \gamma)(t)}(dF_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)), dF_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)))} dt \\
 &= \int_a^b \sqrt{(F^*\tilde{g})(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))} dt \\
 &= \int_a^b \sqrt{g(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))} dt \\
 &= L_g(\gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 215. [Exercise 13.27] Suppose (M, g) and $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ are connected Riemannian manifolds and $F : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is a Riemannian isometry. Then

$$d_{\widetilde{g}}(F(p), F(q)) = d_g(p, q)$$

for all $p, q \in M$.

Proof. If γ is a piecewise smooth curve segment from p to q , then $F \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise smooth curve segment from $F(p)$ to $F(q)$, and Theorem 214 shows that $L_g(\gamma) = L_{\widetilde{g}}(F \circ \gamma)$. Similarly, if $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a piecewise smooth curve segment from $F(p)$ to $F(q)$ then $F^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}$ is a piecewise smooth curve segment from p to q and $L_{\widetilde{g}}(\widetilde{\gamma}) = L_g(F^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\gamma})$. \square

Theorem 216. [Problem 13-1] If (M, g) is a Riemannian n -manifold with or without boundary, let $UM \subseteq TM$ be the subset $UM = \{(x, v) \in TM : |v|_g = 1\}$, called the **unit tangent bundle of M** . Then UM is a smooth fiber bundle over M with model fiber \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Proof. Define $\ell : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $(x, v) \mapsto g(x)(v, v)$ and for fixed $x \in M$, define $\ell_x : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $v \mapsto g(x)(v, v)$. In any smooth local coordinates around x we have $D\ell(v)(u) = 2g(x)(v, u)$ and in particular $D\ell(v)(v) = 2g(x)(v, v) > 0$ for all $v \neq 0$. Therefore Corollary 5.14 shows that $UM = \ell^{-1}(\{1\})$ is a properly embedded submanifold of TM . Let $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Let $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth local trivialization. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \pi^{-1}(U) \cap UM &\rightarrow U \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \\ (x, v) &\mapsto \left(x, \frac{(\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \Phi)(x, v)}{\|(\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \Phi)(x, v)\|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : U \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} &\rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U) \cap UM \\ (x, v) &\mapsto \frac{\Phi^{-1}(x, v)}{|\Phi^{-1}(x, v)|_g}. \end{aligned}$$

Then φ and ψ are smooth and inverses of each other, so φ is a local trivialization of UM over M . \square

Theorem 217. [Problem 13-2] Suppose that E is a smooth vector bundle over a smooth manifold M with or without boundary, and $V \subseteq E$ is an open subset with the property that for each $p \in M$, the intersection of V with the fiber E_p is convex and nonempty. By a “section of V ”, we mean a (local or global) section of E whose image lies in V .

- (1) There exists a smooth global section of V .

- (2) Suppose $\sigma : A \rightarrow V$ is a smooth section of V defined on a closed subset $A \subseteq M$. (This means that σ extends to a smooth section of V in a neighborhood of each point of A .) There exists a smooth global section $\tilde{\sigma}$ of V whose restriction to A is equal to σ . If V contains the image of the zero section of E , then $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be chosen to be supported in any predetermined neighborhood of A .

Proof. For (1), let $p \in M$ and choose a local trivialization $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $p \in U$. Choose any $v \in \pi^{-1}(\{p\})$ and choose neighborhoods U_p of p and F_p of $v' = (\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \Phi)(v)$ such that $U_p \times F_p \subseteq \Phi(\pi^{-1}(U) \cap V)$. Define $\tau_p : U_p \rightarrow V$ by $x \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(x, v')$. The family of sets $\{U_p : p \in M\}$ is an open cover of M . Let $\{\psi_p : p \in M\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to this cover, with $\text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq U_p$. For each $p \in M$, the product $\psi_p \tau_p$ is smooth on W_p by Theorem 159, and has a smooth extension to all of M if we interpret it to be zero on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$. (The extended function is smooth because the two definitions agree on the open subset $W_p \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$ where they overlap.) Thus we can define $\tau : M \rightarrow V$ by

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{p \in M} \psi_p(x) \tau_p(x).$$

Because the collection of supports $\{\text{supp } \psi_p\}$ is locally finite, this sum actually has only a finite number of nonzero terms in a neighborhood of any point of M , and therefore defines a smooth function. The fact that $\sum_{p \in M} \psi_p = 1$ implies that $\tau(x)$ is a convex combination of vectors in E_x for every $x \in M$, so the image of τ does indeed lie in V .

For (2), let $\tau : M \rightarrow V$ be a smooth global section of V . If V contains the image of the zero section of E , then let U be some neighborhood of A and replace τ with the zero section of E . For each $p \in A$, choose a neighborhood W_p of p and a local section $\tilde{\sigma}_p : W_p \rightarrow V$ of V that agrees with σ on $W_p \cap A$. If U was chosen, then replacing W_p by $W_p \cap U$ we may assume that $W_p \subseteq U$. The family of sets $\{W_p : p \in A\} \cup \{M \setminus A\}$ is an open cover of M . Let $\{\psi_p : p \in A\} \cup \{\psi_0\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to this cover, with $\text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq W_p$ and $\text{supp } \psi_0 \subseteq M \setminus A$.

For each $p \in A$, the product $\psi_p \tilde{\sigma}_p$ is smooth on W_p and has a smooth extension to all of M if we interpret it to be zero on $M \setminus \text{supp } \psi_p$. Thus we can define $\tilde{\sigma} : M \rightarrow V$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \psi_0(x) \tau(x) + \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \tilde{\sigma}_p(x).$$

If $x \in A$, then $\psi_0(x) = 0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_p(x) = \sigma(x)$ for each p such that $\psi_p(x) \neq 0$, so

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \sigma(x) = \left(\psi_0(x) + \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p(x) \right) \sigma(x) = \sigma(x),$$

so $\tilde{\sigma}$ is indeed an extension of σ . The fact that $\psi_0 + \sum_{p \in A} \psi_p = 1$ implies that $\tilde{\sigma}(x)$ is a convex combination of vectors in E_x for every $x \in M$, so the image of $\tilde{\sigma}$ does indeed

lie in V . If U was chosen, then it follows from Lemma 1.13(b) that

$$\text{supp } \tilde{\sigma} = \overline{\bigcup_{p \in A} \text{supp } \psi_p} = \bigcup_{p \in A} \text{supp } \psi_p \subseteq U.$$

□

Theorem 218. *Suppose (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold, and X is a vector field on M . Given any positive continuous function $\delta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a smooth vector field $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ that is δ -close to X . If X is smooth on a closed subset $A \subseteq M$, then \tilde{X} can be chosen to be equal to X on A . (Two vector fields X, \tilde{X} are δ -close if $\left|X_x - \tilde{X}_x\right|_g < \delta(x)$ for all $x \in M$.)*

Proof. If X is smooth on the closed subset A , then by Lemma 8.6, there is a smooth vector field $X_0 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ that agrees with X on A . Let

$$U_0 = \{y \in M : |X_0|_y - X_y|_g < \delta(y)\}.$$

Then U_0 is an open subset containing A . (If there is no such set A , we just take $U_0 = A = \emptyset$ and $F_0 = 0$.)

We will show that there are countably many points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $M \setminus A$ and smooth coordinate balls U_i of x_i in $M \setminus A$ such that $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is an open cover of $M \setminus A$ and

$$(*) \quad |X_y - X_i|_y|_g < \delta(y)$$

for all $y \in U_i$, where $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(U_i)$ is a constant coefficient vector field such that $X_i|_{x_i} = X_{x_i}$. To see this, for any $x \in M \setminus A$, let U_x be a smooth coordinate ball around x contained in $M \setminus A$ and small enough that

$$\delta(y) > \frac{1}{2}\delta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad |X_y - X^{(x)}|_y|_g < \frac{1}{2}\delta(x)$$

for all $y \in U_x$, where $X^{(x)} \in \mathfrak{X}(U_x)$ is a constant coefficient vector field such that $X^{(x)}|_x = X_x$. (Such a neighborhood exists by continuity of δ and X .) Then if $y \in U_x$, we have

$$|X_y - X^{(x)}|_y|_g < \frac{1}{2}\delta(x) < \delta(y).$$

The collection $\{U_x : x \in M \setminus A\}$ is an open cover of $M \setminus A$. Choosing a countable subcover $\{U_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and setting $U_i = U_{x_i}$ and $X_i = X^{(x_i)}$, we have (*).

Let $\{\varphi_0, \varphi_i\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{U_0, U_i\}$ of M , and define $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$\tilde{X}_y = \varphi_0(y)X_0|_y + \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi_i(y)X_i|_y.$$

Then clearly \tilde{X} is smooth, and is equal to X on A . For any $y \in M$, the fact that $\sum_{i \geq 0} \varphi_i = 1$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{X}_y - X_y \right|_g &= \left| \varphi_0(y)X_0|_y + \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi_i(y)X_i|_y - \left(\varphi_0(y) + \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi_i(y) \right) X_y \right|_g \\ &\leq \varphi_0(y) |X_0|_y - X_y|_g + \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi_i(y) |X_i|_y - X_y|_g \\ &< \varphi_0(y)\delta(y) + \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi_i(y)\delta(y) = \delta(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 219. *Let V be a real inner product space and let $\|\cdot\|$ be the norm on V . Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ be an orthonormal set of vectors in V with $\|u_i\| = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $v \in V$ with $\|v\| = 1$.*

(1) *We have*

$$\langle u_1, v \rangle^2 + \dots + \langle u_k, v \rangle^2 \leq 1,$$

and equality holds if and only if the set $\{v, u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ is linearly dependent.

(2) *If $w \in V$ and*

$$\|v - w\|^2 < 1 - \langle u_1, v \rangle^2 - \dots - \langle u_k, v \rangle^2,$$

then $\{w, u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. For (1), complete $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ to an orthonormal basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of V . Write $v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n$ where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1, v \rangle^2 + \dots + \langle u_k, v \rangle^2 &= \langle u_1, a_1u_1 \rangle^2 + \dots + \langle u_k, a_ku_k \rangle^2 \\ &= a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2 \\ &= 1 - a_{k+1}^2 - \dots - a_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\|v\| = 1$. Clearly the last expression is equal to 1 if and only if $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n = 0$, which is true if and only if v is in the span of $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$. For (2), suppose $w = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ku_k$ where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> \left\| v - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_i \right\|^2 - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \langle u_i, v \rangle^2 \\ &= 1 - 2 \left\langle v, \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_i \right\rangle + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_i \right\|^2 - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \langle u_i, v \rangle^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i^2 - 2a_i \langle u_i, v \rangle + \langle u_i, v \rangle^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i - \langle u_i, v \rangle)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 220. [Problem 13-3] Let M be a smooth manifold.

- (1) If there exists a global nonvanishing vector field on M , then there exists a global smooth nonvanishing vector field.
- (2) If there exists a linearly independent k -tuple of vector fields on M , then there exists such a k -tuple of smooth vector fields.

Proof. Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . For (1), let X be a global nonvanishing vector field on M . By Theorem 218, there is a smooth vector field $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that

$$|X_x - \tilde{X}_x|_g < |X_x|_g$$

for all $x \in M$. This implies that $|\tilde{X}_x|_g > 0$ for all $x \in M$, so \tilde{X} is nonvanishing. For (2), let (X_1, \dots, X_k) be a linearly independent k -tuple of vector fields on M . Using the Gram-Schmidt process, we may assume that (X_1, \dots, X_k) is an orthonormal k -tuple of vector fields. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_{j-1} are already smooth. Define

$$\delta(x) = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{i \neq j} \langle X_j|_x, X_i|_x \rangle_g^2};$$

then $\delta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous function by Lemma 219. By Theorem 218, there is a smooth vector field $\tilde{X}_j \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that

$$|(X_j|_x - \tilde{X}_j|_x)|_g^2 < 1 - \sum_{i \neq j} \langle X_j|_x, X_i|_x \rangle_g^2$$

for all $x \in M$. Then Lemma 219 shows that $(X_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_j, \dots, X_k)$ is linearly independent. Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to $(X_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_j)$ to obtain an orthonormal k -tuple of vector fields such that the first j vector fields are smooth. Repeating this procedure k times produces an orthonormal k -tuple of smooth vector fields. \square

Example 221. [Problem 13-4] Let $\overset{\circ}{g}$ denote the round metric on \mathbb{S}^n . Compute the coordinate representation of $\overset{\circ}{g}$ in stereographic coordinates.

We have

$$(x^1, \dots, x^{n+1}) = \frac{(2u^1, \dots, 2u^n, |u|^2 - 1)}{|u|^2 + 1},$$

so

$$dx^j = \frac{2(|u|^2 - 2u^j + 1)}{(|u|^2 + 1)^2} du^j - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{4u^i u^j}{(|u|^2 + 1)^2} du^i$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$dx^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{4u^i}{(|u|^2 + 1)^2} du^i.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{g} &= (dx^1)^2 + \dots + (dx^{n+1})^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{(|u|^2 + 1)^2} ((du^1)^2 + \dots + (du^n)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 222. [Problem 13-5] Suppose (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold. A smooth curve $\gamma : J \rightarrow M$ is said to be a **unit-speed curve** if $|\gamma'(t)|_g = 1$. Every smooth curve with nowhere-vanishing velocity has a unit-speed reparametrization.

Proof. We can assume that J is open. Choose any $t_0 \in J$ and define $\ell : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\ell(t) = \int_{t_0}^t |\gamma'(x)|_g dx.$$

Then $x \mapsto |\gamma'(x)|_g$ is smooth since $v \mapsto |v|_g$ is smooth on $\{(x, v) \in TM : v \neq 0\}$ and $\gamma'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$. Therefore ℓ is smooth, and since $\ell'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in J$, the inverse function theorem shows that $\ell^{-1} : \ell(J) \rightarrow J$ is smooth. Define $\gamma_1 : \ell(J) \rightarrow M$ by $\gamma_1 = \gamma \circ \ell^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1'(x) &= (\ell^{-1})'(x) \gamma'(\ell^{-1}(x)) \\ &= \frac{\gamma'(\ell^{-1}(x))}{\ell'(\ell^{-1}(x))} \\ &= \frac{\gamma'(\ell^{-1}(x))}{|\gamma'(\ell^{-1}(x))|_g}, \end{aligned}$$

so γ_1 is a unit-speed reparametrization of γ . □

Theorem 223. [Problem 13-6] Every Riemannian 1-manifold is flat.

Proof. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 1-manifold. Suppose $x \in M$ and (U, φ) is a smooth chart containing x . By shrinking U , we can assume that U is connected. Therefore $\varphi^{-1} : \varphi(U) \rightarrow U$ is a smooth curve, and Theorem 222 shows that there is a diffeomorphism $\psi : E \rightarrow \varphi(U)$ such that $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi$ is a unit-speed curve. We want to show that $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi :$

$E \rightarrow U$ is an isometry. If $v, w \in T_p E$ are nonzero vectors then $v = r d/dt|_p$ and $w = s d/dt|_p$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} ((\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)^* g_p)(v, w) &= rs((\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)^* g_p) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_p, \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_p \right) \\ &= rs \left| d(\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)_p \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_p \right) \right|_g^2 \\ &= rs \left| (\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)'(p) \right|_g^2 \\ &= rs \\ &= \bar{g}_{(\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi)(p)}(v, w). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 224. [Problem 13-7] *A product of flat metrics is flat.*

Proof. If $(R_1, g_1), \dots, (R_k, g_k)$ are Riemannian manifolds, then

$$(R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k, g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_k)$$

is also a Riemannian manifold. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $F_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i$ be an isometry such that $x_i \in U_i$. Then $F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k$ is an isometry from the neighborhood $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$ to the open set $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$, since

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k)^*(\bar{g} \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{g}) &= F_1^* \bar{g} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_k^* \bar{g} \\ &= g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 225. [Problem 13-8] *Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, and let g be the metric on \mathbb{T}^n induced from the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{C}^n (identified with \mathbb{R}^{2n}). Then g is flat.*

Theorem 226. [Problem 13-10] *The shortest path between two points in Euclidean space is a straight line segment. More precisely, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the curve segment $\gamma(t) = x + t(y - x)$. Any other piecewise smooth curve segment $\tilde{\gamma}$ from x to y satisfies $L_{\bar{g}}(\tilde{\gamma}) > L_{\bar{g}}(\gamma)$ unless $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a reparametrization of γ .*

Proof. First note that if $\tilde{\gamma} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ then

$$\int_a^b |\tilde{\gamma}'(t)| dt \geq \left| \int_a^b \tilde{\gamma}'(t) dt \right| = |\tilde{\gamma}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}(a)|.$$

By rotating \mathbb{R}^n , we can assume that $x, y \in X$, where $X = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}^{n-1}$ is the x^1 -axis. Let $\tilde{\gamma} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a piecewise smooth curve segment from x to y such that

$L_{\bar{g}}(\tilde{\gamma}) = |y - x|$. Suppose that $\tilde{\gamma}(c) \notin X$ for some $c \in (a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\bar{g}}(\tilde{\gamma}) &= \int_a^c |\tilde{\gamma}'(t)| dt + \int_c^b |\tilde{\gamma}'(t)| dt \\ &\geq |\tilde{\gamma}(c) - \tilde{\gamma}(a)| + |\tilde{\gamma}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}(c)| \\ &> |y - x| = L_{\bar{g}}(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $\tilde{\gamma}([a, b]) \subseteq X$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection. A similar argument shows that $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ is a diffeomorphism from $[a, b]$ to the line segment from $\pi(x)$ to $\pi(y)$. \square

Example 227. [Problem 13-11] Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, and let g be the restriction to M of the Euclidean metric \bar{g} . Show that there are points $p, q \in M$ for which there is no piecewise smooth curve segment γ from p to q in M with $L_g(\gamma) = d_g(p, q)$.

Let $p = (-1, 0)$ and $q = (1, 0)$. For each $0 < r < 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} p' &= (-r, 0), & q' &= (r, 0), \\ p'' &= (-r, r), & q'' &= (r, r) \end{aligned}$$

and define $\alpha_r : [0, 5] \rightarrow M$ by

$$\alpha_r(t) = \begin{cases} (1-t)p + tp', & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ (2-t)p' + (t-1)p'', & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ (3-t)p'' + (t-2)q'', & 2 \leq t < 3, \\ (4-t)q'' + (t-3)q', & 3 \leq t < 4, \\ (5-t)q' + (t-4)q, & 4 \leq t \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_g(\alpha_r) &= 1 - r + 4r + 1 - r = 2 + 2r \\ &\rightarrow 2 \end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $d_g(p, q) \leq 2$. But Theorem 226 shows that $d_g(p, q) \geq 2$, so $d_g(p, q) = 2$. There is no piecewise smooth curve segment γ from p to q such that $L_g(\gamma) = 2$, due to Theorem 226.

Theorem 228. [Problem 13-12] Consider \mathbb{R}^n as a Riemannian manifold with the Euclidean metric \bar{g} .

- (1) Suppose $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are connected open sets, $\varphi, \psi : U \rightarrow V$ are Riemannian isometries, and for some $p \in U$ they satisfy $\varphi(p) = \psi(p)$ and $d\varphi_p = d\psi_p$. Then $\varphi = \psi$.
- (2) The set of maps from \mathbb{R}^n to itself given by the action of $E(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n described in Example 7.32 is the full group of Riemannian isometries of (\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{g}) .

Proof. By considering $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$, it suffices to show that for any connected open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and any Riemannian isometry $f : U \rightarrow U$ satisfying $f(p) = p$ and $df_p = \text{Id}_{T_p U}$ for some $p \in U$, we have $f = \text{Id}_U$. If $\gamma : I \rightarrow U$ is a smooth curve then $L_{\bar{g}}(\gamma) = L_{\bar{g}}(f \circ \gamma)$, so Theorem 226 implies that f takes straight line segments to straight line segments (of the same length). Let

$$E = \{x \in U : f(x) = x, df_x = \text{Id}_{T_x U}\};$$

then E is nonempty. Let $x \in E$ and let $B = B_r(x)$ be an open ball of radius r around x such that $\bar{B} \subseteq U$. For any $v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, let $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow U$ be the curve $t \mapsto x + tv$ and let $L = \gamma([-1, 1])$. Then $f \circ \gamma$ is a straight line segment of length $2r$ such that $(f \circ \gamma)(0) = x$. But $d(f \circ \gamma)_0 = df_x \circ d\gamma_0 = d\gamma_0$, so $(f \circ \gamma)([-1, 1]) = L$. Using the fact that

$$d_{\bar{g}}(x, y) = d_{\bar{g}}(f(x), f(y)) = d_{\bar{g}}(x, f(y))$$

for all $y \in U$, we conclude that $f \circ \gamma = \gamma$. But v was arbitrary, so $f|_{\bar{B}}$ is the identity map on $\bar{B}(x)$. This shows that $B \subseteq E$, and therefore E is open. It is clear from continuity that E is closed. Since U is connected, we must have $E = U$. This proves (1).

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Riemannian isometry. Then $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = D\varphi(0)^{-1}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))$ defines a Riemannian isometry satisfying $D\tilde{\varphi}(0) = I$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = 0$, so (1) shows that $\tilde{\varphi} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Therefore $\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) + D\varphi(0)x$ is an affine transformation. \square

Theorem 229. [Problem 13-15] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and let \hat{g} be the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ determined by g and the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R} . Let $X = 0 \oplus d/dt$ be the product vector field on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ determined by the zero vector field on M and the standard coordinate vector field d/dt on \mathbb{R} . Then X is a Killing vector field for $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \hat{g})$.

Proof. The flow of X is given by $\theta((x, r), t) = (x, r + t)$. \square

Theorem 230. [Problem 13-16] If $g = f(t)dt^2$ is a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R} , then g is complete if and only if both of the following improper integrals diverge:

$$(*) \quad \int_0^\infty \sqrt{f(t)} dt, \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 \sqrt{f(t)} dt.$$

Proof. If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma : [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the identity map then

$$d_g(x, y) = \int_x^y |\gamma'(t)|_g dt = \int_x^y |1|_g dt = \int_x^y \sqrt{f(t)} dt.$$

Note that $f(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\int_0^\infty \sqrt{f(t)} dt$ converges, then $\{x_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that g is complete; then $x_n \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \geq 0$ then $d_g(x_n, x) = \int_x^{x_n} \sqrt{f(t)} dt$ converges to a positive number as $x_n \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction. A similar contradiction arises if $x < 0$. Therefore g is not

complete. Conversely, suppose that both integrals in (*) diverge and let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence. Then $\{x_n\}$ is contained in a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ that is bounded with respect to the metric induced by g . Since the integrals in (*) diverge, K must also be bounded with respect to the Euclidean metric. By replacing K with a closed and bounded interval containing K , we may assume that K is compact. There are positive constants c, C such that

$$c \leq \sqrt{f(t)} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{f(t)}/C \leq 1 \leq \sqrt{f(t)}/c$$

for all $t \in K$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, there exists an integer N such that

$$-c\varepsilon < \int_{x_m}^{x_n} \sqrt{f(t)} dt < c\varepsilon$$

for all $m, n \geq N$. Then

$$-\varepsilon < -\frac{c\varepsilon}{C} < \frac{1}{C} \int_{x_m}^{x_n} \sqrt{f(t)} dt \leq |x_n - x_m| \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{x_m}^{x_n} \sqrt{f(t)} dt < \frac{c\varepsilon}{c} = \varepsilon,$$

so in the Euclidean metric, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy and $x_n \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in K$. We have

$$\left| \int_{x_n}^x \sqrt{f(t)} dt \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the metric induced by g . □

Theorem 231. [Problem 13-18] Suppose (M, g) is a connected Riemannian manifold, $S \subseteq M$ is a connected embedded submanifold, and \tilde{g} is the induced Riemannian metric on S .

- (1) $d_{\tilde{g}}(p, q) \geq d_g(p, q)$ for $p, q \in S$.
- (2) If (M, g) is complete and S is properly embedded, then (S, \tilde{g}) is complete.
- (3) Every connected smooth manifold admits a complete Riemannian metric.

Proof. Let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. If $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S$ is a piecewise smooth curve segment from p to q in S then $\iota \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise smooth curve segment from p to q in M and $L_{\tilde{g}}(\gamma) = L_g(\iota \circ \gamma) \geq d_g(p, q)$. Since this holds for all γ , we have $d_{\tilde{g}}(p, q) \geq d_g(p, q)$. This proves (1). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in S and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an integer N such that

$$d_g(x_m, x_n) \leq d_{\tilde{g}}(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$$

for all $m, n \geq N$, so $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M and $x_n \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in M$. But S is closed in M , so $x \in S$. This proves (2). Let N be a connected smooth manifold. Theorem 6.15 shows that there is a proper smooth embedding $j : N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. Since $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \bar{g})$ is complete, $(N, j^*\bar{g})$ is complete by (2). This proves (3). □

Example 232. [Problem 13-19] The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 231(b) does not hold. Define $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $F(t) = ((e^t+1) \cos t, (e^t+1) \sin t)$. Show that F is an embedding that is not proper, yet \mathbb{R} is complete in the metric induced from the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^2 .

We have

$$DF(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^t(\cos t - \sin t) - \sin t \\ e^t(\cos t + \sin t) + \cos t \end{bmatrix},$$

which is never zero. Since F is an open map, it is a smooth embedding. But $F^{-1}(\overline{B}_2(0))$ is not compact, where $\overline{B}_2(0)$ is the closed ball of radius 2 around $(0, 0)$. The metric on \mathbb{R} induced from the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\bar{g} &= d((e^t + 1) \cos t)^2 + d((e^t + 1) \sin t)^2 \\ &= (2e^{2t} + 2e^t + 1)dt^2. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{2e^{2t} + 2e^t + 1} dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 \sqrt{2e^{2t} + 2e^t + 1} dt$$

diverge, so Theorem 230 shows that $f^*\bar{g}$ is complete.

Theorem 233. [Problem 13-21] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, let $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and let $p \in M$ be a regular point of f .

- (1) Among all unit vectors $v \in T_pM$, the directional derivative vf is greatest when v points in the same direction as $\text{grad } f|_p$, and the length of $\text{grad } f|_p$ is equal to the value of the directional derivative in that direction.
- (2) $\text{grad } f|_p$ is normal to the level set of f through p .

Proof. We have $\langle \text{grad } f|_p, v \rangle = vf$, so the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that

$$|\langle \text{grad } f|_p, v \rangle_g|$$

is maximized when $v = \pm \text{grad } f|_p$. If S is the level set of f through p then $T_pS = \ker df_p$ by Proposition 5.38. Clearly $\langle \text{grad } f|_p, v \rangle = vf = df_p(v) = 0$ for every $v \in \ker df_p$. \square

Theorem 234. [Problem 13-22] For any smooth manifold M with or without boundary, the vector bundles TM and T^*M are smoothly isomorphic over M .

Proof. Choose any Riemannian metric g on M and let $\hat{g} : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ be the smooth bundle homomorphism defined at each $p \in M$ by $\hat{g}(v)(w) = g_p(v, w)$. Then \hat{g} is injective (and therefore bijective) at each point, so Theorem 174 shows that \hat{g} is a smooth bundle isomorphism. \square

Example 235. [Problem 13-23] Is there a smooth covector field on \mathbb{S}^2 that vanishes at exactly one point?

Yes, due to Theorem 128 and Theorem 234.

CHAPTER 14. DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Theorem 236. [Exercise 14.4] Let α be a covariant tensor on a finite-dimensional vector space.

- (1) $\text{Alt } \alpha$ is alternating.
- (2) $\text{Alt } \alpha = \alpha$ if and only if α is alternating.

Proof. If $\tau \in S_k$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Alt } \alpha)(v_{\tau(1)}, \dots, v_{\tau(k)}) &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{sgn } \sigma) \alpha(v_{(\sigma \circ \tau)(1)}, \dots, v_{(\sigma \circ \tau)(k)}) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\tau) \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma \circ \tau) \alpha(v_{(\sigma \circ \tau)(1)}, \dots, v_{(\sigma \circ \tau)(k)}) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\tau) \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{sgn } \sigma) \alpha(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\tau) (\text{Alt } \alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_k), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1). If α is alternating then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Alt } \alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{sgn } \sigma) \alpha(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{sgn } \sigma)^2 \alpha(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= \alpha(v_1, \dots, v_k). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $\text{Alt } \alpha = \alpha$ then α is alternating since $\text{Alt } \alpha$ is alternating. This proves (2). □

Theorem 237. [Exercise 14.12] The wedge product is the unique associative, bilinear, and anticommutative map $\Lambda^k(V^*) \times \Lambda^l(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+l}(V^*)$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon^{i_k} = \varepsilon^I$$

for any basis (ε^i) for V^* and any multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\wedge}$ be such a map. Let $\omega \in \Lambda^k(V^*)$ and $\eta \in \Lambda^l(V^*)$. By Lemma 14.10,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega \tilde{\wedge} \eta &= \left(\sum_I \omega_I \varepsilon^I \right) \tilde{\wedge} \left(\sum_J \eta_J \varepsilon^J \right) \\
&= \sum_I \sum_J \omega_I \eta_J \varepsilon^I \tilde{\wedge} \varepsilon^J \\
&= \sum_I \sum_J \omega_I \eta_J \varepsilon^{i_1} \tilde{\wedge} \dots \tilde{\wedge} \varepsilon^{i_k} \tilde{\wedge} \varepsilon^{j_1} \tilde{\wedge} \dots \tilde{\wedge} \varepsilon^{j_l} \\
&= \sum_I \sum_J \omega_I \eta_J \varepsilon^{IJ} \\
&= \sum_I \sum_J \omega_I \eta_J \varepsilon^I \wedge \varepsilon^J \\
&= \left(\sum_I \omega_I \varepsilon^I \right) \wedge \left(\sum_J \eta_J \varepsilon^J \right) \\
&= \omega \wedge \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 238. [Exercise 14.14] $\Lambda^k T^*M$ is a smooth subbundle of $T^k T^*M$, and therefore is a smooth vector bundle of rank $\binom{n}{k}$ over M .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 14.8 and Lemma 10.32. □

Theorem 239. [Exercise 14.28] The diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \\
\downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \flat & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow * \\
\Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^3).
\end{array}$$

Therefore $\text{curl} \circ \text{grad} = 0$ and $\text{div} \circ \text{curl} = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^3 . The analogues of the left-hand and right-hand square commute when \mathbb{R}^3 is replaced by \mathbb{R}^n for any n .

Proof. In \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$(\flat \circ \text{grad})(f) = \flat \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i = df$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (d \circ \beta)(X) &= d(X \lrcorner (dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n)) \\ &= d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} dx^i(X) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \right) \\ &= d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} X^i dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^i} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= * \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^i} \right) \\ &= (* \circ \text{div})(X). \end{aligned}$$

In \mathbb{R}^3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta \circ \text{curl})(X) &= \beta \left(\left(\frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^3} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \left(\frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \left(\frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^3} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^3 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^3} \right) dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \\ &= d(X^1 dx^1 + X^2 dx^2 + X^3 dx^3) \\ &= (d \circ \flat)(X). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 240. [Problem 14-1] Covectors $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k \in V^*$ are linearly dependent if and only if $\omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^k = 0$.

Proof. Let $n = \dim V$. Suppose that $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k$ are linearly dependent and assume without loss of generality that $a_1\omega^1 + \dots + a_k\omega^k = 0$ with $a_1 \neq 0$. Then $\omega^1 = -a_1^{-1}(a_2\omega^2 + \dots + a_k\omega^k)$, so

$$\omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k = -a_1^{-1}(a_2\omega^2 + \dots + a_k\omega^k) \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose that $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k$ are linearly independent. We can extend $\{\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k\}$ to a basis $\{\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n\}$ of $\Lambda^n(V^*)$. Then

$$\omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n = (\omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k) \wedge (\omega^{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n) \neq 0,$$

so $\omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k \neq 0$. □

Theorem 241. [Problem 14-5] *Let M be a smooth n -manifold with or without boundary, and let $(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k)$ be an ordered k -tuple of smooth 1-forms on an open subset $U \subseteq M$ such that $(\omega^1|_p, \dots, \omega^k|_p)$ is linearly independent for each $p \in U$. Given smooth 1-forms $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k$ on U such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha^i \wedge \omega^i = 0,$$

each α^i can be written as a linear combination of $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k$ with smooth coefficients.

Proof. First note that each α^i is in the span of $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^i \wedge \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k &= (-1)^{i+1} \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^i \wedge \omega^i \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k \\ &= (-1)^i \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha^j \wedge \omega^j \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\alpha^i = r_{ij}\omega^j$ for some coefficients $r_{ij} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. But the span of $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k$ forms a smooth subbundle of TM , so Proposition 10.22 shows that the functions r_{ij} are smooth. □

Example 242. [Problem 14-6] Define a 2-form ω on \mathbb{R}^3 by

$$\omega = x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx + z dx \wedge dy.$$

- (1) Compute ω in spherical coordinates (ρ, φ, θ) defined by

$$(x, y, z) = (\rho \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \rho \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \rho \cos \varphi).$$

- (2) Compute $d\omega$ in both Cartesian and spherical coordinates and verify that both expressions represent the same 3-form.
 (3) Compute the pullback $\iota_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega$ to \mathbb{S}^2 , using coordinates (φ, θ) on the open subset where these coordinates are defined.
 (4) Show that $\iota_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega$ is nowhere zero.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= \sin \varphi \cos \theta d\rho + \rho \cos \varphi \cos \theta d\varphi - \rho \sin \varphi \sin \theta d\theta, \\ dy &= \sin \varphi \sin \theta d\rho + \rho \cos \varphi \sin \theta d\varphi + \rho \sin \varphi \cos \theta d\theta, \\ dz &= \cos \varphi d\rho - \rho \sin \varphi d\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\omega = \rho^3 \sin \varphi d\varphi \wedge d\theta.$$

Also,

$$d\omega = 3d\rho \wedge d\varphi \wedge d\theta$$

and

$$d\omega = 3\rho^2 \sin \varphi d\rho \wedge d\varphi \wedge d\theta.$$

The pullback of ω to \mathbb{S}^2 is given by

$$\iota_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega = \sin \varphi d\varphi \wedge d\theta,$$

which is defined for $(\varphi, \theta) \in (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$. This expression is never zero since $\sin \varphi > 0$ for $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$.

CHAPTER 15. ORIENTATIONS

Theorem 243. [Exercise 15.4] *Suppose M is an oriented smooth n -manifold with or without boundary, and $n \geq 1$. Every local frame with connected domain is either positively oriented or negatively oriented.*

Proof. Let (E_i) be a local frame with a connected domain U . Let D be the points of U at which (E_i) is positively oriented. Let $p \in D$ and let (\tilde{E}_i) be an oriented local frame defined on a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of p . Writing $E_i = B_i^j \tilde{E}_j$ for continuous component functions $B_i^j : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have $\det(B_i^j(p)) > 0$. Since \det is continuous, there is a neighborhood of p on which E_i is oriented. This shows that D is open. A similar argument shows that $U \setminus D$ is open, so D is either empty or equal to U by the connectedness of U . \square

Theorem 244. [Exercise 15.8] *Suppose M_1, \dots, M_k are orientable smooth manifolds. There is a unique orientation on $M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$, called the **product orientation**, with the following property: if for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, ω_i is an orientation form for the given orientation on M_i , then $\pi_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \pi_k^* \omega_k$ is an orientation form for the product orientation.*

Proof. Let η_1, \dots, η_k be orientation forms for M_1, \dots, M_k and let \mathcal{O} be the orientation determined by $\pi_1^* \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \pi_k^* \eta_k$. Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ are orientation forms for M_1, \dots, M_k . Then $\omega_i = f_i \eta_i$ for some strictly positive continuous functions $f_i : M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so

$$\pi_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \pi_k^* \omega_k = (f_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdots (f_k \circ \pi_k) \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k.$$

Since $(f_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdots (f_k \circ \pi_k)$ is strictly positive, $\pi_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \pi_k^* \omega_k$ determines the same orientation as $\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k$. \square

Theorem 245. [Exercise 15.10] *Let M be a connected, orientable, smooth manifold with or without boundary. Then M has exactly two orientations. If two orientations of M agree at one point, they are equal.*

Proof. If $\dim M = 0$ then M consists of a single point, so the result is clear. Suppose $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'$ are two orientations of M that agree at a single point p . Let $D = \{x \in M : \mathcal{O}_x = \mathcal{O}'_x\}$; this set is nonempty since $p \in D$. Let $x \in D$ and let (E_i) be a local frame defined in a connected neighborhood of x that is positively oriented with respect to \mathcal{O}' . Applying Theorem 243 to (M, \mathcal{O}) shows that (E_i) is positively oriented with respect to \mathcal{O} . Therefore D is open. A similar argument shows that $M \setminus D$ is open, so $D = M$ by the connectedness of M .

Since M is orientable, there is an orientation \mathcal{O} for M . Let ω be an orientation form for \mathcal{O} ; then $-\omega$ determines an orientation \mathcal{O}' for M with $\mathcal{O}_p \neq \mathcal{O}'_p$ for all $p \in M$. If \mathcal{O}'' is any orientation and p is any point in M then either $\mathcal{O}''_p = \mathcal{O}_p$ or $\mathcal{O}''_p = \mathcal{O}'_p$, so $\mathcal{O}'' = \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O}'' = \mathcal{O}'$. \square

Theorem 246. [Exercise 15.12] *Suppose M is an oriented smooth manifold with or without boundary, and $D \subseteq M$ is a smooth codimension-0 submanifold with or without boundary. The orientation of M restricts to an orientation of D . If ω is an orientation form for M , then $\iota_D^* \omega$ is an orientation form for D .*

Proof. It suffices to check that $\iota_D^* \omega$ is nonvanishing. But this is clear since $d(\iota_D)_p$ is an isomorphism for every $p \in M$. \square

Theorem 247. [Exercise 15.13] *Suppose M and N are oriented positive-dimensional smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a local diffeomorphism. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) F is orientation-preserving.
- (2) With respect to any oriented smooth charts for M and N , the Jacobian matrix of F has positive determinant.
- (3) For any positively oriented orientation form ω for N , the form $F^* \omega$ is positively oriented for M .

Proof. If $(U, (x^i))$ and $(V, (y^i))$ are oriented smooth charts for M and N respectively, then dF_p takes the oriented basis $(\partial/\partial x^i|_p)$ to an oriented basis

$$\left(\frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x^i}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \Big|_p \right).$$

Since $(\partial/\partial y^i|_p)$ is also an oriented basis, we have $\det(\partial F^j/\partial x^i(p)) > 0$. This proves (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that (2) holds. By shrinking U and V , we can assume that they are connected sets and that $F|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism. Then

$$\omega = f dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^n$$

on V for some positive continuous function f , so by Proposition 14.20 we have

$$F^*\omega = (f \circ F)(\det DF)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

Since $\det DF > 0$ by (2), $F^*\omega$ is positively oriented on U . This proves (2) \Rightarrow (3). Finally, suppose that (3) holds and let (E_i) be an oriented basis of T_pM . If ω is a positively oriented orientation form for N then

$$(F^*\omega)_p(E_1, \dots, E_n) > 0 \Rightarrow \omega_{F(p)}(dF_p(E_1), \dots, dF_p(E_n)) > 0,$$

so $(dF_p(E_i))$ is an oriented basis of $T_{F(p)}N$. This proves (3) \Rightarrow (1). \square

Theorem 248. [Exercise 15.14] *A composition of orientation-preserving maps is orientation-preserving.*

Proof. Let $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ be orientation-preserving maps. If (E_i) is an oriented basis of T_pM then $(dF_p(E_i))$ is an oriented basis of $T_{F(p)}N$ and $(d(G \circ F)_p(E_i))$ is an oriented basis of $T_{(G \circ F)(p)}P$. \square

Theorem 249. [Exercise 15.16] *Suppose $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ are local diffeomorphisms and \mathcal{O} is an orientation on P . Then $(G \circ F)^*\mathcal{O} = F^*(G^*\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. This is clear from the fact that $(G \circ F)^*\omega = F^*G^*\omega$ for any orientation form ω . \square

Theorem 250. [Exercise 15.20] *Every Lie group has precisely two left-invariant orientations, corresponding to the two orientations of its Lie algebra.*

Proof. Let G be a Lie group. Corollary 8.39 shows that there are at least two left-invariant orientations. If \mathcal{O} is a left-invariant orientation on G then it is completely determined by \mathcal{O}_e , so there are exactly two left-invariant orientations. \square

Theorem 251. [Exercise 15.30] *Suppose (M, g) and $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ are positive-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is a local isometry. Then $F^*\omega_{\widetilde{g}} = \omega_g$.*

Proof. Let $p \in M$ and let U be a neighborhood of p for which $F|_U$ is an isometry. If (E_i) is a local oriented orthonormal frame for M then $(dF(E_i))$ is a local oriented orthonormal frame for \widetilde{M} on a neighborhood of $F(p)$ since

$$\langle dF(E_i), dF(E_j) \rangle_{\widetilde{g}} = \langle E_i, E_j \rangle_g = \delta_{ij}.$$

Therefore

$$(F^*\omega_{\widetilde{g}})(E_1, \dots, E_n) = \omega_{\widetilde{g}}(dF(E_1), \dots, dF(E_n)) = 1,$$

which shows that $F^*\omega_{\widetilde{g}}$ is the Riemannian volume form on M . \square

Theorem 252. [Problem 15-1] *Suppose M is a smooth manifold that is the union of two orientable open submanifolds with connected intersection. Then M is orientable.*

Proof. Denote the two open submanifolds by N and P . We can assume that there is some $p \in N \cap P$, for otherwise the result is trivial. Choose an orientation \mathcal{O} for N , and choose an orientation \mathcal{O}' for P such that $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathcal{O}'_p$. By Theorem 245, \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' agree on $N \cap P$, and it is easy to check that the orientation given by

$$\mathcal{O}''_x = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_x, & x \in N, \\ \mathcal{O}'_x, & x \in P \end{cases}$$

is well-defined and continuous. \square

Theorem 253. [Problem 15-2] *Suppose M and N are oriented smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a local diffeomorphism. If M is connected, then F is either orientation-preserving or orientation-reversing.*

Proof. If M and N are 0-manifolds, then M and N both consist of a single point and the result is trivial. Let D be the set of points x for which dF_x takes oriented bases of $T_x M$ to oriented bases of $T_{F(x)} N$. Let ω be a positively oriented orientation form for N . Let $x \in D$ and let (E_i) be an oriented local frame defined on a neighborhood U of x . Then $(F^*\omega)_x(E_1|_x, \dots, E_n|_x) > 0$, so by continuity there is a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of x on which $(F^*\omega)(E_1, \dots, E_n) > 0$. Therefore $V \subseteq D$ by Theorem 247, and D is open. A similar argument shows that $M \setminus D$ is open, so $D = \emptyset$ or $D = M$ since M is connected. \square

Theorem 254. [Problem 15-3] *Suppose $n \geq 1$, and let $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be the antipodal map: $\alpha(x) = -x$. Then α is orientation-preserving if and only if n is odd.*

Proof. The outward unit normal vector field along \mathbb{S}^n is $N = x^i \partial / \partial x^i$, and Corollary 15.34 shows that the Riemannian volume form $\omega_{\widetilde{g}}$ of \mathbb{S}^n is

$$\omega_{\widetilde{g}} = \iota_{\mathbb{S}^n}^*(N \lrcorner (dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n+1})) = \iota_{\mathbb{S}^n}^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} x^i dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n+1} \right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^* \omega_{\tilde{g}} &= \iota_{\mathbb{S}^n}^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} (-x^i) (-dx^1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{(-dx^i)} \wedge \cdots \wedge (-dx^{n+1}) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \omega_{\tilde{g}},\end{aligned}$$

so F is orientation-preserving if and only if n is odd. \square

Theorem 255. [Problem 15-5] *Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. The total spaces of TM and T^*M are orientable.*

Proof. Let $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ be the projection. Let (U, φ) and (V, ψ) be smooth charts for M , and let $(\pi^{-1}(U), \tilde{\varphi})$ and $(\pi^{-1}(V), \tilde{\psi})$ be the corresponding charts for TM . The transition map is given by

$$(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1})(x^1, \dots, x^n, v^1, \dots, v^n) = \left(\tilde{x}^1(x), \dots, \tilde{x}^n(x), \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^1}{\partial x^j}(x)v^j, \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^n}{\partial x^j}(x)v^j \right).$$

The Jacobian matrix of this map at $(x^1, \dots, x^n, v^1, \dots, v^n)$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}(x) & 0 \\ * & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly has positive determinant. The result follows from Proposition 15.6. A similar computation holds for T^*M . \square

Theorem 256. [Problem 15-7] *Suppose M is an oriented Riemannian manifold with or without boundary, and $S \subseteq M$ is an oriented smooth hypersurface with or without boundary. There is a unique smooth unit normal vector field along S that determines the given orientation of S .*

Proof. Let $n = \dim M$, let ω be an orientation form for M , and let \mathcal{O} be the orientation on S . Let NS be the normal bundle of S (see Theorem 213), which is of rank 1. Let Z be the set of all zero vectors in NS . Define a map $f : NS \setminus Z \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ as follows: if $v \in N_p S$ then choose an oriented (with respect to \mathcal{O}) basis (E_i) for $T_p S$ and define

$$f(v) = \frac{\omega(v, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1})}{|\omega(v, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1})|}.$$

This map is well-defined because (E_i) is oriented, and is continuous because there is an oriented local frame defined on a neighborhood of every p . Let $P = f^{-1}(\{+1\})$. It is easy to see that P is convex and open in NS , so Theorem 217 shows that there is a smooth global section $X : S \rightarrow P$. Then $\tilde{X} = X/|X|_g$ is the desired smooth unit normal vector field along S . \square

Theorem 257. [Problem 15-8] Suppose M is an orientable Riemannian manifold, and $S \subseteq M$ is an immersed or embedded submanifold with or without boundary.

- (1) If S has trivial normal bundle, then S is orientable.
- (2) If S is an orientable hypersurface, then S has trivial normal bundle.

Proof. If S has trivial normal bundle then there is a global frame for NS , and Proposition 15.21 shows that S is orientable. If S is an orientable hypersurface, then Theorem 256 gives a global frame for NS (since NS is of rank 1). \square

Theorem 258. [Problem 15-9] Let S be an oriented, embedded, 2-dimensional submanifold with boundary in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let $C = \partial S$ with the induced orientation. By Theorem 256, there is a unique smooth unit normal vector field N on S that determines the orientation. Let T be the oriented unit tangent vector field on C , and let V be the unique unit vector field tangent to S along C that is orthogonal to T and inward-pointing. Then (T_p, V_p, N_p) is an oriented orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 at each $p \in C$.

Proof. It is clear that (T_p, V_p, N_p) is an orthonormal basis, so it remains to check that (T_p, V_p, N_p) is oriented. This is true if and only if (N_p, T_p, V_p) is oriented, and by the definition of the induced orientation, this is true if and only if (T_p, V_p) is an oriented basis for $T_p S$. This is true since $(-V_p, T_p)$ is an oriented basis for $T_p S$. \square

Theorem 259. [Problem 15-10] Let M be a connected nonorientable smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow M$ be its orientation covering. If X is any oriented smooth manifold with or without boundary, and $F : X \rightarrow M$ is any local diffeomorphism, then there exists a unique orientation-preserving local diffeomorphism $\widehat{F} : X \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ such that $\widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{F} = F$.

Proof. Define $\widehat{F}(x) = (F(x), \mathcal{O}_{F(x)})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{F(x)}$ is the orientation of $T_{F(x)}M$ determined by F and the orientation of X . It is clear that $\widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{F} = F$. Let $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be an element of the basis defined in Proposition 15.40. If U is connected, then $\widehat{F}^{-1}(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{O}})$ is either U or empty. Therefore $\widehat{F}^{-1}(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{O}})$ is open for any open set U , and \widehat{F} is continuous. By Theorem 41, \widehat{F} is smooth, and it is easy to check that it is orientation-preserving and a local diffeomorphism. Uniqueness follows from the fact that \widehat{F} is orientation-preserving. \square

Theorem 260. [Problem 15-11] Let M be a nonorientable connected smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow M$ be its orientation covering. If \widetilde{M} is an oriented smooth manifold with or without boundary that admits a two-sheeted smooth covering map $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$, then there exists a unique orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ such that $\widehat{\pi} \circ \varphi = \widetilde{\pi}$.

Proof. By Theorem 259, there is a unique orientation-preserving local diffeomorphism $\varphi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ such that $\widehat{\pi} \circ \varphi = \widetilde{\pi}$. Similarly, there is a unique orientation-preserving local diffeomorphism $\psi : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ such that $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \psi = \widehat{\pi}$. Then $\widehat{\pi} \circ \varphi \circ \psi = \widetilde{\pi} \circ \psi = \widehat{\pi}$, so $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_{\widehat{M}}$ by uniqueness. Similarly, $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{Id}_{\widetilde{M}}$. Therefore φ is a diffeomorphism. \square

Theorem 261. [Problem 15-22] *Every orientation-reversing diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R} has a fixed point.*

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such a diffeomorphism; then $f'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $g(x) = f(x) - x$; then $g'(x) = f'(x) - 1 < -1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $g(0) = 0$, then we are done. If $g(0) > 0$ then by the mean value theorem, there exists a point $t \in (0, g(0))$ such that

$$\frac{g(g(0)) - g(0)}{g(0)} = g'(t) < -1,$$

i.e. $g(g(0)) < 0$, and the intermediate value theorem shows that $g(x) = 0$ for some $x \in (0, g(0))$. Similarly, if $g(0) < 0$ then there exists a point $t \in (g(0), 0)$ such that

$$\frac{g(g(0)) - g(0)}{g(0)} = g'(t) < -1,$$

i.e. $g(g(0)) > 0$, and the intermediate value theorem shows that $g(x) = 0$ for some $x \in (g(0), 0)$. \square

CHAPTER 16. INTEGRATION ON MANIFOLDS

Theorem 262. [Exercise 16.29] *Suppose (M, g) is an oriented Riemannian manifold and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and compactly supported. Then*

$$\left| \int_M f dV_g \right| \leq \int_M |f| dV_g.$$

Proof. If f is supported in the domain of a single oriented smooth chart (U, φ) , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M f dV_g \right| &= \left| \int_{\varphi(U)} f(x) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx^1 \cdots dx^n \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\varphi(U)} |f(x)| \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx^1 \cdots dx^n \\ &= \int_M |f| dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

For the general case, cover $\text{supp } f$ by finitely many domains of positively or negatively oriented smooth charts $\{U_i\}$ and choose a subordinate smooth partition of unity $\{\psi_i\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M f dV_g \right| &= \left| \sum_i \int_M \psi_i f dV_g \right| \\ &\leq \sum_i \int_M \psi_i |f| dV_g \\ &= \int_M |f| dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 263. [Problem 16-2] Let $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$ denote the 2-torus, defined as the set of points (w, x, y, z) such that $w^2 + x^2 = y^2 + z^2 = 1$, with the product orientation determined by the standard orientation on \mathbb{S}^1 . Compute $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \omega$, where ω is the following 2-form on \mathbb{R}^4 :

$$\omega = xyz dw \wedge dy.$$

Let $D = (0, 2\pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$ and define an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $F : D \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ by

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} dw &= -\sin \theta d\theta, & dx &= \cos \theta d\theta, \\ dy &= -\sin \varphi d\varphi, & dz &= \cos \varphi d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \omega &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi \sin \varphi (-\sin \theta d\theta) \wedge (-\sin \varphi d\varphi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sin^2 \theta \cos \varphi \sin^2 \varphi d\theta \wedge d\varphi \\ &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \theta d\theta \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \cos \varphi \sin^2 \varphi d\varphi \right) \\ &= \pi \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 264. [Problem 16-3] Suppose E and M are smooth n -manifolds with or without boundary, and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth k -sheeted covering map or generalized covering map.

- (1) If E and M are oriented and π is orientation-preserving, then $\int_E \pi^* \omega = k \int_M \omega$ for any compactly supported n -form ω on M .
- (2) $\int_E \pi^* \mu = k \int_M \mu$ whenever μ is a compactly supported density on M .

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}$ be a finite open cover of $\text{supp } \omega$ by evenly covered sets, and let $\{\psi_i\}$ be a subordinate smooth partition of unity. For each i , let $U_i^{(1)}, \dots, U_i^{(k)}$ be the components of $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$. Let $\{\widehat{\psi}_i^{(j)}\}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $\{U_i^{(j)}\}$ of $\text{supp } \pi^* \omega$. Applying Proposition 16.6(d), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k \int_M \omega &= \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{U_i} \psi_i \omega \\
&= \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{U_i^{(j)}} (\psi_i \circ \pi|_{U_i^{(j)}}) \pi^* \omega \\
&= \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{U_i^{(j)}} (\psi_i \circ \pi|_{U_i^{(j)}}) \pi^* \omega \\
&= \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{U_i^{(j)}} \sum_{i'} \sum_{j'=1}^k \widehat{\psi}_{i'}^{(j')} (\psi_i \circ \pi|_{U_i^{(j)}}) \pi^* \omega \\
&= \int_E \sum_{i'} \sum_{j'=1}^k \widehat{\psi}_{i'}^{(j')} \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^k (\psi_i \circ \pi|_{U_i^{(j)}}) \pi^* \omega \\
&= \int_E \sum_{i'} \sum_{j'=1}^k \widehat{\psi}_{i'}^{(j')} \pi^* \omega \\
&= \int_E \pi^* \omega.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (1). Part (2) is similar. \square

Theorem 265. [Problem 16-4] *If M is an oriented compact smooth manifold with boundary, then there does not exist a retraction of M onto its boundary.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists such a retraction $r : M \rightarrow \partial M$. By Theorem 6.26, we can assume that r is smooth. Let $\iota : \partial M \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. Let ω be an orientation form for ∂M . By Theorem 16.11 and Proposition 14.26,

$$\int_{\partial M} r^* \omega = \int_M d(r^* \omega) = \int_M r^*(d\omega) = 0$$

since ω is a top-degree form. By definition,

$$\int_{\partial M} r^* \omega = \int_{\partial M} \iota^* r^* \omega = \int_{\partial M} (r \circ \iota)^* \omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega,$$

so

$$\int_{\partial M} \omega = 0.$$

This contradicts Proposition 16.6(c). \square

Theorem 266. [Problem 16-5] Suppose M and N are oriented, compact, connected, smooth manifolds, and $F, G : M \rightarrow N$ are homotopic diffeomorphisms. Then F and G are either both orientation-preserving or both orientation-reversing.

Proof. Theorem 253 shows that F and G are individually orientation-preserving or orientation-reversing. By Theorem 6.29, there is a smooth homotopy $H : M \times I \rightarrow N$ from F to G . Let ω be a positively oriented orientation form for N . By Theorem 16.11,

$$\int_{\partial(M \times I)} H^* \omega = \int_{M \times I} d(H^* \omega) = \int_{M \times I} H^*(d\omega) = 0.$$

But

$$\int_{\partial(M \times I)} H^* \omega = \int_M F^* \omega - \int_M G^* \omega,$$

so

$$\int_M F^* \omega = \int_M G^* \omega.$$

The result follows from Proposition 16.6(d). \square

Theorem 267. [Problem 16-6] The following are equivalent:

- (1) There exists a nowhere-vanishing vector field on \mathbb{S}^n .
- (2) There exists a continuous map $V : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ satisfying $V(x) \perp x$ (with respect to the Euclidean dot product on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$.
- (3) The antipodal map $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is homotopic to $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$.
- (4) The antipodal map $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is orientation-preserving.
- (5) n is odd.

Therefore, there exists a nowhere-vanishing vector field on \mathbb{S}^n if and only if n is odd.

Proof. Suppose X is a nowhere-vanishing vector field on \mathbb{S}^n . Then taking $V = X/|X|$ proves (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose (2) holds. We can define a homotopy $H_1 : \mathbb{S}^n \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ from α to V by

$$H_1(x, t) = \frac{(1-t)\alpha(x) + tV(x)}{|(1-t)\alpha(x) + tV(x)|},$$

where the denominator is nonzero since $(1-t)(-x) + tV(x) = 0$ contradicts the fact that $V(x) \perp x$. Similarly, we can define a homotopy H_2 from V to $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ by

$$H_2(x, t) = \frac{(1-t)V(x) + tx}{|(1-t)V(x) + tx|}.$$

This proves (2) \Rightarrow (3). Theorem 266 proves (3) \Rightarrow (4), and Theorem 254 proves (4) \Rightarrow (5). Finally, Theorem 150 proves (5) \Rightarrow (1). \square

Theorem 268. [Problem 16-9] Let ω be the $(n-1)$ -form on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ defined by

$$\omega = |x|^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x^i dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

- (1) $\iota_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^* \omega$ is the Riemannian volume form of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with respect to the round metric and the standard orientation.
- (2) ω is closed but not exact on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. The unit normal vector field for \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with the standard orientation is given by $x \mapsto x$, so (1) follows from the formula in Lemma 14.13. For (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} d\left(\frac{x^i}{|x|^n}\right) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{|x|^n - n(x^i)^2 |x|^{n-2}}{|x|^{2n}}\right) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|x|^n} - \frac{n(x^i)^2}{|x|^{n+2}}\right) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If ω is exact then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \omega = 0$$

by Corollary 16.13, which is a contradiction. \square

Example 269. [Problem 16-10] Let D denote the torus of revolution in \mathbb{R}^3 obtained by revolving the circle $(r-2)^2 + z^2 = 1$ around the z -axis, with its induced Riemannian metric and with the orientation determined by the outward unit normal.

- (1) Compute the surface area of D .
- (2) Compute the integral over D of the function $f(x, y, z) = z^2 + 1$.
- (3) Compute the integral over D of the 2-form $\omega = z dx \wedge dy$.

Let $\iota : D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the inclusion map. Let $U = (0, 2\pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$ and define an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $F : U \rightarrow D$ by

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = ((2 + \cos \theta) \cos \varphi, (2 + \cos \theta) \sin \varphi, \sin \theta).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= -\sin \theta \cos \varphi d\theta - (2 + \cos \theta) \sin \varphi d\varphi, \\ dy &= -\sin \theta \sin \varphi d\theta + (2 + \cos \theta) \cos \varphi d\varphi, \\ dz &= \cos \theta d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^*\bar{g} &= dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \\ &= \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \varphi d\theta^2 + (2 + \cos \theta)^2 \sin^2 \varphi d\varphi^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi \cos \varphi (2 + \cos \theta) d\theta d\varphi \\ &\quad + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi d\theta^2 + (2 + \cos \theta)^2 \cos^2 \varphi d\varphi^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi \cos \varphi (2 + \cos \theta) d\theta d\varphi \\ &\quad + \cos^2 \theta d\theta^2 \\ &= d\theta^2 + (2 + \cos \theta)^2 d\varphi^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D \omega_{\iota^*\bar{g}} &= \int_{(\theta, \varphi) \in U} \sqrt{(2 + \cos \theta)^2} \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} (2 + \cos \theta) d\theta \\ &= 8\pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D f \omega_{\iota^*\bar{g}} &= \int_{(\theta, \varphi) \in U} (\sin^2 \theta + 1) \sqrt{(2 + \cos \theta)^2} \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} (\sin^2 \theta + 1)(2 + \cos \theta) d\theta \\ &= 12\pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} dx \wedge dy &= (-\sin \theta \cos \varphi d\theta - (2 + \cos \theta) \sin \varphi d\varphi) \\ &\quad \wedge (-\sin \theta \sin \varphi d\theta + (2 + \cos \theta) \cos \varphi d\varphi) \\ &= -(2 + \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_D z \, dx \wedge dy &= - \int_D (2 + \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta \wedge d\varphi \\ &= -2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} (2 + \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta \\ &= -4\pi^2.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 270. [Problem 16-11] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with or without boundary. In any smooth local coordinates (x^i) we have

$$\operatorname{div} \left(X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right),$$

where $\det g = \det(g_{kl})$ is the determinant of the component matrix of g in these coordinates.

Proof. We have

$$\omega_g = \sqrt{\det g} \, dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

by Proposition 15.31, so

$$\beta \left(X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} X^i \sqrt{\det g} \, dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

Then

$$d \left(\beta \left(X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right) \, dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \left(X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) &= *^{-1} \left(d \left(\beta \left(X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 271. [Problem 16-12] Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, let \tilde{g} denote the induced Riemannian metric on ∂M , and let N be the outward unit normal vector field along ∂M .

- (1) The divergence operator satisfies the following product rule for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$:

$$\operatorname{div}(fX) = f \operatorname{div} X + \langle \operatorname{grad} f, X \rangle_g.$$

(2) We have the following “integration by parts” formula:

$$\int_M \langle \text{grad } f, X \rangle_g dV_g = \int_{\partial M} f \langle X, N \rangle_g dV_{\tilde{g}} - \int_M (f \text{ div } X) dV_g.$$

Proof. Theorem 270 shows that in coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(fX) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(f X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \left(f \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right) \\ &= f \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(X^i \sqrt{\det g} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} X^i \\ &= f \text{ div } X + \langle \text{grad } f, X \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle \text{grad } f, X \rangle_g dV_g &= \int_M \text{div}(fX) dV_g - \int_M f \text{ div } X dV_g \\ &= \int_{\partial M} f \langle X, N \rangle_g dV_{\tilde{g}} - \int_M f \text{ div } X dV_g \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 16.32. □

Theorem 272. [Problem 16-13] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with or without boundary. The linear operator $\Delta : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ defined by $\Delta u = -\text{div}(\text{grad } u)$ is called the **(geometric) Laplacian**. The Laplacian is given in any smooth local coordinates by

$$\Delta u = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(g^{ij} \sqrt{\det g} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \right).$$

On \mathbb{R}^n with the Euclidean metric and standard coordinates,

$$\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{(\partial x^i)^2}.$$

Proof. Obvious from Theorem 270. □

Theorem 273. [Problem 16-14] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with or without boundary. A function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ is said to be **harmonic** if $\Delta u = 0$.

(1) If M is compact, then

$$\int_M u \Delta v dV_g = \int_M \langle \text{grad } u, \text{grad } v \rangle_g dV_g - \int_{\partial M} u N v dV_{\tilde{g}},$$

$$\int_M (u\Delta v - v\Delta u) dV_g = \int_{\partial M} (vNu - uNv) dV_{\tilde{g}},$$

where N and \tilde{g} are as in Theorem 271. These are known as **Green's identities**.

- (2) If M is compact and connected and $\partial M = \emptyset$, the only harmonic functions on M are the constants.
- (3) If M is compact and connected, $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, and u, v are harmonic functions on M whose restrictions to ∂M agree, then $u = v$.

Proof. (1) follows by putting $f = u$ and $X = \text{grad } v$ in Theorem 271. For (2), if u is harmonic then

$$\int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g = \int_M u\Delta u dV_g = 0$$

by part (1). Therefore $\text{grad } u = 0$, and u is constant since M is connected. For (3), we have

$$\int_M |\text{grad}(u - v)|_g^2 dV_g = \int_{\partial M} (u - v)N(u - v) dV_{\tilde{g}} = 0$$

by part (1). Therefore $\text{grad}(u - v) = 0$, and $u - v$ is constant since M is connected. Since u and v agree on ∂M , we have $u = v$. \square

Theorem 274. [Problem 16-15] Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold without boundary, and let Δ be its geometric Laplacian. A real number λ is called an eigenvalue of Δ if there exists a smooth real-valued function u on M , not identically zero, such that $\Delta u = \lambda u$. In this case, u is called an **eigenfunction** corresponding to λ .

- (1) 0 is an eigenvalue of Δ , and all other eigenvalues are strictly positive.
- (2) If u and v are eigenfunctions corresponding to distinct eigenvalues, then $\int_M uv dV_g = 0$.

Proof. Any constant function is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue 0. If $\Delta u = \lambda u$ and u is not constant then Theorem 273 shows that

$$0 < \int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g = \int_M u\Delta u dV_g = \lambda \int_M u^2 dV_g,$$

so $\lambda > 0$. Suppose $\Delta u = \lambda u$ and $\Delta v = \mu v$ where $\lambda \neq \mu$. By Theorem 273,

$$0 = \int_M (u\Delta v - v\Delta u) dV_g = (\mu - \lambda) \int_M uv dV_g,$$

so

$$\int_M uv dV_g = 0$$

since $\mu - \lambda \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 275. [Problem 16-16] Let M be a compact connected Riemannian n -manifold with nonempty boundary. A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a **Dirichlet eigenvalue for M** if there exists a smooth real-valued function u on M , not identically zero, such that $\Delta u = \lambda u$ and $u|_{\partial M} = 0$. Similarly, λ is called a **Neumann eigenvalue** if there exists such a u satisfying $\Delta u = \lambda u$ and $Nu|_{\partial M} = 0$, where N is the outward unit normal.

- (1) Every Dirichlet eigenvalue is strictly positive.
- (2) 0 is a Neumann eigenvalue, and all other Neumann eigenvalues are strictly positive.

Proof. As in Theorem 274. □

Theorem 276. [Problem 16-17] Suppose M is a compact connected Riemannian n -manifold with nonempty boundary. A function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ is harmonic if and only if it minimizes $\int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g$ among all smooth functions with the same boundary values.

Proof. Suppose $f \in C^\infty(M)$ vanishes on ∂M . For all ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\text{grad}(u + \varepsilon f)|_g^2 dV_g &= \int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g + 2\varepsilon \int_M \langle \text{grad } u, \text{grad } f \rangle_g dV_g \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\text{grad } f|_g^2 dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 271, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\text{grad}(u + \varepsilon f)|_g^2 dV_g &= \int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g + 2\varepsilon \int_M (f \Delta u) dV_g \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \int_M |\text{grad } f|_g^2 dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

If u is harmonic then

$$\int_M |\text{grad}(u + f)|_g^2 dV_g = \int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g + \int_M |\text{grad } f|_g^2 dV_g,$$

and it is clear that u minimizes $\int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g$ among all smooth functions with the same boundary values. Conversely, suppose that u minimizes $\int_M |\text{grad } u|_g^2 dV_g$. Then

$$\int_M |\text{grad}(u + \varepsilon f)|_g^2 dV_g$$

has a global minimum at $\varepsilon = 0$, and its derivative (with respect to ε)

$$2 \int_M (f \Delta u) dV_g + 2\varepsilon \int_M |\text{grad } f|_g^2 dV_g$$

must be zero at $\varepsilon = 0$. That is,

$$\int_M (f \Delta u) dV_g = 0$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $f|_{\partial M} = 0$. Therefore $\Delta u = 0$. \square

Theorem 277. [Problem 16-18] Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian n -manifold.

- (1) For each $k = 1, \dots, n$, g determines a unique inner product on $\Lambda^k(T_p^*M)$ (denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$, just like the inner product on T_pM) satisfying

$$\langle \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k, \eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^k \rangle_g = \det \left(\langle (\omega^i)^\#, (\eta^j)^\# \rangle_g \right)$$

whenever $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^k$ are covectors at p .

- (2) The Riemannian volume form dV_g is the unique positively oriented n -form that has unit norm with respect to this inner product.
 (3) For each $k = 0, \dots, n$, there is a unique smooth bundle homomorphism $*$: $\Lambda^k T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-k} T^*M$ satisfying

$$\omega \wedge * \eta = \langle \omega, \eta \rangle_g dV_g$$

for all smooth k -forms ω, η . (For $k = 0$, interpret the inner product as ordinary multiplication.) This map is called the **Hodge star operator**.

- (4) $*$: $\Lambda^0 T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^n T^*M$ is given by $*f = f dV_g$.
 (5) $**\omega = (-1)^{k(n-k)}\omega$ if ω is a k -form.

Proof. For (1), any such inner product must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon^I, \varepsilon^J \rangle_g &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle (\varepsilon^{i_1})^\#, (\varepsilon^{j_1})^\# \rangle_g & \dots & \langle (\varepsilon^{i_1})^\#, (\varepsilon^{j_k})^\# \rangle_g \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle (\varepsilon^{i_k})^\#, (\varepsilon^{j_1})^\# \rangle_g & \dots & \langle (\varepsilon^{i_k})^\#, (\varepsilon^{j_k})^\# \rangle_g \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} g^{i_1 j_1} & \dots & g^{i_1 j_k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g^{i_k j_1} & \dots & g^{i_k j_k} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$(*) \quad = \delta_J^I$$

for all increasing multi-indices I and J , whenever (ε^i) is the coframe dual to a local orthonormal frame (E_i) . (Here (g^{ij}) is the inverse of $g_{ij}(p)$, which is the matrix of g in these coordinates.) This proves that the inner product is uniquely determined. To prove existence, we will define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ by (*) and extend bilinearly. To check that the

definition is independent of the choice of orthonormal frame, let $(\tilde{\varepsilon}^i)$ be another coframe dual to a local orthonormal frame (\tilde{E}_i) . Then

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}^I = \sum_L \alpha_L \varepsilon^L \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}^J = \sum_M \beta_M \varepsilon^M$$

where $\alpha_L = \tilde{\varepsilon}^I(E_{l_1}, \dots, E_{l_k})$ and $\beta_M = \tilde{\varepsilon}^J(E_{m_1}, \dots, E_{m_k})$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\varepsilon}^I, \tilde{\varepsilon}^J \rangle_g &= \sum_L \sum_M \alpha_L \beta_M \langle \varepsilon^L, \varepsilon^M \rangle_g \\ &= \sum_L \sum_M \alpha_L \beta_M \delta_M^L \\ &= \sum_L \alpha_L \beta_L \\ &= \sum_L \tilde{\varepsilon}^I(E_{l_1}, \dots, E_{l_k}) \tilde{\varepsilon}^J(E_{l_1}, \dots, E_{l_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_i = A_i^j \tilde{E}_j$ for some orthonormal matrix (A_i^j) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\varepsilon}^I, \tilde{\varepsilon}^J \rangle_g &= \sum_L \sum_P A_{l_1}^{p_1} \cdots A_{l_k}^{p_k} \delta_P^I \sum_Q A_{l_1}^{q_1} \cdots A_{l_k}^{q_k} \delta_Q^J \\ &= \sum_L \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{sgn } \sigma) A_{l_1}^{i_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots A_{l_k}^{i_{\sigma(k)}} \sum_{\tau \in S_k} (\text{sgn } \tau) A_{l_1}^{j_{\tau(1)}} \cdots A_{l_k}^{j_{\tau(k)}} \\ &= \text{????????????????} \end{aligned}$$

For (2), if ω is any positively oriented n -form then $\omega_p = c(dV_g)_p$ for some $c > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_p, \omega_p \rangle_g &= c^2 \langle dV_g, dV_g \rangle_g \\ &= c^2 \langle \varepsilon^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon^n, \varepsilon^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon^n \rangle_g \\ &= c^2 \end{aligned}$$

whenever (ε^i) is the coframe dual to a local orthonormal frame, by Proposition 15.29. If ω has unit norm then it is clear that $c = 1$. □

Example 278. [Problem 16-19] Consider \mathbb{R}^n as a Riemannian manifold with the Euclidean metric and the standard orientation.

- (1) Calculate $*dx^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (2) Calculate $*(dx^i \wedge dx^j)$ in the case $n = 4$.

TODO

Theorem 279. [Problem 16-20] Let M be an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold. A 2-form ω on M is said to be **self-dual** if $*\omega = \omega$, and **anti-self-dual** if $*\omega = -\omega$.

- (1) Every 2-form ω on M can be written uniquely as a sum of a self-dual form and an anti-self-dual form.
- (2) On $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ with the Euclidean metric, the self-dual and anti-self-dual forms in standard coordinates are given by:

???????????

Proof. TODO □

Theorem 280. [Problem 16-21] Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner dV_g &= *X^\flat, \\ \operatorname{div} X &= *d*X^\flat, \end{aligned}$$

and, when $\dim M = 3$,

$$\operatorname{curl} X = (*dX^\flat)^\sharp.$$

Proof. TODO □

Theorem 281. [Problem 16-22] Let (M, g) be a compact, oriented Riemannian n -manifold. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, define a map $d^* : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ by $d^*\omega = (-1)^{n(k+1)+1} *d*\omega$, where $*$ is the Hodge star operator defined in Theorem 277. Extend this definition to 0-forms by defining $d^*\omega = 0$ for $\omega \in \Omega^0(M)$.

- (1) $d^* \circ d^* = 0$.
- (2) The formula

$$(\omega, \eta) = \int_M \langle \omega, \eta \rangle_g dV_g$$

defines an inner product on $\Omega^k(M)$ for each k , where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ is the pointwise inner product on forms defined in Theorem 277.

- (3) $(d^*\omega, \eta) = (\omega, d\eta)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$.

Proof. TODO □

CHAPTER 17. DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY

Theorem 282. [Exercise 17.37] Suppose M , N , and P are compact, connected, oriented, smooth n -manifolds.

- (1) If $F : M \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow P$ are both smooth maps, then $\deg(G \circ F) = (\deg G)(\deg F)$.
- (2) If $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, then $\deg F = +1$ if F is orientation-preserving and -1 if it is orientation-reversing.
- (3) If two smooth maps $F_0, F_1 : M \rightarrow N$ are homotopic, then they have the same degree.

Proof. For every smooth n -form ω on P , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (G \circ F)^* \omega &= \int_M F^* G^* \omega \\ &= (\deg F) \int_N G^* \omega \\ &= (\deg F)(\deg G) \int_P \omega. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1). Part (2) follows from Proposition 16.6(d). If F_0 and F_1 are homotopic then Proposition 17.10 shows that the induced cohomology maps F_0^* and F_1^* are equal. For any smooth n -form ω on N , we have $F_0^* \omega - F_1^* \omega = d\eta$ for some smooth $(n-1)$ -form η . Then

$$\int_M F_0^* \omega - \int_M F_1^* \omega = \int_M d\eta = 0.$$

□

Theorem 283. [Problem 17-1] Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and let $\omega \in \Omega^p(M)$, $\eta \in \Omega^q(M)$ be closed forms. The de Rham cohomology class of $\omega \wedge \eta$ depends only on the cohomology classes of ω and η , and thus there is a well-defined bilinear map $\smile : H_{\text{dR}}^p(M) \times H_{\text{dR}}^q(M) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{p+q}(M)$, called the **cup product**, given by $[\omega] \smile [\eta] = [\omega \wedge \eta]$.

Proof. TODO

□

REFERENCES

- [1] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Topological Manifolds*. Springer, 2nd edition, 2011.